

# **The Triumph of Life: An Assault Upon the Values of the Current Society**

**By Matthew F. Hale**

## **Chapter One The Sickness of Our Times**

When a people has, for the most part, lost its drive for self-preservation, it is the task of those few who have retained it to use whatever means of persuasion and insight that are available to them in an effort to help reverse that situation. No price is too high to pay and no sacrifice is too great to endure to achieve that end, for what is at stake is nothing less than the survival of that people upon the face of this earth.

For those of us who happen to be members of the White Race which is, of course, a people by any natural and sensible usage of the term, it is our task and responsibility therefore to wake up our people to the situation that faces them and reinsert within it, the race, its drive to preserve itself, thus staving off the fate that is currently in store for it if the present tendency of our times is allowed to continue unchecked: extinction. For there can be no doubt that a people which does not care about its continued survival on this earth will, in fact, *not* survive and that this precisely is the state of affairs that exists with our White people today. Indeed, we can go further than that and say that a people that is unwilling even to *talk* about its continued preservation on this earth, let alone act in its favor, is in even *more* dire straits than the people which only fails to *act* on its own behalf. Even worse still though is the situation of a people that not only fails to *act* on its own behalf and not only fails to *talk* on its own behalf, but which also fails to *think* on its own behalf. That, sad to say, is the situation that exists with our White people of today, though of course there are exceptions here as with everything else; most White people do not *think* with their racial

preservation in mind. It is not surprising then why they would fail to speak up about the importance of that preservation or act in its favor. Obviously, the state of *mind* comes first before the word and before the deed. The latter are only the consequences, after all, of a previously existing mental state.

Thus if we are to fulfill our task and responsibility of instilling within our White Race the will to preserve itself, we must focus our efforts on curing *the mental state* of our people. We must persuade them that their preservation as a race is a *good* value, not a bad one, that it is not wrong to be concerned about the future of *White* people, yes White people, as opposed to the usual concern about blacks, browns, Jews, and the rest. By succeeding in that persuasion, which includes the use of reason, hope, emotion, and instinct, we will reinstill the drive in our people for the preservation of their own kind, for the drive for preservation is in fact the default position of every living thing whether it be individual creatures or the races that are composed of same. Thus it is our task merely to reinstill within our White people that which is present within them naturally, and it is that fact which should give us cause for encouragement regardless of the dire situation in which we presently find ourselves.

One can describe the present situation of our White people in many different ways, no doubt, but it all boils down to the fact that our drive for self-preservation is lacking. Whether we are talking about our people's tolerance for the non-white invasions of their countries, the fact that our people are afraid to stand up for their own best interests as White people for fear of "offending" the other races, or even the fact that our people are producing so few children to replace the passing generations, every manifestation of our racial predicament today can be traced back to the fact that our desire for self-preservation has become weakened, if not eliminated altogether. While the other races are encouraged to fight for their own best interests, White people are *discouraged* from

doing so. While the black man is encouraged by society to proclaim that “black lives matter” when black lives are taken by White police officers, no such encouragement is made to the White man to proclaim that *White* lives matter when White lives are taken by *blacks*, something that occurs with far, far greater frequency. Anything and everything that is “racial” in the current society presumes that White people are disallowed from playing any part *for themselves*; White people are the one race that is *not* allowed to be racial as far as the mass media, government, universities, and other institutions of influence and power are concerned. Everything concerning race in our society is geared to empower every race *but* the White. Indeed, mere steps to *preserve* the White Race are deemed to be off limits too! Thus it is that our drive for self-preservation is under attack. It is under attack both from the outside and from within our own psyche. It is, in sum, assumed by the societies in which we live that White people should not *want* to preserve their own kind. That is the sickness of our times, in brief, and it is a sickness that should, by all rights, leap off the page before the reader. *Never before in the history of the world has a people been willing to make such an assumption, that the preservation of itself has no value*, and yet that is the sickness with which our White people everywhere are imbued today. In times past, racial preservation was so basic a societal value that hardly anybody needed to discuss it. Now, however, our White people have become conditioned not to care about their own preservation in the world. They have turned their backs on their own preservation, and thus turned their backs on *themselves*.

Thus while the other races, without a second thought, station their armies on their own borders to stop incursions by other peoples and races, White people are expected to open their *own* borders to every race under the sun, and even to provide financial assistance to their invaders once they are there. Thus while the other races are deemed to be entitled to *lands of their own* without debate or contention, White people are *not* deemed to be entitled to lands of *their* own anywhere

on this earth. Everything that White people have is in fact for the *taking* today; whether the other races *deserve* to take what we have is deemed to be beside the point. Rather, the very *presence* of the non-white races is deemed to create a responsibility somehow on the part of White people to help them, including helping them to annex everything that we have created with our own hands, our own toil, and our own genius. Every semi-simian “human being” is told that he has a rightful claim to everything that is ours, and if we should have the temerity to deny that claim, we are somehow “haters,” “racists,” and whatever other current pejorative that can successfully be used to intimidate us out of defending our own kind and our own best interests. The White man, in response to this attack upon his drive for his own self-preservation, is so anxious to be a “nice guy” that he will clip his own wings in order to appease his accusers and thus makes himself prey for whatever non-white hyena who wants to gobble him up. He is like a tiger who would de-fang and de-claw himself so that the other races would think him “nice,” nice enough to *slaughter*, that is. Such is the sickness of the times that we are in today, a world in which the White man gleefully throws away any and all tools that he needs for his own survival.

Since “all men are (supposedly) created equal,” it is presumed that any “equality” that the other races lack in their actual lives must be the White Man’s fault. It is not considered, or at least discussed, that the lack of “equality” suffered by the non-white races is simply a reflection of their innately unequal nature, that basic genetics obviously has a role to play in the prosperity of a people. Rather, it is the very lack of prosperity of the various non-white races when they are left to their own devices which motivates White people to shove aside the pursuit of their *own* best interests as the White people that they are in order to attain prosperity *for the non-white races* which eludes those races when they are left alone without the White Man’s help. Thus the White Man robs Peter, himself, in order to pay Paul, the other races, with sustenance and advantages that

Paul never deserved or had any right to in the first place and, in the process, weakens his own preservation on this earth. His focus is removed from the benefit of his own kind and transferred to that of the other races, at the expense of that benefit. His own race withers away as a result.

It stands to reason that if you give someone something, you no longer have it yourself, and yet (amazingly) our White people have yet to figure that out in their dealings with the other races. Hence they give, give, and give some more to them and yet wonder why it is that their own lives are not as prosperous as they think they ought to be. Children understand the principle easily enough, but that is only because they have yet to be indoctrinated with destructive ideologies that divorce them from their common sense and the real world. Children understand that if they fork over that which is theirs to somebody else, it won't be *theirs* anymore! And the same, of course, applies to the *races*. Our White people though, once they become adults, are instead imbued with a bizarre, suicidal altruism that would presume that their bounty is without limit and their fortunes without risk. *What is so glibly disparaged as the "primitive self-interest" of a race is, in fact, the mechanism by which every race on this earth continues to exist*, and yet White people would cast aside the usage of that mechanism for *itself*. Thus while the non-white races are given the White Man's blessing to pursue their *own* "primitive self-interest" for their own kind as they see fit, the White Man refuses to give the same permission to *himself* and that too is indicative of the sickness of our times. It is thought by White people that it is somehow "beneath" them that they pursue their *own* best interests, that supposed "higher ideals" in fact *require* them to sacrifice their own best interests as a race in favor of the collective interest of a supposed "common humanity." The reality, however, is that no such "common humanity" exists and never has, nor is the preservation of one's own kind obtained through service to that supposed "common humanity." Rather, it is the case that the races of life that exist in this world are preserved through total allegiance to their

own, *exclusive* best interests in all things and at all times. Races are preserved through the *fulfillment* of their own best interests, not their sacrifice. Indeed, the preservation of one's own kind is the best interest of all! *That* is the highest ideal regardless of whether somebody may think it "primitive" or not, for after all, if a race no longer *exists*, there is precious little reason to care about whatever other issues might have concerned it before it met its demise, including the "ideals" that it once held. Rather, whatever "ideals" it may have had will have vanished with it. Thus it must be so that the preservation of the race is the highest ideal of all since no other ideals can exist for that race without the continued existence of the race itself. Whatever ideals that men have must be subordinated to the ideal that the race itself should continue to live, for no ideal can be more important than life itself for that race. It is furthermore not the survival of a common "humanity" that matters but rather the survival of the White Race that *we are*. The maintenance of that *existence* is the highest ideal for it is the existence of *us* that is thus maintained.

The White Man though, as is obvious, has replaced the ideal of his own existence and preservation of same with that of ideals that not only ignore that preservation but which also positively harm it. The idea of so-called "racial equality," for example, has *never* been an ideal that is conducive to the preservation of our kind since it breaks down the natural barriers that exist between the races, barriers that would otherwise keep them intact. Indeed, it is not by "equality" that a race preserves itself in this world but rather by total *chauvinism* for its own kind and its own best interests. It is *sick* in fact for a race to treat a different race the same as *itself*. It is *counter* to the drive for self-preservation to deem the other races of life on this earth to be of equal value to that of your own race. The current society attacks all notions of racial superiority and yet it is the feeling of racial *superiority*, not "equality," that motivates a race to preserve itself, to avoid mixture with the other races, and to defend the culture and civilization it has created. It is the feeling of

racial *superiority*, not “equality,” that provides a race with the impetus to preserve itself. There can, on the other hand, be no possibility for the preservation of your own race if you deem the races of this world to be interchangeable, i.e. “equal” to one another. It is thus their uniqueness, and the *recognition* of that uniqueness, that provides the motivation to preserve your own kind. Thus by denying that uniqueness, that *inequality* which is in fact basic to all living things on this earth, our White people set the stage for their own racial demise, for a race that deems itself merely to be of “equal” worth to that of the other races has little to no incentive to preserve itself. Thus it is that belief in racial *inequality* is friendly to life while belief in racial “equality” is hostile to it.

Thus it is that our White people today have matters exactly backwards. They aim for an ideal that is destructive to their own preservation. They ignore the differences between races where recognition is so necessary for that preservation. They pretend that skin color is the only difference between the races of men, thus eliminating their incentive to preserve their own kind amidst the sea of other races that threaten it by virtue of their very presence amongst them. They fail to appreciate that racial competition and hostility is basic to all life on this planet, as it should be. A race cannot be preserved if it lacks unique regard for itself, the recognition of its unique existence, and at its most basic level, a race obviously cannot survive if its members breed willy-nilly with other races under the misplaced belief that they are their “equals.” Rather, racial mixing is racial *destruction*. The moment that a race has become “colorblind,” it has begun to sign its own death warrant, and yet that is the “ideal” which our White people would laud so much.

Thus it is that the very “ideals” that our White people have chosen to embrace are hostile to their continued existence on this earth. Thus it is that if we mean to save that existence, we must destroy those ideals in the hearts and minds of men. We do not have the luxury of a “live and let live” attitude if we mean for our *race* to continue to live. A race rather must also be imbued

with the *will* to live, to deem its continued life to be of the *utmost* value, not a negligible or non-existent one. Values which are *not* racial are in fact *enemies* of a race, not its friends. If a race is to be preserved, that would-be preservation must be deemed to have value.

With these principles in mind, we can see that the situation around us today is a total mess. With every passing year the position of the White Race in this world becomes weaker while that of the non-white races grows stronger. Our White Race is afflicted with a hideous guilt complex as far as its past treatment of the non-white races is concerned. However, this is a guilt complex that was never justifiably incurred in the first place since that treatment was merely an expression of our own drive for self-preservation that we formerly possessed as a race. Indeed, not only do races in a state of nature *not* apologize for their negative treatment of those other races which they confront, but that negative treatment is an expression of their *vigor* and their *health* in the struggle for life. No apologies are owed and none should be given. Every treatment of one race by another is entirely justified in so far as that is a result of their mutual competition in the struggle for life, and “guilt” itself thus has no place in that regard. It is, in other words, a *sick* race that feels guilty about its treatment of other races in the past when that treatment was meted out in the first place as part of its drive to preserve (and advance) *itself*. There is simply nothing that White people should feel guilty about as far as their past treatment of the non-white races is concerned, and yet our White people wring their hands today like old ninnies due to the guilt trips that have been laid out for them by the aggressively arrogant, but supposedly “oppressed” non-white races and their self-contemptuous and opportunist White backers. If we conquered the other races, we conquered for *us*. If we enslaved the other races, we enslaved for *us*. *That* is what matters: the fact that we did it for us! That is where our concern must lie and nowhere else.



The non-white races use our own misguided ideals to destroy us, just as they use our technology, our laws, and everything else that the White Man has invented and which he should have kept for himself alone. People, however, have practically no comprehension about this fact; they never stop and consider that when blacks, for instance, murder White people, they usually do so with guns that White people invented; that when they file lawsuits complaining about the “equality” that has allegedly been denied to them, they are using a legal system that was created by the White Man; and that when they complain about a lack of “proper housing,” it was the White Man who showed them what a “house” was in the first place. Rather, it is the case that our White people lack any kind of historical perspective at all when it comes to race. They do not fathom the fact that *barbarism* is the black man’s natural condition, that it is not the White Man who had ever “held him down” but that it is the White Man rather who has *lifted him up* in all respects. Everything that the White Man has created for the advancement of humanity is taken for *granted* and, without even a scintilla of shame or inhibition, the non-white races will use those creations to destroy their creator. Laws made by White Men will be twisted in order to dispossess the White Man of everything he has. Civilization made by White Men will be used to eject the White Man from that civilization. The languages of White Men will be manipulated to convince the White Man that he is no good. Political ideals made by White Men will be used to destroy the White Man’s political existence. This occurs continuously and continually and yet, due to our lack of historical sense and appreciation for the contributions of our own people to this world, we fail to see it. It is assumed, rather, that there is a lack of historical hierarchy among men that gives everybody, of whatever race, the right to a supposed clean slate in their dealings with others regardless of their racial background and, more importantly, regardless of the respective contributions of the various races of men to the civilization that exists all around them.

Furthermore, it is assumed that no injustice can ever be meted out to our own White people at the hands of the non-white races simply because we are *White*. Indeed, as far as the present society is concerned, it is impossible for White people *ever* to be wronged as a people. The non-white races, especially the black, can murder, rape, and rob our people to their hearts' content without it ever being admitted that a wrong to our White *Race* has occurred. Our countries can be taken over by every non-white hue under the sun without it even being allowed that we have cause for complaint. If, on the other hand, these things were to be done to the non-white races by the *White*, a deafening scream of outrage would be emitted, especially among the “liberal” White people themselves who are determined always to view non-whites as *victims* regardless of the facts. Thus every act of conquest carried out by White people in the past against the non-white races and their territories is condemned while *none* of the many conquests carried out by the non-white races of the *White* Race and *its* territories are even *regretted*, never mind condemned. The very lowness of the non-white races somehow gives them a free pass in all of their actions; it is deemed impermissible to blame them as a race while White people, on the other hand, are blamed as a race all the time. *Thus the very inferiority of the non-white races has oddly motivated our White people to take their side at the expense of their own kind.* We view the non-white races as *underdogs* and have taken their side accordingly, while rebuking our own supposedly “privileged” selves. We are thus the victims of our own success while the non-whites are the beneficiaries of their own failure.

It is decried that the White Man conquered the American Indian but the American White Man is supposed to let himself be conquered by mestizos, orientals, blacks, Arabs, and every other non-white race. It is decried that there was European colonization of Africa, Asia, and the Americas in the past but the European White Man is today supposed to let Europe be colonized by

Africa, Asia, and the Middle East. Everything that the White Man would criticize *himself* about in regards to his past treatment of the other races he allows the other races to do to *him*. He is blinded by an idiotic altruism that would omit the welfare of his own kind and indeed hate it. He wishes to advance the “rights” of the non-white races at the expense of his own right to *exist*. He fights for the future of the non-white races at the expense of his own future. Such is the sickness of our times. Since we ourselves are a thoroughly conscientious race, we end up assuming that the other races are as well. Hence we assume that they feel what we feel and think what we think when in reality they do neither. Since ideas of fairness and justice are so fundamental to our own nature as a race, we end up carrying those ideas over to the needs and desires of the other races too. Hence why our White people are always so eager to put themselves in their shoes; the generous quantity of fairness and justice with which our own race is endowed bursts its racially-exclusive bounds and extends to that of the welfare of the other races too. In the process, we end up seeing the world from *their* point of view since they seem so obviously to be deprived of the same “privileges” and “advantages” that we perceive ourselves to possess. In a word, our heightened sense of fairness and justice as a race ends up evoking our *sympathy* for the plight of the non-white races beyond that for *ourselves* and our own best interests, a sentiment that is utterly lacking in reverse since notions of fairness and justice are considerably weaker within those races. Since we would not like to see *ourselves* ill-off, we are thus bothered when we see *the other races* ill-off. Thus since we want fairness and justice for *ourselves*, we want fairness and justice for the other races too, that fairness and justice being defined by what we would want for *ourselves*.

The indifference with which the races regard each other in Nature is thus erased when it comes to our own sentiments. The non-white races essentially become colored versions of *ourselves* in our minds, and since we would want the best for *ourselves* as individuals, we want

the best for them too. Then, when that best is not forthcoming, we presume that we have been unfair and unjust to them on account of the higher standard of living that we ourselves possess and our (supposed) control of the world around us. In other words, since we are the ones (supposedly) in power and the races of men are all (supposedly) “the same” as one another, we presume that it must be our fault when the other races do not have “the same” as us. Those with more must have “deprived” those with less. Thus we rationalize that since *we* (supposedly) have the best, *they* should have the best too. That is the logic, or lack thereof, that guides the thinking of our confused White Race. We want to give to the other races because we would want to receive that largesse if it were *us*. We want to be “fair” to the other races because we would want fairness if it were *us*. We define “justice” for the other races by that which we suppose would be just to *us*. Instead of taking the other races at the level at which they actually are, a level which is lower than ours and thus less deserving on that basis, we raise them to our own level in our minds and rebuke *ourselves* when that level is not actually reached. Instead of looking at the world *subjectively* as does every other race on earth, we look at it *objectively* as a result of our heightened sense of fairness and justice, and since the other races are so obviously inferior in their lives as matters stand, we take their viewpoint in order to explain that inferiority. It does not seem “fair” that they would be inferior to us and so we rush to their aid to stop that from being so. In the process, though, we subvert and ignore our own best interests as a race, weakening and, in fact, *extirpating* our own drive for self-preservation in this world. The non-white races colonize the lands of the White Race as part of *their* healthy drive for self-preservation but instead of our resisting that as part of our *own* healthy drive for same, we *assist* them in their conquest! All of this flies in the face of the natural order of things, of course, but since our White Race has become thoroughly *de-natured*, it does not realize this nor care.

The myth of “racial equality” plays a large role in all of this as well but had our people not been imbued with such an exaggerated sense of fairness and justice in the first place as we have mentioned, the damage done to the preservation of our kind on this earth would not have been nearly as great as has become the case. Indeed, it may well be that our absurdly proportioned sense of fairness and justice motivated us to create and accept the idea that the races of men are “equal” to one another in the first place. It did not seem “fair” or “just” to us that some races of men might simply be *better* than others and so we pronounced them “equal” in an effort to remedy the “unfairness” and “injustice” of such a scenario. It did not seem “fair” or “just” to us that a Supreme Being would have created races of men that were unequal to one another, and so we told ourselves that he *didn’t*. It does not seem “fair” that we should exclude them from our society and so we *don’t*. Of course, we could just as easily say the same thing about all of the races of *animals* in the world too and that’s, in fact, where we went wrong: our thinking that our notions of fairness and justice should extend beyond our dealings with those of our own kind in the first place, whether to the other races of men, the races of animals, or to anything else. Indeed, notions of fairness and justice are entirely *intra-racial* in Nature, not interracial; they are part of a race’s drive for *preservation* in that they advance the race in its dealings with *itself*. They regulate the conduct of its members in a way that is consistent, reliable, and advantageous to the racial whole. Therefore, *notions of fairness and justice can never be permitted to undermine the preservation of a race, that is, if that race wants to continue to live*. Fairness and justice have to be *subordinate* sentiments to the drive for self-preservation itself, not hostile to that drive, for otherwise they will have become hostile to the very reason why they were developed in our kind in the first place. Notions of fairness and justice are thus only a *means* to an end, not an end in themselves, and that end is the preservation of the race in which they arose, not its demise. A race which would replace its

drive for self-preservation with heady and fanciful ideas of “fairness and justice towards all mankind” and other such drivel is not long for this earth, not unless it quickly gets its head straightened out, throws such drivel overboard, and reasserts its own will to live regardless of the cost.

The situation that our White people face today is thus extremely ironic: we developed notions of fairness and justice that are higher and more refined than that of any other race on earth and we developed these notions precisely as a result of our own racial superiority; and yet, due to the very fact that these notions are indeed so strong within us, we have extended them to the welfare of the non-white races as well, thus setting the stage for the *destruction* of that superiority and indeed our very existence. We allow the infiltration of our culture, civilization, and bloodlines, destroying all. In other words, it is our very superiority as a race which leads to our *downfall* as a race. Our refined sense of fairness and justice ultimately leads to the spread of *barbarism*, not to the spread of our higher race and its higher culture as one might have otherwise expected. Thanks to our assistance as the nice chumps that we are, the other races expand at our *expense*. Our generosity raises them up all right but we create a threat to our very survival in the process that otherwise would never have existed. We are so much higher than the non-white races that we perceive a need to *help* them; in consequence, though, we cause the cutting of our own throats and our own destruction. Were we a *lower* race, we would be uninterested in helping the non-white races just as they are uninterested in helping us right now; but alas, since we are the highest, we believe that we can and *should*. Thus our superiority ends up *hurting* us. We let the other races into our territories and otherwise assist them because we (erroneously) think that we can *afford* to. By doing so though, we cause our own racial destruction, eliminating the racial superiority that enabled us to go out of our way to help the non-white races in the first place. Not only is our race

actually destroyed by virtue of their mere presence around us but our very *drive* for self-preservation is weakened by virtue of the anti-racial propaganda that is also employed to justify and encourage that presence. The whole thing thus becomes a vicious circle with our White Race being assaulted from all sides, in all ways, and in all aspects. Our habitat is overrun, our culture is destroyed, our lives are murdered, and our genes are mixed. We are the victims of *genocide*.

If this situation seems altogether sick, that is because it is. That is because it is part of the sickness of our times: a time where a race has become so superior that it is able to use its superiority against *itself* by virtue of its generosity to those other races who would overthrow it, and uses that which normally are tools *for* its own preservation (notions of fairness and justice) to go *against* that preservation. Thus we shoot ourselves as a race in the head. Our race becomes the victim of its own success. Our generosity destroys our own existence. Instead of *preserving* our kind with our sentiments, we create conditions with them that would erase it from the face of the earth. Our hyper altruism turns us into hyper *fools*.

The non-white races, again especially the black, figured out some time ago that all they need do to keep the White Man cringing, and on the defensive, is to never act satisfied with their lot, always demand *more* when they are given *anything*, and always claim that there is a hidden racism lurking about, somewhere, that is holding them down, back, and behind from enjoying the prosperity that would otherwise be theirs were the nasty White Man not (supposedly) in power and thus not “oppressing” them. Thus the White Man rushes to the black man with gifts in hand only to be scorned and abused for not doing *more*, the White Man foolishly taking this as proof that he is not doing *enough* for the black man whose feelings he had apparently hurt so badly. The White Man assumes that the outrage of the black man has a valid basis since he cannot imagine *himself* ever feigning outrage in order to sponge off another race, that kind of dishonorable conduct being

totally alien to his own nature. In reality, he never should have given the black man anything in the first place, that it is the *giving* that is the problem, not the extent of the gift. The black man will *never* be satisfied because his feigned outrage can always be used to induce the White Man into giving him more. The black man does not deal with the White Man with a view towards achieving objective justice, certainly not the kind of justice that the White Man would conjure up in his own head. Rather, the black man deals with the White Man as a being whose altruism is something to *exploit*. He bites the hand that feeds him because he knows that the White Man has convinced himself, falsely, that his *own* wrong has caused the bite, that the black man can only be mad because the White Man has done him wrong. As a result, our White people continually dispossess themselves in the black race's favor, only hastening their own racial demise. There is a never-ending attempt to appease a race that can never be appeased. There is a never-ending transfer of our wealth to a race that in no way deserves it. The black race is like a child that cries because it has only been given *one* cookie, cries some more when it is given a second, and is thus given still more cookies in the forlorn hope that it will stop crying at some point. However, it doesn't, and the tearful face quickly turns into a smiling one when the foolish parent (the White Race) turns its head. White people mistakenly assume that every race has the same sense of justice, that all that is necessary for everyone to be happy is for every race to be treated "fairly," a concept that is pretty much limited to the White Man's own psyche in the first place. Thus the White Man imputes sentiments and values to the other races that he himself possesses, not understanding that these sentiments and values may well be alien to the natures of those who are *not* of his own kind. As always, the White Man would delude himself into thinking that the races of men are all the same except for the color of their skin and thus assumes that, if the non-white *races* are dissatisfied with their lot at the hands of the White Man, he, the White Man, would be dissatisfied too were he



in their shoes and thus that it is the White Man's fault. The other races only need complain and the White Man assumes that he is to blame. His own conscientiousness is at such a high level that he assumes that whatever outrage that is professed by the non-white races cannot possibly exist without a just cause. The result, of course, is that he is taken from without end. He is taken from until there is nothing left to take. And all the while that the White Man insists on being colorblind, the other races see nothing *but* color. They are for the best interests of their *own* races while the White Man abandons *his* best interests to the extent that he forgets that such things can even *exist*.

Thus when the non-white "refugees" are allowed into Europe, they are not satisfied with that generosity by any means. Rather, they expect, demand, and receive free food, free shelter, free education, and free health care and, if these things are not forthcoming, they *riot*. That alone should tell White people that the problem is the *giving* of the refuge in the first place, that they themselves would be better off never having given the would-be "refugees" anything at all. The attitude of every non-white invader is that the White Man *owes* him and that, if he fails to deliver, he has the right to acquire the White Man's bounty *by force*. Our people have thus become a *servant* race to the world, that world deluded by the "all men are created equal" propaganda lie that subsequently motivates it to wrest that supposed "equality" from the White Man by whatever means necessary. After all, if it is really true that "all men are created equal," as the White Man has foolishly proclaimed to the non-white world contrary to all evidence and logic, it is understandable why the non-white races would think themselves justified in seizing some of that supposed "equality" from the White Man so that they too can live a life that corresponds to their supposedly innate equality as human beings. In other words, if the races of men are truly "equal" in value to one another, shouldn't they all be living equal lives and have equal material prosperity, and if they are *not* enjoying that equal standard of living with the White Man, why *shouldn't* they

seize the prosperity of the White people and thus “equalize” the situation for everyone, by force if necessary? *That is the mental outlook of our invaders* and it is likewise the mentality that exists wherever our people are at the mercy of non-white rule: our farms, our personal possessions, and our money will be expropriated by the non-white-ruled State, as happens today in “Zimbabwe” (Rhodesia), all in the name of “equality,” of course. Thus the falsehood of “racial equality” not only damages our will to preserve our own kind but it encourages the non-white races to invade our territories and seize the prosperity that we ourselves have worked for and earned. The non-white races feel themselves entitled to an equal standard of living with the White Man with whom they are supposedly equal and, when they cannot acquire that on their own, they feel themselves justified in taking it from the White Man through the evocation of sympathy, the employment of coercion, or by outright theft and violence. The White Man has caused the other races to have unjustified expectations about the quality of life they are meant to live; he causes a threat to his own continued existence as a result. Since the non-white races have been led to believe that they are our “equals,” they assume that the White Man, who has more than them, has somehow treated them unfairly, that he has “oppressed” them, and that he is, essentially, wrongfully withholding from them the equal life that is appropriate for their supposedly “equal” selves. This results in resentment on the part of the non-white races and deprivation on the part of our own kind. We make and the non-whites take. No one has ever been able to explain why, if the races of men are truly “equal” to one another, they *shouldn’t* have the same level of material prosperity. And why *shouldn’t* White people be forced to fork over their own (alleged) prosperity to the non-white races in order to “equalize” a situation that seems so unequal as it stands? The answer, of course, is that the idea that the races are equal in ability, capacity, or *value* is absurdly false in the first place. It

is a falsehood that has done tremendous damage to our people in the weakening of its drive to preserve itself.

The very fact that all of the nations of our White Race have discarded any and all racial considerations in regards to their immigration laws is all of the evidence necessary to demonstrate the truth of the previous statement. We are swarmed with non-white invaders under the delusion that they are the “equals” of our own White people and, as a result, our cultures, our genes, and our lives are destroyed by virtue of our taking them in. Our societies are made ever and ever more inferior through the ever-increasing numbers of inferior non-white inhabitants within them, an outcome that would have been entirely foreseeable and predictable had we admitted to ourselves that all men are *not* created equal. Pretending that every creature that can stand on two legs and speak is the “equal” of every other sacrifices everything that we are, everything that we’ve built, and everything that we cherish in this world. By disregarding the fact that all men are *not* created equal, we allow the habitat of our own kind to be overrun and thus the precondition for our own continued existence to be destroyed, for, just as species in *Nature* require their own habitat to survive, so too do the races of *men*. Every rape and slaughter of our women, every degradation of our culture, and every desecration of our bloodlines that occurs today can and should be laid at the altar of the "racial equality" idol which has failed to protect our kind in its struggle for life. The lowering of our own self-regard and value as a people, in order to make us the "equal" of the non-white races, has only emboldened those races to murder, rob, and rape us and invited them otherwise to hate us for our (supposedly) unjustified success. It is not some kind of coincidence, after all, that the more that our White people bend over backwards to demonstrate to the non-white races their belief in racial "equality," the *more* they are attacked and abused by the very races that are the beneficiaries of such foolishness. We also *tolerate* those attacks and abuse, attacks and

abuse which had previously been unheard of when we were a strong and virile race that did not apologize for its own success. Indeed, it is a fact that crimes against our White people by the non-white races are far more numerous now that we have proclaimed them our "equals"; we were far more secure in our lives and our property as a people when we deemed them our *inferiors* and they knew it! (Individuals of inferior race are naturally far less likely to assault those whom they deem to be more *god* than man.) As a result of the "racial equality" lie, our people thus lose their value in their own eyes as well as in the eyes of their despoilers. We lose our respect for ourselves and the respect of others.

When stripped of the "politically correct" niceties and general dishonesty that rules the society of today, the underlying attitude of the black race toward the White in America is that of *extortion* and, conversely, the underlying attitude of the White Race toward the black is that of *appeasement*. The black race gets what it wants by always, at any moment, being ready to employ violence if it doesn't. It is not necessary that the threat be made out loud or even that it be made directly. Rather, it is enough that the black race has a history of spontaneous, impulsive violence that is prone to breaking out at any time, with no provocation based in logic or reason being necessary to set it off. Even with the liberal "equality" propaganda infecting his mind, the White Man is still sensible enough to view the black race as being inherently more violent than his own and the black race, for its part, certainly does nothing to disabuse the White Man of that assumption. Rather, the black race uses it to the hilt in order to convince the White Man that he really should give in to his demands . . . *or else*. Thus not only does the political system in America favor the black race (through so-called "affirmative action," for example) but the ever-present threat of violence from that quarter induces White people to sacrifice their *own* sense of justice, their *own* sense of right and wrong, and their *own* best interests to the demands, and *good humor*,

of the black race. There is probably not a racially-integrated public school in America, for example, that has not witnessed the pathetic spectacle of a beleaguered White teacher putting up with grossly obnoxious behavior on the part of her black students that she never would have put up with in her White students in a million years. That is because the White teachers are afraid of coming down "too hard" on the blacks for fear that a kind of tribal, animalistic violence will be unleashed towards them as a result. Whites, on the other hand, are always *expected* to behave themselves better than blacks, the myth of "racial equality" notwithstanding. Whites are expected to be able to *control* themselves while their supposed "equals" are not. Blacks simply "can't help it" if they get worked up about the world around them; their impulse control is deemed to be at a lower level by *everybody*. Still, they are deemed to be "equal" all the same because that is what the myth *says*.

Thus the perceived inherent violent nature of the black race extorts our White Race into conduct, as well as attitudes, that are against its own volition. It is probably difficult to find a White man anywhere who is afraid of the *debating skills* of the black man. It is, though, very easy to find White people who are afraid of the violent tendencies of blacks. That is proof enough that what is said here is true. There is a clash within the White Man's psyche between his liberal, brain-polluted education and that of his *instincts*. His (mis)education may well tell him all day that "all men are created equal" but his *instincts* tell him something very different. His "education" may cause him to conceive of an "equal" race all right but his instincts perceive a more *primitive* one, one where reason and logic rule the day less often than in his own. In any case, the net result of all of this is that blacks are allowed to throw their weight (and aggression) around in our society more than our own White people are. Not only does the black race have the benefit of an officially "equal" reputation that is totally undeserved, and which is contrary to all evidence, history, and

logic, but the fear of that race by our own induces us to tolerate its destruction of our own culture and civilization from within. Our women are afraid to reject the sexual advances of the black man for fear of being called a "racist." The legal system refuses to prosecute blacks for hate crimes against Whites because that would imply that the supposedly innocent, "oppressed" black race is really not so "oppressed" after all. Fear is always part of the equation in one form or another and that fear always keeps us from looking out for our own best interests as a people. The black man ends up having *more* rights than the White. He has *more* freedom to be himself while White people, on the other hand, are always expected to accommodate him, believe in the legitimacy of his complaints, and disregard their own. The absurd theory of "racial equality" subjugates White people to their inferiors and is not even upheld for the White people themselves. Rather, the other races receive a privileged status in our society while we as White people abuse *each other*. The result, of course, is that our White race grows progressively weaker in its presence on this earth as it is attacked both from without and from within. Since we are not permitted to unite on racial grounds, we divide and conquer *ourselves*.

Notably, it is oftentimes the same (White) people who vehemently condemn the notion that the White Race is a superior race who are quick to proclaim that "America is the greatest country on earth" and other such "unequal" sentiments reflecting a belief in *American* superiority. The question is thus begged: why should we be willing to proclaim the superiority of a *country* and not the superiority of a *race*? Why is the one so moral, wonderful, and expected while the other is so immoral, horrible, and outlandish? Countries are made up of *people*, after all, so why should we be so shy about proclaiming the greatness of our own *people*, White people? How can a *country* be worthy of our high regard, but not our own kind who inhabit it? How can we talk about the greatness of a *country* and despise the greatness of the *race* that made it great in the first place? Is

there such a thing as a great country without a great people, and is there such a thing as great people without a great race? Is there anybody who would call an *uninhabited* country "great"? And what are countries composed of but *races*? It ought to be fairly self-evident that countries do not make *themselves* great. Rather, it takes *people* to make them great and people are composed of *races*. Great *races* create great *countries*. Inferior races create *inferior* countries. The proliferation of inferior races necessarily renders a country *no longer* "great." Common sense ought to tell people that, just as you cannot make a silk purse out of a sow's ear, you cannot make a great country if the raw material is not there, nor *preserve* its greatness if that raw material disappears or is overwhelmed, that the works of men necessarily depend upon *the quality of the men themselves*.

The failure of our White people to concede and embrace such basic, common sense facts causes them to forfeit the near-utopia that could have been theirs, substituting inevitable misery in its stead. Greatness, after all, is something that has to be *guarded*, not abandoned to happenstance. That guarding also happens to be an important part of a race's drive for *self-preservation*; races in Nature guard themselves in order to *preserve* themselves, and that includes the guarding of the particular societies that they have created for themselves. Intruders are *resisted*, not assisted. Thus a people that carelessly lets any and all other peoples and races into its country is one that has lost its drive to preserve itself and, unless it recovers that drive, through the force of necessity or otherwise, is doomed to extinction, quite simply. Our White people find themselves *cowed* today into allowing the non-white races to invade their countries, something that can only be called the freak-show disgrace that it truly is. The tacit assumption behind the idea that the non-white races have a "right" to enter our countries *is that White people have no right to preserve themselves, their culture, or their unique way of life*, an assumption that is as sickening as it is false, and yet

the bizarre fact of the matter is that our people have embraced that assumption in its entirety! We wince when we are accused of not letting in enough non-white invaders. We meet them with rescue boats instead of gunships! Then, when the invaders are indeed allowed into our lands, our habitats, they quickly proceed to transform the country into looking like the very hellholes that they came from, our White people yet again being cowed into capitulating, this time to the overthrow of their culture and civilization altogether at the hands of another race. Not only are we denied the right to determine who enters our own habitat in the first place, which is one of the most basic rights that a people could ever possess, but the invaders demand that they also be allowed to take it over after they have entered it, and if we refuse or even *complain* about this dispossession of our own kind, our own culture, and our own civilization, we are alleged to be the bad guys! One would be hard-pressed to find a time that was *more* sick in this regard. One would be hard-pressed to find any time in history where a race so willingly allowed itself to be removed from the face of the earth and where the mere *idea* of resistance to that removal was utterly condemned by the society in which it lives. Even when the non-white races invade us illegally, they are given lawyers to advocate for their "rights," for goodness sakes, upon their capture! Yes, a sickness has infected the psyche of our people, not only that they would, at least in some cases, give their wholehearted blessing to such an outrage but that they would fail to resist and destroy it with the courage and will of their forefathers and which their sheer instinct as living beings further demands. It would seem that no oppression, no subjugation, no humiliation of our kind today is too crass for us to tolerate; rather the outrages that we as a race endure are always deemed to be justified one way or the other since it is *White* people who are on the receiving end. No one has ever explained in the first place why it is that we should be forced to live with races we simply do not want to live with. No one has ever explained why we, as White people, should have to cater



to the desires of everybody *but* ourselves. No one has ever explained why it is fine for the non-white races to have their *own* countries but *not* fine for White people to have *theirs*. No one has ever explained why a race should ever allow *other* races to determine its own destiny. Nobody has ever explained why a race should treat different races the same as it treats *itself*. These are all ideas that have been foisted upon the psyche of the White Man to his detriment. They are ideas that are hostile to his own preservation.

The White Man today finds himself in the bizarre predicament of being shamed into allowing his own annihilation. If, for example, he raises even a mild protest about his habitat being invaded by the non-white races, he is accused of "lacking compassion" for the supposed plight of "those merely seeking a better life." On the other hand, nobody ever complains about *the other races* "lacking compassion" for their own kind; rather, that is only the *White Man's* burden. Likewise, if he doesn't think that other races should have the same right to live in *his* society as *he* does, he is "intolerant" and "full of hate." On the other hand, the other races freely exclude from their own societies whom they *please*, no criticism for that ever being forthcoming. If the White Man dares to proclaim that White lives matter, he is called a racist for not saying that *black* lives matter. If he believes that it is immoral for White women to give birth to non-white children, he is declared to be immoral himself. If he dares to assert that his own culture and civilization are superior to that which the non-white races have produced, he is "reminded" that his forefathers supposedly "oppressed" those races and thus whatever flaws they possess are "really" the fault of his own race. At every turn, the White Man is thus discouraged from doing anything that would help to preserve his own kind, his own culture, and his own civilization. *Black* lives matter, not White. *Black* culture should be celebrated, not White. Since the White Race supposedly "wronged the world" in the past, it is open season on the White Race *now*. The non-white races are

encouraged to increase *their* political power in our society, and yet White people are condemned if they should seek to do the same *for their own kind*. It is considered a sad state of affairs for the non-white races to be a minority in our country but perfectly fine, and indeed desirable, that White people should become a minority in *theirs*. The non-white races are permitted and encouraged to colonize the lands where *we* live while the White Man's colonization of the non-white lands in the past is *condemned*. There are White liberals galore who spend their whole lives fretting about the (supposed) genocide of the non-white races in the past but when the genocide of the *White Race today* is at issue, they are nowhere to be found. The double standard is plain, obvious, and sickening; they are the times in which we live. They are anti-white preservation to the core; nor would the times themselves challenge that description. What's worse though is that our people are seemingly *content* with living in a world that has declared that they must *die* and that everything that they and their forefathers created must be *destroyed*, and without even a whimper at that. Again there is no comparison in world history with such a perverted state of affairs, a state of affairs through which our White people are persuaded to surrender their lands, their cultures, their neighborhoods, their bloodlines, and anything and everything else that was bequeathed to them by their forefathers, and which belongs to *them*. There is no precedent for an entire race being willing to be erased from the face of the earth and without even bothering to be upset about it, that sickness not only being a "normal" state of affairs for it but something to which it gives its hearty blessing.

What is remarkable is that our White people allowed themselves to be coaxed out of those values in the first place which are conducive to their own preservation. "Hate," for example, is not only a good emotion in some circumstances but is absolutely necessary to preserve that which you love when that is under attack. "Intolerance" is obviously good as well if we are talking about preserving your own kind from those actions and attitudes which would destroy it. "Bias" is

*beneficial* if the treatment of your own people is at issue; *of course* we should favor our own kind and disfavor those who are *not* of our own kind in all things and at all times. *Of course* we should favor our own people, not somebody else's, and not be indifferent between the two! These propositions are so basic and obvious that it is amazing that anybody ever contested them in the first place. They are a symbol of *health*, not sickness; of *vigor*, not decline. Healthy people both love *and* hate. Healthy people want to *preserve* what is theirs, not acquiesce in its destruction. Healthy people are *intolerant* of racial invaders, sexual perverts, and every other force which undermines their healthy, mutual, instinctive, and *racial* existence. Such sentiments are worthy of *applause*, not condemnation; of codification by law, not reproached with illegality. The White Man has never needed to justify wanting to live with his own people in the first place, nor for taking whatever measures he deems necessary to ensure the continuance of that situation. It is quite enough that we may not like having the other races around us to justify their exclusion from our world. We need no more justification than our own sentiments; we need heed no other call but that of our own instincts. The "feelings" of the other races, for their part, never mattered in the slightest. What matters instead is our will to preserve ourselves, our progeny, and our kind for all times to come. A mongrel culture is not our culture. A mongrel race is not our race. We have every right to preserve the purity of what we are and resist and defeat all that which would destroy that purity. Preservation of what we *are* is its own reward and we need offer nothing else.

Thus when the non-white races spew their grievances, we never had to care. When they asserted their "rights," we could have recognized their *wrongs*. When they demanded "equality" with us in our society, we could have proclaimed the *inequality* of all living things instead and the fact that no other race was ever entitled to be part of *our* society in the first place. Men are *not* created equal. Men are *not* interchangeable. Men are *not* of equal worth to one another. Rather,

our race is better: to *us*. White humanitarianism, as dispensed to the other races, is *not* good. White racial forbearance, with regards to the desires of the other races, is *not* good either. It is that which preserves and advances *our own kind* which is good and that requires a land of our own, for our own, and by our own, exclusively. Our being on the defensive as a people is *bad*. Our apologizing to the other races for our history is *bad*. Our making way for the other races is *bad*. Our sharing with the other races is *bad*. Our equating the other races with ourselves is *bad*. Our believing in a supposed "oneness" of humanity is *bad*. It is, rather, that which *distinguishes* our people from the others which preserves it. It is that which *elevates* our race which preserves it. It is that which *separates* our race from the other races which preserves it. It is that which *values* our race which preserves it. Preservation of what we are is good, not bad, and all that which *assists* in that preservation is *good*, too! No apologies for that assistance is ever necessary. It is, rather, part of our drive for preservation that we have the right to retain just as much as every other species on this earth. It is thus the case that all that which tends to preserve our White Race on this earth is good and that is the case no matter *what* the non-white races may feel about it. We only owe *ourselves*, not everybody else. It is our *kind* that determines who is our people. It is our *kind* that determines what is our country! There is no land that is worth more than that kind. There is no State that deserves our allegiance more than the race that we are!

These are the values that could have, and should have, been. It was never wrong for us to prefer our own race in all things and at all times. It was never wrong for us to "discriminate" in our own favor. It was never wrong for us to want to live in our own neighborhoods, our own communities, our own society, our own State, and our own country. It is, furthermore, not wrong to want these things *now*. The White man who helps the non-white races to invade our countries is a *traitor*, not a hero. The White man who fights for the so-called "rights" of the non-white races

in our society is a *traitor*, not a saint! No one can possibly believe that the White Race is preserved through such actions. No one can possibly believe that it is in the best interests of the White Race, that we happen to be a part of, that we allow the other races to kill us, breed with us, befoul our culture, and replace us on this earth by virtue of their ever-encroaching presence in the lands in which we live. There is no justification for such conduct, no appeal from its consequences, and no reprieve from its punishment. We either do that which enables us to live and flourish as a race or we will continue to decline and perish. There is no such thing as an in-between scenario, nor should we desire such a scenario even were it to exist. Rather, it only makes sense that we should want the best for our own people just as we should want the best for our own families, and that we should let the other races of the world fend for themselves.

There is no particular reason to believe that values such as “tolerance,” “love,” or “compassion” are worthwhile in themselves; rather, like every other sentiment, their merit depends on the *context* of their usage and their specific *nature* in question. If, for example, someone were to be “tolerant” of the cockroach infestation of his home, we would call him a *fool*, not a sensible person, and that is so regardless of whether he declared his “love for all of God’s creatures” as his justification for that tolerance. As another example, the child molester can declare his “love” for children all he wants. However, we do not “tolerate” his activities, nor should we, and when he is arrested most people sensibly deny him their *compassion*. Most people likewise would not “tolerate” the spread of plagues, would not “love” serial killers, and would not have “compassion” for the drug dealers on their street corners. Nor would they be condemned for their lack of such sentiments in the matters at hand. Thus it cannot be said that “tolerance,” “love,” and “compassion” are good values in themselves. Rather, they have their value, or lack thereof,

depending upon their *effect* upon that which you care about. Not only do they have their limits but sometimes they are downright *harmful* feelings to have at a given time.

Most people, for example, would not “tolerate” themselves being murdered if they can help it. Rather their natural (and beneficial) drive for self-preservation would prohibit having such a “tolerance.” Likewise, most people do not “love” those who would plant bombs in their cities. Rather, the fact that individuals would do such a thing forfeits such a positive sentiment in those who would have suffered by virtue of their actions had they been successful. Likewise, most people do not have “compassion” for serial rapists and that is *good*, not bad. The problem regarding our White people is that they have allowed clever con-artists to convince them that intolerance, hatred, and a lack of compassion regarding the presence and actions of the other races within their society is always *bad*, that we should be “tolerant” of the ever-increasing presence of the non-white races within our own habitat, that we should “love” them, and that we should have “compassion” for them regardless of the effect upon *ourselves*. However, that is no more sensible than with the examples just cited. *Rather, the values of tolerance, love, and compassion are bad anytime they harm our own racial preservation, quite simply.* Thus “tolerance” is *not* good if it hurts our White Race. Thus “love” is *not* good if it hurts our White Race. Thus “compassion” is *not* good if it hurts our White Race. We do not love the child molester because he harms our children. We do not tolerate the rapist because he harms our women. We do not have compassion for the serial killer because he harms our people. The same then should apply in regards to the White Man’s dealings with the other *races*; it is the effect upon our own racial *preservation* that ought to determine whether a value or sentiment is good or not, and in order to preserve our *own* people, we simply cannot afford to tolerate, love, and have compassion for other, rival races who have their *own* best interests in mind, not ours. The black man, for example, is not thinking about

what is best for *White* people; if there is someone who thinks otherwise, let him be sold a bridge! The yellow man is not thinking about what is best for *White* people, nor does the brown man, the Arab, or the Jew. Rather, it is up to the White Man to care about *himself* and to reserve his tolerance, his love, and his compassion for *himself*, as he pleases. These emotions are *never* good when they are applied to the non-white races; they are, in fact, largely responsible for the plight that our White people face today. Any and every emotion only has merit in relation to something *else* instead of being good or bad for its own sake; there is no such thing as a particular emotion that is always good, or always bad for that matter. To hold to the contrary is to hold that the emotion matters more than life itself, for it is simply not always the case that pleasant emotions are conducive towards preserving the individual and his race at the given moment in time. Sometimes intolerance, hatred, and malice are *vital* if the individual or his race wish to continue to live. Such emotions are, in fact, a means to that end, their arising in the first place as a result of the drive for preservation with which every creature is imbued. Both love and hate have their place in the healthy organism depending upon the circumstances in which it and its *kind* find themselves. One emotion preserves the race “pleasantly” and the other preserves it “unpleasantly” and that is all.

That our White people have been suckered out of understanding and accepting these basic facts is, of course, part of the sickness of our times. We have been guilted out of having those emotions and sentiments which are necessary and healthy for the preservation of our own kind. We have *tolerated* actions and policies that lead to the destruction of that kind. We have loved races that are happy to crowd us off the face of the earth. We have had compassion for the welfare of the other races, leading us to compromise our own welfare, and future, in the process. The result is a world that is overwhelmed with colored races, that is *depopulated* of our *own* race, and

where our own race has lost its drive to preserve itself. Our tolerance, love, and compassion for the other races has only destroyed the natural population balance of the world and set our own kind on the road to extinction. It may sound nice to “love everybody” but that sentiment has consequences, consequences that are distinctly negative for the White Race that we happen to be a part of. Tolerance of harm to our own people makes no sense regardless of whatever sugar demagogues would like to coat it with. All emotions are merely *tools*, and like tools they can be used for either good or bad.

We have thus been robbed as a people of the sentiments and emotions that are natural to us, and yet hardly any of us are aware of that fact. Our people thus go about their daily lives thinking that it is “normal” for them to defer to the judgment of the other races and their demands, “normal” for them to sympathize with their complaints, “normal” for them to forsake their *own* best interests as a people, and even “normal” for them to take their *side*. Such things, however, are not “normal” at all. They are, rather, the result of our own debasement, our own confusion, our own weakness, and hence our own sickness. Our natural conscientiousness as a race has been turned against us to the point where we have become unnatural creatures in every way, indeed *anti-natural*, by virtue of the fact that we are resolved to care about the other races at the expense of our *own*. Thus we feel bad when we have “racist” thoughts even though *all* natural creatures have racist thoughts. Thus we chastise ourselves for being bothered about the racial integration of our neighborhoods even though we are well aware that such integration is *always* harmful to the tranquility of those neighborhoods. Thus we rebuke ourselves for the lack of “equality” suffered by the non-white races even though a cursory observation of Nature would tell us that there is no such thing as naturally occurring “equality” between races in the first place. Thus we have a *bad conscience* about our own inherent superiority as a race and go about undermining it. In sum, our



White people lambast themselves out of having normal feelings and emotions when it comes to race, as well as generally for that matter, and destroy their natural drive to preserve their own kind in the process. We are, after all, the only race that feels *bad* about a lack of racial diversity in this or that geography or in this or that endeavor. We are the only race that feels bashful about admitting our wanting to live with our own kind! Why on earth though should we ever be apologetic about wanting to live with *our own people*? Why have we let ourselves be deprived of those feelings that come naturally to us just as they come to every other creature? Why do we have to justify our preference for our own people as neighbors and countrymen? Why must we suppress our *own* instinctive likes and dislikes in this world in favor of somebody else's whims? Why must we imprison our own feelings? If you say that you don't want to live around the non-white races, how does that make you a bad person? If you say that you would like to live in an all-White country, how does that make you immoral? Don't we, as White people, have the right to have our own country as much as anybody else? Don't we have the right to have our own preferences, which are, after all, a reflection of our own *sentiments*? Again, it is important to understand why emotions arose in creatures in the first place: to help enable them to *survive* in the struggle for life into which every creature upon this earth is cast. Therefore, should we happen to harbor an emotion that goes *against* that survival, whether in the short or in the long term, and whether that pertains to the individual or to his race, we will have defeated the purpose for which emotions came into being. Emotions are a *survival mechanism* for that which you hold dear, which in the natural world is one's *race* and *only* one's race; to allow our emotions to go *against* the survival of that race therefore thwarts their purpose and the Nature that provided them to us. We negate their value to our ultimate downfall.

When a people no longer feels itself free to preserve *itself*, it is on the road to extinction. When a people feels that it must apologize to others should it even *express* such a preference, its time on this earth is short. No one would think about criticizing the other races for preferring *themselves*, so why should we criticize ourselves for preferring *ourselves*? We shouldn't. A presidential candidate is hideously rebuked by the news media for merely proposing that a wall be built on the southern border of the U.S.A. and for a moratorium to be placed on all (non-white) Muslim immigration. How though can that possibly be? Such a thing would never have been possible were our times not so sick. After all, do we not have the right to decide who enters "our" country? Are we instead hostages to the desires of illegal Mexicans and fecund Muslims? Is our own will meaningless? Do the other races have the right to invade our land as they please? What kind of craziness is it that we would worry about "offending" people who don't live here and have no right to live here! And yet that is the sickness that our White people are suffering from today. If there are Mexicans and Muslims upset with us about the prospect that they will no longer be allowed into the country, our natural and normal response is that they can go to *hell*, not that we "feel their pain." The normal sentiment of a race is that it should care about itself and what *it* wants, not every race *but* itself and what *they* want. The entire idea of a race renouncing its own best interests is *unnatural*; no race in Nature *ever* does it, nor should we, the reason being that such a thing destroys the race in question. The struggle for existence is difficult enough as it is for a race to engage in the idiotic practice of handicapping itself as well.

There is thus no such thing as the long-term preservation of our White Race under the reign of so-called "liberal" sentiments, and the strongest evidence for that fact is the retreat, degradation, and destruction of our race that is occurring today under the sway of those sentiments. So-called "liberal democracy" is in fact hostile to the drive to preserve our own kind in its entirety and must

therefore be destroyed lest our race be destroyed in the meantime. There is no such thing as a successful “live and let live” racially-inclusive attitude in our people any more than there is in the other creatures of Nature’s realm; “live and let live,” applied irrespective of one’s own racial benefit, actually means “live and let *die*” with our own White Race doing the dying. That is very convenient of course for the non-white races who subsequently possess the ruins of our civilization, treading on the soil that we used to call our own. However, it is not convenient for *us*. It is not convenient for our enslaved children. It is not convenient for our extinguished culture. If these things matter to us, as they should, we simply have to replace the current order of things with one that *preserves* our kind, not destroys us.

Our White people of today are thus suffering from a severe myopia in that they would allow threats to their own continued survival that are totally unnecessary and which should be obvious to anybody who is willing to look beyond his own life span, for how can anybody expect a White Race that will have become a *minority* everywhere it lives to be secure in its life, its liberty, and its pursuit of happiness? Exactly what is to stop the non-white races from simply killing us all when they have the power to do it, power that we ourselves stupidly bestowed upon them? Will the non-white races be as “liberal” to us as we were “liberal” to them? There is no reason to believe so. In fact, our experience in Haiti, Rhodesia, South Africa, and other places shows quite clearly that “liberalism” is *not* meted out to us when we lose our power. Rather, theft, rape, and murder are our fate. If non-white neighborhoods are “bad neighborhoods,” as the euphemism goes, it makes little sense for us as White people to allow the whole world to become one big “bad neighborhood.” And yet that is exactly what we are doing. *We fail to realize that the races of men can only create a world that coincides with their own respective natures* and that if we really want a better world from our own perspective, we need more of our *own* people in it and *less* of the

others. We need the other races to *depopulate*, not multiply. It makes little sense for us as a race to complain about the ever-increasing number of “bad neighborhoods” in the country when our “tolerance,” “love,” and “compassion” regarding the presence and welfare of the non-white races are the forces that made that problem possible in the first place. If you are afraid to walk about in a black neighborhood, don’t contribute to the proliferation of black neighborhoods. If you don’t want to be forced to learn mestizo Spanish, don’t keep letting mestizos into your country. If you don’t want a high crime rate, don’t subsidize and let into your country the very races that commit a lot of crimes. All of this should be obvious and yet our people fail to see it. They have lost all understanding of the basic principle of cause and effect, that the *effects* that they do not like here and there have *causes* and that they themselves are all too often responsible for those causes due to their letting them occur in the first place.

Yes, the laws over us today are horrible too in that they are fundamentally hostile to the continued preservation of our White Race. However, those laws can be changed, and if necessary overthrown, if we have the will to do it. The problem is that we have lost that will; the solution is that we get it back. No law can stand up against a people that has become aroused and fanatically devoted to its own preservation in defiance of the law. Rather, the law will go in short order. It is thus a mistake to think that laws which are hostile to our continued racial preservation are the true cause of the plight of our people today. Rather, the true cause of that plight is our lack of *will*, our lack of *drive* to preserve our own kind law or no law. An inflamed, determined, and united race can and will overcome those laws which aim at or tolerate its destruction. However, should that race *itself* instead no longer care about its own preservation, whatever hostile laws exist will only quicken the pace of its demise and give cover to those who lacked the heart to fight for the future of their White Race in the first place. Even with the White traitors who hold public office today,

their tune would quickly change if there were thousands and millions of angry White loyalists arrayed against them. *There is no government power that can defeat the will of a people to preserve itself.* Rather, that power can only have an effect when the people themselves have already ceased caring about their own continued racial existence, which is the situation that we have today. In that case, every hostile law or decree that is passed has the *tolerance* of our people to their own eventual misfortune, and nothing can be done to remedy matters unless we straighten out the thinking of that people which caused the bizarre phenomenon of our racial renunciation to occur in the first place. It is people who change *laws*, not laws which change *people*, and thus if we are to re instill in our White Race its drive to preserve itself, it is the minds of our own *people* that we must focus on, not the laws whose effectiveness is merely a consequence of those destructive attitudes that already exist within us. The sickness of our racial *resignation* must be combatted, something that is indeed sick because it fails to preserve the *life* of our race. Just as sickness may cause an individual person to die, sickness may cause a *race* to die, and it is up to those who would want to avert that outcome to remove the causes of the sickness itself.

It is not surprising that the drive to preserve our own kind is so low within our people today when you consider the fact that the educational system that teaches our children does absolutely nothing to instill a sense of pride in our being part of the White Race. Nothing is done whatsoever to inculcate within our children love for their own kind as the unique and precious entity that it is, using its achievements throughout history as shining examples to explain why our race matters so much. Instead our children are simply bombarded with facts and figures and rote memorization for its own sake without any purpose for all of this knowledge being offered at all to the young mind that receives it. All sense of a White racial community that is united by blood is omitted from the lesson plans; indeed, were a teacher anywhere to actually teach such a thing, he or she

would be immediately fired for “racism” and for “offending” people, and yet the very purpose of the advent of public education in the first place was to help build the very sense of a racial, ethnic *community* that is today so hideously disdained. Without our children being taught to value their own bloodlines and the need to preserve same, it is hardly surprising that they would not value them in later life. As long as our children are taught to be merely atomized “individuals,” wholly bereft of any racial significance, their minds will be molded to disregard the value of preserving the *race* that should, by all rights, live long after them.

Here again there can be no such thing as a “neutral” stance taken in regards to race: if racial feeling is *not* taught in our young people, the effect upon them is not “neutral” but rather one which is hostile to their very existence. Children must be raised and educated to *value* their own kind; mere regard for an amorphous “humanity” doesn’t inculcate a drive to preserve our own people and its works *at all*. Our children today are taught the blessings of a raceless “freedom” that doesn’t even preserve the freedom of their White Race to continue existing on this earth, in its own land, and spared from assaults upon its birthright. Such a teaching is worse than no teaching at all, for the instincts of our young people would otherwise guide them in the proper direction on their own: that their race *matters*, that it is worth more than any other, and that the purpose of life itself is to preserve and advance one’s own kind in its struggle for life! The moment that a so-called “education” assumes the posture that race does not matter, in its attempt to treat all men “the same,” it becomes an enemy of our drive for self-preservation. It can teach all the facts and figures it wants but it does more harm than good since it has failed in the most basic educative mission of all: that our race is worth preserving. It is little wonder why our young people suffer from so much confusion, lack of focus, lack of purpose, and general hopelessness in their lives today when the educational system has so utterly failed to provide them with a purpose to those

lives: that their mission on this earth is to defend and improve the existence of their kind and *that* is why they are being educated and nothing else! Only that kind of idealism can provide the young person with the *raison d'être* for the countless hours of his studies and labors that he so desperately needs, for if he instead views his education as a mere personal pursuit made for the benefit of himself alone, it is highly doubtful that he will deem it to be worth all the trouble.

The racially integrated classroom, for its part, is *itself* an attack upon the duty of education to instill pride and love for the White Race in our children because it pressures teachers to disregard White advocacy so as not to hurt the feelings of the non-white students. (No doubt that was part of the diabolical intent of those who forced the racial integration of the schools in the first place.) How is a teacher, gazing out at a classroom composed of various races, supposed to be able to teach White self-pride, White self-value, and White self-esteem in her White students? Instead, the impact of race in world history, as far as civilization building is concerned, is discounted in order to placate everybody. Nor is the teacher even able to use the word “we” in her discussion of the accomplishments of our forefathers since the non-white races were obviously not part of that “we”; thus our young people are denied their natural and normal connection with their own people of the past and lose their very identity as part of the great, eternal race that they are. The child is educated as an “individual” instead of the White partisan that we need him to be. He doesn’t value the continued survival of his White Race because he has been taught that we are “all the same,” that “race doesn’t matter,” and, if anything, that the White Race is a race of cruel “oppressors” who have done great harm to the world. It is thus a mistake to ignore what is going on right now in our schools when what goes on there is so devastating to the drive of our race to preserve itself. It is easy to forget about the harmful effect of a racially-integrated, anti-White education when many years have passed since we ourselves were subjected to it. That we ourselves turned out all

right means only that the harmful education in the public schools does not succeed in ruining *everybody*. However, it ruins countless others all the same. The natural racial feeling that exists in our young people is driven *out* of them. They become carriers of the virus of White self-hatred and, in turn, all too often themselves attack all that which is necessary for the continued survival of their own race on this earth in their later lives. In sum, our young people's minds are being formed so as to disregard, and even *oppose*, the continued existence of their own kind on this earth. Their minds are being formed so as to take the side of the non-white races against the best interests of their own people. It is silly then to be surprised when their drive for self-preservation is weak later on when they have the means to fight for the future of their kind and resist its destruction, but do not. As always, the task before us is thus to cure the *mind* so that the action may follow, and restore the natural *instincts* within our people which have been so badly suppressed. Those natural instincts are always *for* one's own race, not against it, nor are they ever "neutral" or "colorblind." To teach our young people to be "neutral" towards their own race is racial *idiocy*, not racial justice.

If raceless "education" were not bad enough, our drive for self-preservation is weakened further by the homosexuality movement which attacks the notion of our race propagating itself altogether. The proliferation of homosexuality, including so-called "gay marriage," destroys all sense of the true meaning and value of marriage, of family, and of children in our people, replacing that with a perverse hedonism that flies in the face of Nature. Nor is it some kind of coincidence that it is the White Race, and *only* the White Race, which is being heavily targeted by the homosexuality movement, for it is the White Race alone which is today being targeted for destruction. Obviously the White Race is not preserved through the commission of homosexual acts but, rather, through White men and women producing bountiful amounts of *children*, and that regardless of the "economy," "career," or other artificial concerns which militate against the



healthy and unencumbered expression of the sexual instinct, something that is inherent in all of Nature's animate creatures. Thus "birth control" is likewise an enemy of our drive to preserve our own kind today regardless of whatever justification for its usage that can no doubt be offered. When White children are not being born by the millions, whether due to the proliferation of the homosexuality perversion, birth control pills, or outright infanticide ("abortion"), that is a *problem*, not something that those who would like to preserve their race can ignore. Anything that inhibits the continual replenishment of a race of its numbers on this earth is a danger to that race's continued *existence*, quite simply. It is, furthermore, an attack upon its drive for *self-preservation* and so is, of course, the very willingness to partake in it. Our racially-conscious brethren can complain about the presence and actions of the non-white races until they are blue in the face but if they themselves artificially restrict the growth of their own kind, they themselves are contributing to the demise of that kind at the hands of the ever-expanding non-white populations. The White Race needs *babies* and there is simply no time for our people to waste being sexual deviants, pill-poppers, or abortionists, all of which are activities that stop those babies from being born. The "me, me, me" attitude does not preserve a race, especially a race that is under assault from all sides the way ours is today.

Hedonism is itself hostile to the continued preservation of our White Race because it sacrifices concern for the *future* for the pleasure and convenience of the individual at the *moment*. It is the abandonment of racial responsibility in favor of the pleasure of the individual who has become detached from that race and hence is artificial for that reason. There are, in the end, only natural actions or artificial ones, and it is the *natural* actions alone that fulfill a race's drive to preserve itself absolutely, without compromise, and without flaw. It is *Nature* that provides every race with its drive for self-preservation, and thus if *Nature* is resisted, that drive is resisted too.

We as a race can always find ways to thwart Nature in the short term but in the long run Nature will have its vengeance, including the demise of our kind itself if we fail to rectify our error in time. Conduct which does not expand the *numbers* of a race is necessarily *bad* for that race, at least until the preservation of that race is otherwise assured. The joint evils of non-white *population* and White *de-population* are an obvious threat to our continued preservation that must mutually be halted if our race is to live.

If we were to encounter a farmer who raised animals, some of whom were homosexuals, dispersed “birth control” pills to some of the others, and aborted the pregnancies of some of the others still, none of us would hesitate to call him a ridiculous and abject fool, and yet we are the bigger fools today for putting up with the same things in our own White Race. We would call the farmer a fool for stupidly reducing his own profit, and yet we ourselves are reducing the profit of *our own White Race*, though in a different sense. We would expect the farmer to pursue a more sensible course of conduct and yet we would deny *ourselves* that sensible conduct. Who then is the bigger fool? The three vices stated are playing a significant role in erasing our own stock from the face of the earth, after all! It doesn’t matter what reason may be given for their practice; all that matters is their effect upon our continued racial existence. It may be an easy thing today to declare a “freedom” to partake in the three vices that artificially dwindle the numbers of our kind, but if and when the day comes when the last remnant of our people on this earth finds itself cornered and exterminated at the hands of the non-white hordes, it may well cross the minds of its members that it sure would have been nice if there had been more White people around so as to avoid such a horrible and tragic outcome. Just as there are only natural or artificial actions in this world, a race is either in the *ascent* or it is in the *decline*, and a race must *breed* in order to be in the *ascent*. A race that tolerates the dwindling of its own numbers, vis-à-vis the numbers of the

other races, will eventually be overwhelmed by those races that do not make the same mistake. In the struggle for life, it simply does not matter, again, what excuse is made for this action or that; all that matters is whether the activity in question is beneficial or harmful to the continued presence of the race in question upon this earth, and if our people are driven today to be homosexuals, consumers of pills that cut down on their own propagation, or killers of their own unborn children, obviously our drive for the preservation of our race has become *weak*, not strong. It is hard to imagine that a race that has become riddled with homosexuals, for instance, would be in existence for very long. Our people, indoctrinated to think only of the individual and only those of the present time at that, fail to consider the racial consequences of those actions that they would defer to the choice of the individual alone. The result of course is a race that cuts down the trees of its own forest and sets fire to its own future. There really is such a thing as seed that is *wasted* and we cannot afford to waste the seed of our continued Racial Life. In the normal and natural course of things, a race with a burgeoning population has no interest in cutting that back through the commission of artificial conduct, nor does it tolerate such conduct where it rears its head; rather, it deals with that expanding population by expanding its territory into new *geography* where its growth will continue unabated. That is the *healthy* course of action for our White people to take in dealing with their own numbers instead of those actions which we are witnessing today, actions that only sap our continued presence on this earth as the race that we are and which reflect the weakening of our drive for self-preservation in its own right. The healthy course of action for a healthy race is to expand *geographically* when its numbers have become overpopulated in the territories where it lives, not artificially restrict its birthrate within those territories and then invite in the other races to make up the difference! The latter is racial *suicide*, not racial preservation, and if we are to reverse the present drive of our White Race towards that suicide, we must breed

without any artificial restrictions once again and populate the earth. It is *our own sons* who must provide the labor that we seek for our economic benefit, not those of the non-white races, and it is *our daughters* who must give birth to the next generation of our racial countrymen! Anything else is a failure to do our duty to our own kind in its drive for preservation.

It should not be deemed bizarre to state the obvious fact that the purpose of the male anatomy is to inseminate that of the *female*, that the purpose of copulation is *pregnancy*, and that the purpose of pregnancy is *childbirth*, and yet the sickness of our times is such that basic truths such as these are thrown to the winds in favor of a general free-for-all of idiocy, perversion, and destruction. The reality is that those creatures which do not reproduce themselves have failed in the most basic duty that can be conceived: the continuance of the *race*. The failure of that duty is not something to bless or encourage, but rather to *despise*. The very hyper-individualism that is promoted to our people today is an attack upon our drive for self-preservation. The curtailment of our own propagation as a people is nothing other than racial denial, racial withdrawal, and racial retreat. When people speak out against homosexual “marriage,” they miss the point; it is not the “marriage” that is the problem so much as the perversion of the natural order of things itself. It is *that* which must be attacked, not granted a dignity to which it is unentitled. *Of course* that conduct which propagates the race is superior to that which doesn’t, and which flies in the face of Nature otherwise. *That* is the true complaint, not a “marriage” license that is simply a *joke* due to the particular “marrying” involved. *Of course* a woman who bears children is a more desired member of our White Race than one who doesn’t since it is that childbearing which continues our race into the future. *Of course* a woman should not “abort” her healthy and normal White children, thus thwarting her natural role and mission on this earth: the replication of our own kind. As always, unless a race thinks *racially*, it cannot be expected to have healthy attitudes about, or even

understand, what basic things such as copulation, pregnancy, and childbirth are all about. If it does so all the same, that is merely good fortune for which we can all be glad, something though which is in extremely short supply today. “Barefoot and pregnant,” as the old saying goes, is not such a bad thing for the race that would like to continue its existence on this earth. “Booted and *barren*” is far worse, indeed!

The very fact that our people are reluctant to offer any criticism at all of the various ways in which the propagation of their White Race is being drastically curtailed today is indicative of the weakening of their drive for self-preservation, for if we were committed to the survival of our own kind as we should be, we would certainly spare no words in rebuking and disdaining all that which works against the continued survival of that kind, whether it is partaken in with that specifically in mind or not. Instead we find ourselves bashful about speaking even a single word against those actions which would drive our people from the face of the earth. We whine and complain about a few thousand soldiers lost in the various overseas, no-win wars launched by a stupid and traitorous government but we say nary a word about the tens of millions, and even more, of those who have been lost to our race as a result of those actions which prevented them from having been born in the first place. The casualties from the wars in question are almost *laughable* in comparison but since our people fail to think *racially*, they fail to see, predictably enough, that the loss of individuals in their adulthood is not the only loss that a race can suffer, that actions which would prevent White children from being born *at all*, and by the countless millions at that, can be far, far worse a calamity for us to suffer. When our White people are killed in combat overseas, the only loss perceived by our people today is to the individuals dead, to their families, and “to the country.” The loss to the *race*, however, is not perceived in that situation there or anyplace else for that matter. In any case, the alleged “freedom” to destroy our own kind is ever

busy, and thus homosexuality, birth control, and abortion, along with those other vices that are harmful to our continued racial existence on this planet, continue merrily along, unchecked, as the representation of a much-lauded triumph of a supposedly worthwhile “freedom” to which we should all allegedly aspire. We are urged to rejoice in such freedom all the while the existence of our race is whittled down at an ever increasing pace; indeed, it does not go too far to say that so-called “freedom” itself has become nearly synonymous today with the racial *decline* of our people, that the very “freedom” that our people have in mind is all too often acquiescence of that conduct which would rid our precious White Race from the face of this earth instead of that which would preserve it and make it better. If “freedom” is to ever again be a value for our people that is worth our fighting and dying for, that situation must assuredly be turned around one day altogether, and should once again mean a state of affairs which *preserves* our race, not destroys it, for otherwise the word will have ceased to reflect a state of affairs that is worthy of our yearning for in the first place. Before a race bothers itself with the freedom of the individuals that compose it to do this and that, it must first secure its own freedom to *exist* and be secure in that *existence*. On the other hand, those alleged “freedoms” which gradually destroy a race altogether can hardly be deemed worthwhile to that race which would like to continue in its existence and not be consigned to the dustbin of history. We live in a time of many “freedoms,” all right, but what is the *effect* of those freedoms? That is a question that is nearly never asked and it is never asked because our people do not realize that freedom, as with every other aspect of our individual lives, is a mere *means* to an end and not the end in itself: the preservation of our kind.

As always, our people suffer from the horrible shortsightedness that we have mentioned. They have no comprehension of the fact that their preservation as mere individuals today by no means assures the preservation of their descendants, and that of their race as a whole, tomorrow.

They would allow threats to that continued preservation to crop up at every turn, having no clue at all as to what kind of hideous life they are foisting upon their future generations as a result of their own careless, negative, and negligent actions. It is easy to be welcoming of the immigration of various non-white “minorities” when White people are the heavy majority in the country, for instance, but what is going to happen when the non-white “minority” has overtaken the White population in numbers and gets to call the shots accordingly? The problem is that our thinking doesn’t get that far; indeed, even when the non-white races have become the *majority* here and there, our people still insist upon calling them “minorities”! And even when it is understood that our White people are speedily becoming the minority everywhere they live, they make the absurd assumption that the non-white *majority* is going to treat us as well as we have treated *them* when they have the power, an assumption that is entirely without support in the history of the world. The non-white races are in fact *devoid* of the ideas of political liberalism that the White Man has carried about so loftily within his breast and so foolishly bestowed upon the rest of the world, and thus it is the case that political liberalism will *not* be bestowed upon the White Man by the non-white races whom he has aided and abetted should they be allowed to attain power over *him*. The values of “tolerance,” “inclusion,” and “colorblindness” will be nowhere to be found when the White Man has lost the ability to enforce them, for these are values which are the sincere expression of the White Man’s psyche alone. Put another way, the black man, for example, couldn’t care less about any such thing as “minority rights” when it is *White* people who are the minority and they, the black race, the majority. Arab Muslims, for their part, couldn’t care less about the so-called “tolerance” of other religions when their Islam rules the *State*. The yellow man does not want “diversity” when his *own* race is at issue. Rather, it is only the *White Man* who foolishly wants such things for himself and pushes them within his own society until his society is

*not his anymore*. Then he is genuinely stunned by the turn of events that he has caused himself. He fails to understand that politically liberal values are *unnatural* values and as unnatural values, they are *harmful* values to all those who are foolish enough to embrace them. They attack the instinctive drive to preserve our own *kind* that lies within us, suppressing it with an idiotic, raceless altruism that ignores the reality of the world as it is.

Instead of it being some kind of bizarre “triumph” when a White couple adopts a non-white baby for instance, it is a *perversion* of the natural order of things, an order where no creature *ever* intentionally raises the young of a race that is not its own. As another example, instead of it being “good” to welcome non-white “refugees” into our own racial habitat as some kind of illustration of how “benevolent” we supposedly are, it is the needless infliction of a threat to our own continued existence as a people now and into the future. Likewise, instead of it being “good” that we ship food and medicine to the non-whites of the world, it is *bad* because that grotesquely inflates their numbers and creates a threat to our own existence that would have been minimal otherwise. In our White people today there is thus the prevalence of values which are totally detached from the reality of the actual world that we live in, and an attack upon those values is necessary in order for us, as White people, to *keep* living in it. As such, the values *themselves* are bad, not good. That which destroys the natural order of things that enables our race to survive is always *bad* regardless of whatever propaganda is employed to convince our people otherwise.

It has been said that “a liberal is a White man who hasn’t been mugged yet.” There is much truth in that statement, to be sure, but it is far more of a problem when political liberalism *itself* as a “value” would result in millions of our race one day being mugged, raped, and murdered en masse and would likewise tear asunder the presence and prosperity of our kind upon this earth as a whole. Bad values have bad *consequences* and it is unnecessary that those bad consequences



immediately manifest themselves in order for them to occur all the same, their lying in wait ready to strangle the life of our people in the future. It is unnecessary that the non-white races rape our sisters and our daughters as soon as they get off the boat for that to occur all the same one day. It is unnecessary for the entire civilization to break down *now* for the seeds to be sown today for that eventual collapse. Our White people do not comprehend that today because they are trained, almost like circus animals, to think only about the moment. However, the future will come all the same. It is not something that can be wished away in favor of some kind of everlasting present. Political liberalism, however, could practically be *defined* as a doctrine that takes *no heed* of the future altogether, one that would posit that “rights” matter more than *future*, namely, the future of one’s own people. The only sensible policy then is for White people to adopt *anti-liberal* values, values that, by virtue of that very fact, are concerned *more* with the future than with that of the immediate present and which understand that the most basic duty of society of all is to *preserve* the race which inhabits it, not allow its demise regardless of whatever excuse can be given for so doing. Absolutely nothing good is accomplished for the White Race as a race by its allowing other races to inhabit its territory, and from a racial standpoint, the only standpoint that has any value in the first place, that is really the only thing that matters when we judge the worth of those “values” that would allow such a thing to happen. Thus all of the ideas of “freedom,” “tolerance,” “diversity,” and whatever others that political liberalism would extol happen to be values of utterly no worth should they be outside the context of our own racial preservation and advancement; indeed, they become harmful the moment they disregard same, which is often if not always the case. Thus the man who is dedicated to the preservation and advancement of his White Race is not swayed by the arguments of political liberalism since political liberalism does not share his concern for that race. The political liberal wants to talk about “the (supposed) rights of all men”

within the habitat where White people live regardless of their race, whereas the White racial preservationist is devoted to the principle that White people have the right, and indeed duty, to preserve their own *existence*, any so-called “right” of anybody to the contrary be damned. The political liberal acts as though there is such a thing as universal, objective justice for all peoples and races whereas the White racial preservationist understands that justice is racially *subjective*, that there is only that which is just for his *race* and that which is *not*. We are not interested in some kind of metaphysical justice that lurks somewhere beyond cloud nine; rather, justice is that which enables our own people to survive and thrive as we define it, and would want it, for *ourselves*.

Thus when we consider the sickness of the times in which we live today as far as the lessening of our drive for self-preservation as a race is concerned, what we are really talking about, at root, is the ability of harmful, destructive attitudes to attack that drive, and that is so regardless of the source of those attitudes. If, for example, someone believes that racial intermarriage (mongrelization) is morally acceptable and thus does not care that his own White Race is destroyed in the process, that attitude obviously came from someplace and it doesn’t much matter where; it is a weakening of that person's drive for the preservation of his own kind all the same regardless of why that has occurred. The fact that somebody might claim that the true issue involved is that of the "rights of the individual," for its part, is really only a sad reflection of the fact that the drive of that person to preserve his own kind has already been severely weakened; in other words, there would be no talk about an individual's supposed "right" to mongrelize his White Race if the drive for racial preservation in those who would assert that proposition had not already been attacked and weakened by some source. Rather, there would be concern about the preservation of the *race* and a *non*-recognition of any supposed "right" to engage in those actions which would destroy it.

Talk about "individual rights" is *itself* indicative of a certain weakening of the racial will to live in our people; subsequent talk then about the supposed "right" of an individual to go out and *breed* with an individual of different race can thus only be more so. When a people is committed to its own racial preservation, when its drive for self-preservation is thus *strong*, it does not recognize any such thing as a "right" of its individuals to mongrelize the race that they all share. Rather it condemns such a monstrosity with full, absolute, and unequivocal force. When the drive for preservation in a race is strong, it is not the rights of the individual that are its main concern. Rather, it is the right of the race to continue *existing* that takes precedence before all things. Nor is its focus on "individual rights" in general; rather it recognizes and extols its collective racial existence first and foremost.

The very idea of "individual rights" hence has no worth outside of a racially preservative context to those who would want their White Race to retain its drive for that preservation. We do not acknowledge any "right" of the individual to partake in actions which would destroy the presence of his own kind upon the face of this earth. There is no "right" of the individual to commit actions which eliminate the right of the race to *live*. Focus on the individual means a *non-focus* on the race and must therefore be rejected for that reason. The drive for racial preservation requires the regulation of *breeding* just as much as any other conduct that societies routinely and justly regulate.

Basic common sense dictates that, if there is no "right" of the individual to marry his *sister*, there is no "right" of the individual to marry an individual of a different race altogether. Indeed, there can only be less of such a right since the destruction of the actual race is involved, unlike the situation with brother and sister marriage which sometimes damages the individual *genes* of course but not the preservation of the *race* itself. The fact that *nearly everyone* in our race would still

support a ban on brother/sister marriage today but relatively *few* would support a ban on interracial marriage anymore only goes to further illustrate the sickness of our times, that the drive to preserve our own kind is *weak*, indeed non-existent, under the influence of those horrible values which would attack our will to preserve our kind at every turn. The courts can say that there is a "right" to mongrelize our race all they want but those edicts would mean nothing in the face of the fanatical resistance of a race that refuses to tolerate its own destruction. Television, Hollywood, "social media," or any other entity could encourage interracial breeding all they want with their words and images but that would mean nothing if our people had not already had their drive for racial preservation undermined by the values of political liberalism and those values that, in turn, made political liberalism possible. Yes, those entities damage our drive for racial preservation greatly in their own right; however, they were not the forces that began the damage. That rests with the values that preceded those forces: political liberalism and those values that made political liberalism *itself* possible.

Indeed, had the cult of "individual rights" not been allowed to form in the first place as a result of the weakening of our drive for racial preservation, the two most destructive visual entities that have addled the brains as well as the natural instincts of our people for generations, television and Hollywood, would never have been allowed to get off the ground as the conduits of negative influence that they are in the first place. Instead, they would have educated and sustained our White people *in racial values*, values that recognize and extol the preservation of their own kind and the welfare of that kind as the collective entity that it is and for its own sake. Television and Hollywood were able to become "purveyors of smut," in other words, because the racial basis of our society was already lacking; had such a basis existed, they would have become the purveyors of something very different. Had we a society that was devoted to *racial* values instead of

individual values, television and Hollywood would have conveyed those values no differently than any other facet of our racially oriented society. In other words, had we a society that was devoted to the preservation and betterment of our *White Race* instead of the entertainment of the individual and his "rights," television and Hollywood would have been forces for *good*, not bad, in the service of that aim. As it stood, however, our society was *not* guided by racial values and thus television and Hollywood only made worse a situation that was already bad. The excessive focus on the individual, a focus that had already been the ethos of the society in which we lived, enabled television and Hollywood only to widen the gulf that had already existed between the individual and his race, eventually to the point where individuals began to *hate* their own race, began to feel *guilty* for being White, and began to work *against* the best interests of their own kind in its confrontations with the other races that were arrayed against it. Television and Hollywood undermined, and indeed destroyed, the White Man's sense of community with his racial kinsmen, rendering him a mere "atom" detached from his fellow "atoms" even more than had already been the case by virtue of the values that he had already embraced. Instead of their being the places of friendship, camaraderie, and mutual support and assistance that they had been for thousands of years, our neighborhoods became mere geographical locations where hardly anyone knew one another anymore. That is because everyone was instead watching "TV" in their living rooms, cut off from the rest of the world and their neighbors for all practical purposes and imbibing everything *but* those attitudes and values which are conducive towards the preservation and betterment of their own kind in this world.

Whereas in times past our neighborhoods would remind us that we are individuals of a *race*, tied by our mutual blood, television removed us more and more from our psychological presence in those neighborhoods and cast our minds into a fairy-tale land of make-believe where

the only “reality” was the particular TV show we were watching at the moment, our individual reactions to that show, and the various anti-white and anti-racial attitudes that were being meted out to us in their totality. We were thus cut off more and more from the actual world around us and given free play to our individual fantasies in the process. Race didn’t matter because the TV didn’t *say* that it mattered. Race mixing was okay because Tom on “The Jeffersons” was a race-mixer and he was a “good fellow.” Since there were various races on our *television*, there was nothing objectionable about having various races in our *society*. The black man was not lower than us intrinsically; rather, he was just a victim of his *circumstances* as proclaimed by the all-knowing television set. The black and other non-white characters were often the good guys on TV and the White the bad; why then stand with our own people on racial lines in the (real) world? Whereas our White people had previously had fairly limited contact with the other races, seeing them infrequently in their daily lives due to the general segregation of the society in which they lived, now the other races were constantly *in their living rooms* and thus “a part of the family.” Obviously our racial instincts could not help but be damaged under such an unnaturally-contrived scenario. Our natural instinct to live only with our own kind, to breed with our own kind, and to preserve our own kind could not help but take a beating when nothing we saw on television had anything to do with such racially preserving feelings, emotions, and attitudes. Television became a new world, and when our people went back out into the old one, they were determined to make it conform to that new *television* world. In that world, there was simply no room at all for a racially proud White Race in solidarity with itself. There was no room for a White people that was committed to the preservation of its own kind, and certainly no room for the enactment of any measures that would accomplish that. There was no room for White unity because the White people on television were *individuals*, not a group. Indeed, White people were always displayed

as being at *odds* with one another, with that discord being intrinsic to our “entertainment.” And as time went on, there was no room for anything but White guilt, White self-doubt, White self-contempt, and White forbearance in regards to the alleged grievances and “rights” of the other races since the TV said that we had “oppressed” the world; through treachery, brutality, and otherwise. Needless to say, the inculcation of such sentiments had their effect: they drove our White Race to deny itself even more than had already been the case. Our drive for racial preservation was continually and progressively weakened to the point where few even had a grasp anymore as to what racial preservation meant or why it had value. There was only the individual and his feelings, whatever his race, and the supposedly “irreversible” darkening of our society that the controlled news media loved to crow about. Our White people were to watch their boob tubes like good little sheep, the mere “consumers” that they were, and forget about any kind of resistance to the dispossession of their country and the well-nigh cultural, political, social, genetic, and biological destruction that was in store for them. There was always “an escape” on television to take their thoughts away from the real world as it is. There was no danger to the future of that White Race in that real world because there was no danger to that future that was expressed on TV. Anybody could “believe” in anything he wanted, just so long as it wasn’t “racist”; “racism” was the one sentiment that was to be deemed beyond the pale as far as the values of White people were concerned. Indeed, the word itself was twisted so as to have a meaning that it never innately had in the first place: an irrational mistreatment of the supposedly downtrodden non-white races based solely on the color of their skin. Our positive racial feelings were thus transformed into something negative and our people fled from the real issues that actually confronted them.

Hence our White people were manipulated onto hundreds of different paths but none of them, simply none of them, led to the preservation of their own kind as such. We could believe in

this, that, or the other thing but any belief or action for *our own people*, as the White people that we are, was and is condemned in “the television society,” as it might be called. Our race itself was rendered a *non-entity* and as a *non-entity*, there obviously could be little inclination to preserve it or otherwise seek its betterment. It was fine for *the other races* to pursue their own best interests but the message of television denied that path to White people altogether. The only racial grievances allowed in our society were those of the *other* races; television denied that White people were even *capable* of having racial grievances. Instead we were just “individuals” who were denied any collective worth of our own. Our altruistic task was to improve the lot of the *black* man, not that of our own. Our task was to “take in” the other races, not advance our own. We were to care about the freedom of “the long-suffering” colored races around the world but not the freedom of our own people to live for *itself* and care about *itself*. We were to feel for the plight of the other races, regardless of whether they themselves were responsible for that plight. We were to look upon the world from the other races’ viewpoint and ignore that of our own, for ourselves.

All of this was the message of television that was received and imbibed by our people and the result has been devastating. “The black man is your equal and hence your own White Race has no more value than an obviously depraved and barbaric race.” “The White Man stole America from the Indians (‘Native Americans’) and thus you have no right to be here, White Man.” “America is a melting pot and thus it is okay if your White Race disintegrates in that pot.” “The Germans murdered six million Jews in the past and thus it is their moral duty to take in millions of other non-white refugees today in order to help atone for their crimes.” “The British Empire oppressed millions.” “Slavery is America’s original sin.” These are just some of the many hideously negative messages that were sent to us, of course, messages that attacked our drive to preserve our own kind, but they were bad enough. Indeed, *there is not a single “anti-racist” White*



*person living today who has that sentiment inherently.* Rather, that sentiment is solely an unnatural product of *television* and other artificial indoctrination. Countless White people oppose “racism” simply because “racists” are portrayed negatively on *TV* and nothing more. And in that regard, “racism” has been totally mischaracterized in its own right, as stated; instead of television presenting it as the basic urge to distinguish between one’s own kind and that of the others that exists naturally in all living creatures as part of their drive for self-preservation, racism has been presented as some kind of sick mania that it never was. When a race has been stripped of its right to defend itself, of its *racism* that is, it can hardly be surprising that its drive for *self-preservation* would suffer too. Thus television’s attack on our racial feeling disarmed us in the struggle for the preservation of our kind. That disarmament would continue so long as television retained its disproportionate influence or until other forces in society could successfully counter that influence.

It is hence not some kind of coincidence that we are today witnessing the glimmer of a resurgence of White racial feeling at the very time that television is beginning to lose that influence. With the advent of the Internet, our people are no longer a captive audience totally at the mental mercy of the television programmers; they can instead seek out the news, views, and entertainment that is more closely aligned with their own natural inclinations instead of passively imbibing whatever the television set is intent on meting out to them, all of which is hostile to the idea of White racial preservation. No longer did we have to get our “news” from the controlled and manipulative television media; rather there was a whole new array of news sources now available to us, some of which we who cared about our racial preservation had created ourselves. However, the negative influence of television was still there; it’s just that it was no longer quite what it was. The more that our people turned off their television sets, the better. However, they were still on way too much. Television had done its damage; that damage was not going to be

fully reversed anytime soon. Television now had a *rival*, yes, but it still lacked a *master*. In their formative years, after all, our children were still imbibing its poison. Racial integration, and even interracial breeding, were still being promoted on its channels along with everything else that eviscerated healthy and strong values in our people, as well as that of our culture itself. The *weak* White man was praised by television while the strong White man was condemned. Homosexuality was rendered “normal” on the airwaves while those who were disgusted by that perversion were alleged to be unenlightened fools. There was no such thing as an actual normal White family on television anymore; indeed, all of the building blocks of a healthy White Race, a race that would *want* to preserve itself, were knocked *down*. Divorce, adultery, family strife, derision, humiliation, and contempt: all of these were *encouraged* on the television set; on the other hand, anything that was normal, healthy, and otherwise productive to a proud, unified, and self-assured White Race was deemed to be too “boring” to air by the (usually Jewish) television scriptwriters. Interracial sexual relationships were being promoted more and more, to the point where it became impossible not to see it on a daily basis.

So yes, while the lessening of television's influence on account of the Internet is a good thing to behold, the times that we live in are still profoundly sick. There is perversion all over the Internet *too* for that matter. It is also fair to say that the tranquil state of mind is *itself* under attack in the world that surrounds us, that mental state which can calmly behold the world as it is, separate the important from the unimportant, and shun the mindless idiocy that would call itself “culture” today in favor of higher values. Our White people are conditioned to be *afraid* in their daily lives, to be afraid of standing up for the best interests of their own kind, certainly, but also to be afraid of living *itself*. Our mothers are afraid to allow their children to play in the neighborhood. Our children are afraid to stand up to the local school bully. Our teachers are afraid to deviate from

their lesson plans, lesson plans that were by no means created with their own particular students in mind but rather were crafted for them by some bureaucrat stationed a thousand miles away. Our workers are afraid to say anything at work for fear that it might be "misconstrued," and we are all afraid of "terrorists" because the television set is constantly telling us that we ought to be. Fear, fear, fear . . . that is the attitude that has been inculcated in our people. "Don't say what you actually think; just go along with the actually *new* values that are being hideously, and often subliminally, imposed upon you." "Sacrifice your own spirit and your own will in order to go along with the present order of things." "White Man, let yourself be *walked on* so that a better world may come; not a better world for *you*, mind you, but rather a better world for everybody you (supposedly) oppressed in the past." "Think what we want you to think and be damned if you should realize that none of the thoughts that we are giving you have anything to do with the welfare of your own culture, your own civilization, and your own people for their own sake, for your White Race has *no value* in its own right." It is little wonder then why the drive in our people for the preservation of their own kind would be so crippled in the face of such an onslaught against it. Much attacks the drive whereas practically nothing supports it, only an instinct that has been suppressed in every way in favor of the mongrel, anti-White society in which we have become engulfed. Such is the sickness of our times.

Our White people themselves never had anything to gain, of course, by the dispossession of their country, their society, and their world; they have never had anything to gain by the dispossession of their own *spirit*. Nearly everything that our people think and do today has been *fabricated* for them from the outside instead of being the product of their own natural inclinations; we have been robbed of our true nature as the distinct, organic, and *racist* beings that we naturally are. The words that come out of our mouths were put there by forces not our own; our actions

follow a script that we did not write. When we reflect upon the sickness of our times, if we have enough awareness to do even *that*, that is, we must realize that the root of that sickness springs from our not being true to our own nature as a people and that everything else is a manifestation of that failure regardless of by whatever means that has come about. Are White people really inherently weak, docile, cowardly, gullible, forbearing, and foolish, or were these flaws acquired by us over the course of time? Is it really in our nature to wage war against the very presence of our own kind on this earth by virtue of idiotic politics, an idiotic legal system, and idiotic values themselves, all of which would declaim that preference for our own kind is *right*? Are we really living in a world where things are as they ought to be, or have things gone horribly wrong? These questions never cross the minds of the vast majority of our people; for those of us who care about the preservation of their White Race on this earth though, they must. It is not enough for us to combat only the symptoms of our racial downfall that we see about us every day; we must also become aware of their cause. Our people did not just wake up one day in a weakened, chaotic, and divisive state; that is instead a product of long duration that has only been magnified and hastened in recent years. The foundation of our entire *thinking* has been bad, and that is so because we long ago removed ourselves from that root principle which guides all of the life of the natural world: *racial furtherance*. In effect, we allowed the various whims of our *minds* to go against the best interests of our *bodies* and their drive for racial furtherance as the racial entities that they are; hence we discarded the natural way of behaving in this world and took up that of an artificial one. We took pride in our possession of "values" that quite simply had no worth in their own right; that is because they did not benefit our *race* in its struggle for existence in this world but rather, on the contrary, harmed it. *Racial furtherance* quite simply has no place today in the psyche or deeds of our people, and for that we are paying a bitter price. To a certain extent our White people have

become victims of their own success, for the higher that they rose, the more they (erroneously) thought they could afford to dispense with their own self-interest and betterment as a race and proceed to lavish upon the other races a generosity that those races did not deserve and otherwise dispense with racially beneficial values in their own right. The result is a White Race that is tottering under the weight and burden of the innumerable parasites that are feeding upon it until the day arrives when its fall must come.

Thus our weakened drive for preservation that we witness today is just the predictable result of a trend that we ourselves allowed to take root in the first place. *The very ideals that our people embrace today are harmful to their continued presence on this earth*; such is the sickness of our times which those who would want a different outcome altogether must ruthlessly combat. Instead of accommodating ourselves to the present way of things, we must destroy that way. Instead of the triumph of our racial death there must be the triumph of our racial life. The very pride in non-racial values that our people are fond of boasting of today has the effect of a noose around their necks. The people that would ignore its own racial existence is destined to lose that existence. Every policy, every thought, and every deed which is non-racial is in fact *anti-racial*, and to be *anti-racial* is to be *anti-life*.

Our people make the mistake, for instance, of thinking that good economics is somehow a substitute for racial values; good economics though does not preserve a culture, a civilization, or the race which creates same, nor does the present employment of good economics spare a people the physical and emotional pain of their total dispossession and indeed slaughter at the hands of the other races in the future who were wrongly allowed into their habitat in the first place for so-called "economic" reasons. In other words, the replacement of racial values with that of economic values sacrifices not only the racial survival of our people in the long run but also the very

economic prosperity, of course, that induced it to make that Faustian bargain in the first place. It is not "economics" that is the key to a people's prosperity, but rather it is devotion to its best interests in all things and at all times as the race that it is in its struggle for life. Mere economic considerations are, and always have been, a pitiful substitute for the preservation of the racial stock which makes all human progress possible; it is yet another sign of the sickness of our times that our people would devote themselves so much to matters of mere personal financial gain while devoting themselves so *little* to the greatest profit of all: their continued racial existence and advancement on this earth, especially since the future extinction of our race would obviously make the question of financial gain for any individual of that race a moot point, to say the least. Races that have become *extinct* obviously have no use for *money*, and yet our people would prance about for cash in ignorance of that fact all the while that their kind gradually vanishes from the earth due to such misplaced priorities. The shallowness of the actions of our people today is indeed stunning, that they would be willing to trade, however unconsciously, the future survival of their own kind for the personal financial hedonism of the moment, and yet that is what happens when a race has ceased to think and care about itself for its own sake. Yes, the economic livelihood of a race is important since that enables it to live a higher quality of life; however, the economic livelihood of a race can never be more important than its life itself. *Cash* is not more important than *blood*; in a healthy society, economics is just a tool for the well-being of the *race*, not its despoiler or replacement.

This is how things would be viewed in healthy times but alas, we do not live in healthy times. Sickness is instead the order of the day, and that sickness extends to the fact that our people are unable to recognize it as being sick at all. It is a fact that, right now, many thousands, indeed millions, of our White racial brethren are willing to knowingly sell out the future of their White

Race for *cash*, and yet instead of that being roundly condemned by the rest of us, it's looked at as being a permissible course of conduct simply because it would "make money" for the individuals involved. Financial gain for the individual has been blessed as a moral state of affairs regardless of whatever damage is inflicted upon our kind in the process. That blessing though is just a reflection of our weakened drive for *preservation*. A race whose drive for preservation is strong would *never* tolerate economics or mere financial gain for the individual to militate against its own racial best interests. It would not proclaim a "right" to make money at the expense of its racial future. It would not talk about "the economy" all the time and talk about the race *none* of the time. Rather, all financial matters would be looked at within a racial context and hence subordinated to that context. A healthy race is a *race*: first, last, and always. Its racial existence is not something that is only occasionally recalled; rather it is ever present and ever heeded. A healthy race is concerned with its own benefit alone; it is happy for its members to profit *within* that benefit but not at the *expense* of that benefit. A healthy race cares more about its permanent existence on this planet than any money making, something that is, after all, only transitory in time. It does not allow the mere trappings of its existence to subsume that existence. It does not allow any individual to fight against that existence, whether due to the pursuit of personal financial gain or not. Everything that it experiences in this world is merely a *means* towards its own racial end, that end being its preservation and advancement as the race that it is, for its own sake, forever.

That our White people today are living totally contrary to these racially preservative principles is of course obvious. Its preoccupation with raceless economic and financial matters is just one example of that but there are many others, all of them leading to our racial downfall. Indeed, since we have divorced ourselves from practically every kind of racial consideration as a matter of a supposedly higher morality, the lack of our racial preservation must inevitably follow.

Drug usage, sexual perversions, and the perversion of the natural roles of the sexes in society, and the general anarchy of thought and actions that has gripped our people are all a reflection of the fact that we have abandoned the racial values by which a race is preserved, and even when our people *do* realize that their times are sick, they fail to understand the core reason why: that the individual has been separated from his race and that his (supposed) needs and wants have been catered to at its expense. It is fair to say that racial values and *tolerance* for whatever individuals want to do in the course of their lives are in fact irreconcilable concepts; a race can no more *tolerate* harm to itself by virtue of the actions of its members than a school of fish can *tolerate* every fish swimming in its own direction, something that would destroy the school in its confrontations with predators in short order. Every society has to have *rules*, and the only sensible determinant of those rules is *racial benefit*, for otherwise the society will be torn apart and cease to exist in any worthwhile fashion. Viewing our people as mere *individuals* is a recipe for *racial disaster*. The individual is a finite entity; it follows then that he must have less importance than an *infinite* entity, the race. A race can only survive into the distant future when it is *racial*; the moment that a race breaks itself down into non-rationally responsible "individuals," with all of its focus being transferred to those individuals and their needs, desires, and whims, it has broken down the drive for its own preservation as well. When the collective is broken, the preservation of that collective is broken too. For those who would desire the preservation of their White Race on this earth, it is not enough then that they themselves harbor that desire; rather, they must work for the rest of their race to harbor it as well and fight against all of those forces that would cause it to do otherwise. Opinions, feelings, and values that are hostile to our racial preservation, whether in the short or long term, must be ruthlessly *attacked*; there can be no such thing as "tolerance" for that which would rid our kind from the face of the earth.



We can say in conclusion that all that which weakens our White Race in its drive to preserve itself is "sick," and that includes the society in which we live, the thoughts we think, the values we hold, the governmental policies we accept, and even the unhealthy food that we consume for that matter. The entire social, political, economic, intellectual, and religious order that we live in today is *sick* as it is overwhelmingly ill-conducive to our continued racial life; that which causes a race to decline, degrade, degenerate and destruct must necessarily be "sick" by definition. To have a strong race is to have a *healthy* race and no one can possibly say that the White Race is "strong" today whether from a moral, emotional, physical, territorial, or any other perspective; hence our race is sick today in its world. We can say furthermore that health is *better* than sickness and should be sought because that is so, and that racial preservation is better than racial destruction when it is our own race that is at stake. The preservation of our kind is not accomplished, however, through the acceptance and maintenance of social conditions that are hostile to that preservation. Rather those conditions must be combatted, and on that basis. The frivolities of the present world have *consequences* and those consequences are nearly entirely negative as far as the continued existence of our White Race is concerned. When our people do not care *about* their White Race as such, our White Race is not cared *for* and its future is undermined accordingly. When our people instead put their stock in stupid governments, stupid flags, and causes that have nothing to do with the preservation and advancement of their own kind in this world, that preservation and advancement is *erased*. When we fail to understand that the natural world is a world of *races*, all in dire competition with one another for the bounty of this earth, we negligify our own racial existence and hand victory to our racial adversaries who will gladly tread upon the soil where our bones are buried. We forfeit everything that we were, are, and could be.

Ours is a time of unnatural attitudes, sentiments, and desires, all in obeisance to the likewise unnatural order of things that they have created. Our White people do not behave as natural *creatures* anymore and the result of this, their divorce from Nature, is their displacement, degradation, and demise. Our people live without a common goal in mind and call that "freedom." That it is, in the sense that they are "free" from any sense of what it takes to preserve their own kind on this earth and, what's worse, are "free" from any appreciation for the importance of doing so. They devote no thought or energy at all to the well-being of their *race*, instead being caught up almost entirely in their own fleeting individual lives and, to a lesser extent, in the amorphous well-being of the "country," as if the well-being of a "country" were somehow more important than that of our own kind! Hence all of the energies that *could* have been utilized for our racial preservation and advancement are instead squandered on pursuits that not only fail to help us in that regard but usually, in fact, hurt us. Our people thus meander about in total disregard of that which is most important, the preservation and advancement of their own kind for its own sake, and that preservation and advancement suffers accordingly. We are a race that treads practically every conceivable path but nearly *none* of them leads to the permanent survival of that race or our advancement as that race, whether culturally, genetically, or biologically. In sum, we are doing nothing to *preserve* ourselves or to *better* ourselves as the White Race that we are and that is so because our drive towards those ends has been attacked and extirpated. The entire society is filled with worthless values because they are not *racial* values, and without racial values, our own race ceases to exist. Without our society being obedient to the path set forth by Nature, the preservation of our natural racial existence is not maintained.

Since though the current order of things in which our White people live is so "unnatural," as we have said, it behooves us to explain separately, exactly, and in detail how that is so. How

are the values of our times at odds with those of Nature? Why is it good for a race to in fact be "natural" and bad for it to be "unnatural"? Why should our White people prefer the values of *Nature* as their guide to the fabricated values of their own minds? What *are* the values of Nature, exactly? What is the result of a race defying natural values; what is the *consequence* of its doing so? Why is it that the values of Nature are race *preservative* and the values of men race *destructive*? How exactly is the present society, in which our people have the misfortune of living, radically different in its various aspects from the natural society that we could have had for ourselves had we been true to the values of Nature? No matter how clear we may already deem the answers to these questions, those of us who are devoted to reinvigorating the drive for preservation in our White Race, that is, it behooves us to set them forth all the same so that the extent to which our people have gone astray may be fully understood and, with struggle, fully redressed. The issues that are still before us are vast, the ramifications are deep, and the challenges are great. Our journey has just begun.

## Chapter Two

### The Values of the Natural World

It is fair to say that the contents of the previous chapter rested on the presumption that preservation, including *racial* preservation, is a worthwhile value, at least for those people whose preservation happens to be at stake. However, we live today in a time, of course, where many would challenge that presumption when it comes to the preservation of *the White Race*, and it is precisely the fact that White people do not value the preservation of their own kind today, and in fact work to *undermine* it, which illustrates the sickness of our times. That is because in Nature a race fights to *live*, not die. It values its own preservation and does so for its own sake. It need not explain nor justify why it wants to live; it simply pursues that course and no other. Healthy values, for it, are thus those actions and attitudes which enable it to continue its presence on this earth, for otherwise it would vanish in short order. In other words, those values which enable a race to continue living are *healthy* values while those which would cause that race to wither and die are *sick* values. Just as we would call a flourishing garden a healthy garden, we can call a flourishing *race* a healthy *race*. On the other hand, a garden that is being taken over by weeds (alien races), and whose fruit and vegetables are stunted in their growth and diseased otherwise, must surely be “sick” under any rational understanding of the term, and that indeed is the plight which the “garden” of our White Race is suffering today. Growth and vigor is the law of life; decline and degradation is the mark of death. That which enables a race to grow and preserve itself is healthy; that which causes a race to wither and die is sick. That is the basic thesis of this book and the author asserts it absolutely. Regardless of the infinite intellectual mores that men can invent or adapt themselves to in order to get along with the current social order of things, these are the only

definitions of health and sickness that ultimately make any sense, at least from a biological standpoint, and it is only the biological standpoint that matters in a world that happens to be biological by its very nature and nothing else. There are ways of thinking and acting which preserve life and ways which do *not*, whether for the individual or the race of which he is a part. The only sensible course of action then is to be *for* those ways of thinking and living which preserve that life and to be *against* those which do not, and that is so regardless of whatever intellectual arguments to the contrary can be mustered up in the mere minds of men, arguments that always happen to be divorced from the natural world in which every other creature lives. For in that natural world, the striking fact of the matter is that no race, anywhere, tolerates its own destruction. Not one. Rather, every race fights for its continued preservation on this earth through whatever means are available to it and it is always in the right thereby. We can ask ourselves then why our White Race should be an exception, indeed the *sole* exception, in that regard. We can ask ourselves why it should be so that every race in the natural world seeks to *live* but that we White people, on the other hand, should let our own race *die*. We can, even more fundamentally perhaps, ask ourselves why our own values as White people should be any different from the values of the natural world in the first place. Was it really necessary that our White Race adopt values that *contradict* those values of the natural world, values which impel all of the races within it to fight for their own preservation as the very meaning and purpose of their life?

The great error of human history is that we allowed that to occur, that instead of embracing the values of *Nature*, we as White people embraced artificial values that are hostile to our own racial existence, both at the moment and in the long run. We divorced ourselves from a natural scheme of things altogether, creating for ourselves a moral world order that had nothing at all to do with the *natural* world that we should have deemed ourselves a part of all along. We substituted

natural values for man-made values, those values having nothing to do with our racial place in the world, its preservation, and its advancement as the *race* that it is in competition with the others. We failed to preserve *our racial integrity*, something that every other race in Nature does as a matter of course. *The sad fact of the matter is that the entire moral, political, social, and religious order of things that our White people have lived by for the past two thousand plus years has been bad for our kind* and that is because it has not been based on the value of our own racial *existence* and the perpetuation and advancement of that *existence* upon this earth.

That may seem a harsh statement to make and yet it is true nonetheless. The only morals, politics, societies, and religions we ever needed, and ever should have wanted, were those which preserved and advanced our own *kind* in its struggle for life, and on this earth and no place else at that. No separation of ourselves from that value of the natural world was ever necessary or desirable. Our intellect should never have been used to countermand Nature but rather to *fulfill* it. There was never an “improvement” upon the values of Nature that was ever possible or worthwhile. We instead should have lived in *harmony* with the values of that natural world which spawned *us* just as surely as every *other* race of creature upon the face of this earth. There was never a need for us to look anyplace else for the values that would guide the life of our people, as a whole as well as that of our own lives individually. Rather, *Nature* provided the only path by which our well-being could be assured. That is because the values of Nature are inherently conducive to life. *Nature in fact sets the rules by which that life may be maintained; to go against those rules, on the other hand, means to forfeit that life.*

When we observe Nature, the obvious fact that appears without exception before our eyes is that each and every race of organism that exists is devoted exclusively to the welfare of its own kind. Not a single race in the natural world, in other words, seeks to benefit any race other than

its own. There is no such thing as interracial altruism in Nature, nor is there even a single “multiracial” society of creatures within its realm, and yet that is the case even though there are countless trillions of organisms existing upon the face of this planet! The enormity of that fact should thus hit us squarely in our brains and in our souls, that the natural world is, without exception, totally “racist” in the very sense of the term that our White people today would so sadly and vociferously deplore: races in Nature discriminate *against* one another and *for* themselves *all the time*. There is no “racial integration,” no “interracial harmony,” and no “colorblindness” regarding race, or anything else of the kind, in the natural world that surrounds us. Rather, each race associates only with its own kind, fights only for its own kind, and tolerates only its own kind within its society and yes, animals have societies as much as men. The old saying, “to each his own,” applies with total and unequivocal force. There is no multiracial society, mingling, or acceptance of any kind. Rather, every race lives only for itself, and excludes those *not* of its own kind from its world.

One will not find, for example, a flock composed of different races of birds flying south for the winter. One will not find a school composed of different races of fish. One will not find a mixed “pride” of lions and hyenas upon the African plain. One will not find different races of bees in the same beehive. One will not find different races of ants in the same ant hole. Everywhere in Nature there is the *separation* of races, not their integration. There is racial *exclusivity*, not inclusion. That is the true moral order of the world, not the various race-mixing agendas that men can contrive within their heads. Nature is *racist* through and through. Every single creature within its realm is a racist creature. Only among *men* is racism deplored; at the same time all the other races of organisms under the sun are racist without objection. *The problem then is not the natural racism that exists on this earth but rather that men have foolishly condemned the racism that is*

*natural to them as well.* When people who do not know any better attack “racism,” they are thus unwittingly attacking the very nature of life on this planet, for again, every race—whether of mammal, insect, amphibian, fish, or whatever—is “racist” for its own kind in its struggle for life. They do not socialize with one another. They do not breed with one another. They do not form communities with one another. They in fact resist, kill, and *destroy* one another if that is what is necessary to preserve their own respective kinds upon this earth. No one with a brain in his head has ever opposed lions being “racist” towards hyenas, or grizzly bears being “racist” towards black bears, or a million other examples we could name. No one has ever condemned the refusal of all of the races in the natural world to breed outside of their own kind. That is because racism is intrinsic to all natural life on this planet. There are races and there is *racism* accordingly. The races preserve themselves by being *racist*, that is, by thinking and acting exclusively with their own particular race in mind. Racism is their natural *instinct* and that instinct preserves their existence on this earth. Creatures are racist by *nature*, not by instruction, and no one has ever offered a single compelling reason why the races of *men* should be any different in that regard.

No one has ever demanded that the races in *Nature* “get along” with one another and so we can ask ourselves why the races of *men* should be forced to “get along” with one another either. No one has ever demanded that the races in *Nature* “integrate” with one another and so we can ask ourselves why such racial integration should be demanded of *men*. No one has ever demanded that the races in *Nature* “love one another” and so we can ask ourselves why such a love should be demanded of *us*. No one has ever demanded that the races in *Nature* like one another and so we can ask ourselves why such a thing should be demanded of the races of *men*. I, as a White man, should have as much right to disdain members of the black race as a lion has the right to disdain a hyena, or is it so that animals should have *more* rights than men?



The natural world doesn't have a bad conscience about what it feels. Its creatures do not chastise themselves for loving their friends and hating their enemies, their friends *always* being members of their own race and their enemies *almost* always being those *not* of their own race. The natural world *hates* and hates often, and nobody thinks that it should be blamed for doing so. The races within it hate in order to preserve themselves and that which they love. There is no "tolerance" of anything that threatens their racial existence, nor is there ever a question of their even regretting that fact. Indeed, there is no regret of any kind in Nature. Instead, each race looks after and loves its own kind to the exclusion of all others not of its own racial family, and the sentiments that each race possesses—call it instinct or what you will—simply spring from the loyalty to its own kind that is the foundation of its entire genetic existence. They are a means towards the preservation and advancement of that existence, not a scourge against same. No matter what conflicts may exist between the individual members of a given race, that race is always united in its opposition to *enemy* races whether in fight or flight. There is thus no such thing, *ever*, as an individual of one race in the natural world taking sides against its own race in favor of that of another race. Indeed, in the entire history of the world, such a thing has never occurred. A honey bee has never taken the side of bumble bees in a clash between the two races of bees. A grizzly bear has never taken the side of black bears in a clash between the two races of bears. A lion has never sided with hyenas against his fellow lions. A walrus has never assisted polar bears in the killing of his fellow walruses. Thus not only is *racism* endemic to Nature but so is *racial loyalty*. Every single race in the natural world—the world outside of Man's influence, interference, and control, that is—has been loyal to its own kind and that has been so for the entire history of the planet. *Simply put, no race naturally takes sides against its own kind.* Indeed, the very idea of that happening in Nature is preposterous. Each race breeds only with its own, favors only its own,

sides only with its own, and cares only for its own alone. Its world is a *racial* world; there is its *own* race with which all of its affection and concern rests, and there are the *other* races with which it has nothing to do in any kind of social sense. Even when Man takes the other, competitive races of creatures into his captivity, he is not foolish enough to put them in the same cage, say a lion and a hyena, for example. That is because the animosity between the two races is inherent regardless of wherever they may find themselves and one would tear the other apart in short order as a result. Only Man's domestication (genetic engineering) of the animal races can ever modify their inherent nature of being totally racist in favor of their own kind and being socially aloof from all of the other kinds, but that takes hundreds of years to achieve, if at all.

It is a mistake, on the other hand, to think that "race" is a concept which is limited to human beings and does not exist among the myriad other species of this earth. On the contrary, two different species—whether we are talking about bears, bees, birds, or whatever—are automatically two different *races* as well due to that very fact since species *includes* race. By means of analogy, the number "ten" has to include numbers one through nine; thus if you have "ten" apples, you have to have five, six, or seven too. Race is not just a human attribute but rather an attribute of all life. Thus wherever life exists within the natural world, there is *race*. All life on this earth is composed of races; wherever there are "species," there are races too. Race is in fact the better term since it describes any genetically distinctive population regardless of the specific nature of the creatures in question.

Races in Nature do not need to provide any ethical justification for their resistance to the incursion of rival races into their territories. Rather, they simply do resist that incursion because their best interests *compel* that they do. Their instincts compel that resistance and thus it is made accordingly. Rivals are those races which compete with them for food and territory and thus they

are their *foes* as a result. Their rivals have the ability to supplant their own racial existence in the territory in question and thus they are their *enemies*, quite simply. Whatever actual motivations the rivals in question may actually have in their heads (or in their “hearts”) are totally irrelevant. What matters instead is that a threat exists all the same to the race whose habitat is being invaded. The race is threatened and that threat must be defeated, if possible. That is done through outright attacks upon the intruders, incessant harrying of the intruders, or, should resistance be entirely futile due to the grossly disparate strength and power of the intruder in question, by surrender of the territory in favor of a territory where the race *isn't* threatened any longer. In any case, the race struggles to *preserve* itself, and by whatever means are available to it at that. It does not welcome its invaders with open arms. Rather, it *resists* its invaders if it can. Bees sting those creatures which get too close to their hive, for example. Birds peck at those who get too close to their nests. Other animals of course flee because they *can't* successfully resist the invasion of their territories. However, they do that so that their *race* may be preserved thereby. The race thus struggles to *live* and not die. Individuals may be sacrificed for the sake of that racial preservation or they may not. The drive for that preservation, however, is omnipresent.

In the natural world, territory and habitat only have importance in regards to the preservation of the particular race that is inhabiting it, itself. In other words, it is the *race* which provides a given territory its significance, not the other way around; the territory that a race inhabits is utilized for the benefit of the *race* rather than the race being utilized for the benefit of the *territory*. A territory is thus only a *means* to an end in Nature and that end is always *racial* preservation and advancement. No race in the history of the natural world has ever sacrificed the lives of its individual members for the mere sake of its *territory*; rather, races have fought for their territories in the natural world for the sake of their racial *selves* which inhabit those territories.

Races are thus *racist* in Nature, not “patriotic” in a raceless sense. The *race* is of utmost importance, not the particular territory it inhabits. The race is the *end* in Nature, the territory the *means* to advance that end. Their territories provide them with sustenance but their true allegiance is not to those territories but rather to *themselves*. Bees do not sting out of love for the particular territory they are in; rather, they sting to defend the hive containing their *kind* which exists within that territory. Birds do not peck at the heads of those humans who have unwittingly come near their nests because of a fondness for the territory itself; rather their concern is with their nests of *offspring* which inhabit that territory. In Nature the concern is thus always the preservation of one’s own *kind* and never for the territory or habitat for its own sake. Indeed, if need be, the territory or habitat will be sacrificed altogether to preserve that kind and another one will be occupied instead! Thus it is *racial* preservation that is the focus of Nature, not territorial possession for its own sake. The territory is just a means to the end of preserving the race which occupies the territory in question. The territory is thus the servant while the race is the master. No race has ever sacrificed its entire existence for the sake of a mere territory that it would hand over to its conqueror with its dying breath, but many a territory has been sacrificed so that a race may continue to live. Still, such a vacating of territory is a last resort in Nature; a race would rather defend its territory, literally by tooth and nail if it can, because by doing so, it defends itself. A territory is again its means of sustenance as we have said; thus by fighting for that sustenance, it fights for itself. Races oftentimes invest a great deal of time, effort, and energy into their territories; oftentimes they are not willing to give them up without a fight accordingly. Still, the defense of territory in Nature is always for *racial* reasons rather than for the sake of the territory in question standing alone. The “habitat” of every race in Nature starts and ends with *itself*.

In the natural world, each race is totally indifferent to the “feelings” of the other races that exist. Cheetahs do not care about the feelings of antelopes while they are ripping into their flesh. Whales do not care about the feelings of seals that they likewise devour. Beavers do not care whether people like the dams they build in the middle of their rivers, those rivers belonging to the *beavers* are far as they themselves are concerned. Crickets do not care if the chirps they make to attract a mate are profoundly annoying to those creatures who *aren’t* crickets. Roosters do not care if other races don’t like to be awoken at sunrise. Horse flies don’t care if horses are bothered by their bites. Every race in Nature thus thinks entirely within its own racial framework; it never views matters from the other races’ perspective but rather from its own. There is no moral conscience to be found outside of the conscience of its own kind. There is no blending or confusion of the myriad other racial best interests that swirl all around it. Rather, each race instinctively knows its own best interests and strives to fulfill them regardless of the sentiments, or pain, of those who are *not* of their own kind. “Moral” is that which is good for their own race, not something that ever transcends racial lines. All moral actions are *racial* in Nature, not interracial. There are thus no “interracial” complaints to be made and no “interracial” justice to carry out. Rather, *justice is what benefits the race in question*, even if it hurts everyone else. For example, it is certainly “just” from a lion’s perspective that it succeed in pulling down zebras but it is hardly “just” from the zebras’ perspective that they be pulled down by “mean” lions. Thus it is *perspective* that matters in Nature and that perspective is racially determined.

Every race in Nature knows exactly what it is and does only that which *fulfills* what it is. A koala bear does not forget that it is a koala bear and start eating foods accordingly that are foreign, and indeed harmful, to its digestive tract. A hippopotamus does not mistake itself for a rhinoceros and start trotting about with the rhinos. A leopard does not mistake its spots for stripes.

A rattlesnake does not suddenly behave as if it were a garden snake. Rather, every race in Nature is true to what it is, fulfills its own inherent character, and does not adopt the character of those races which are different from its own. It is sure of what it is and does not forget what it is. It beholds the other races around it, all right, but it is indifferent to their fate. There is no such thing as “social justice” between the various races in Nature because the only society that matters (and exists) for each race is the society of its own kind. Some races are its *prey* after all while others are its *predators*, and still others are its rivals for food and territory while others still have no relevance to its world at all. In any case, every race in Nature *minds its own business*; they do not regulate the lives of each other nor dictate how those lives must be lived. Rather, each race is on its own for better or worse as it struggles to survive, the other races around it only mattering for it in so far as they are its *food*, they are its *annoyance*, or they are its *threat*. There is no such thing in Nature as one race caring about the welfare of another, only concern about how that race may be used to benefit itself (such as through predation) or how the threat posed by another race may be defeated. The end is always the preservation and advancement of its own kind and nothing else. Justice is entirely *racial* in Nature, not “colorblind.”

Again, this is how things are in the natural world, not the world that has been manipulated by Man to conform to a different way of things that he has created within his own head. That natural world existed long before Man walked the earth and will no doubt continue long after he has walked no more. The natural world, not Man’s artificial world, is the default position of all existence upon the face of this planet. Were Man to disappear from the earth tomorrow, it would take very little geologic time at all for the natural world to subsume the civilization he has left behind and all of its works.

It goes without saying that the feeling of compassion is likewise an entirely *intraspecific* sentiment in Nature. Simply put, races in the natural world do not have compassion for the various sufferings of those who are not of their own kind. They do not lament the tragedies that befall them, nor do they attempt to rescue them from those tragedies. There is ample love in Nature, to be sure, but that love for others is reserved for those of one's own kind and no other, least of all for one's racial enemies. Mother birds will do almost anything to protect their own chicks, for example, but they will do *nothing* to protect the young of other races, whether those other races happen to be fellow "birds" or not. A herd of water buffalo will collectively defend a fellow water buffalo that is being targeted by lions but could not care less about the fate of a hyena or zebra who happens to be under threat instead. The various races of penguins will express sadness over the death of members of their own respective kinds but look upon the slaughter of those individuals of different race all around them with total indifference. Praying mantises do not come to the aid of besieged walking sticks. Badgers do not come to the aid of porcupines. Monarch butterflies do not come to the aid of viceroy butterflies, no matter how similar they may be to the human naked eye. Rather, every race in Nature has compassion for its own kind alone. Nowhere in Nature does one race ever weep over the suffering endured by another, nor of course does a race ever go out of its way to put a halt to such suffering. The wheel of life goes round and round in Nature but all feeling concerning the various manifestations of that fact is entirely confined to the welfare of one's own race and nobody else's.

In the natural world, conflict and violence are endemic, not some kind of accident or punishment that only came about because of an alleged fall from paradise. Races clash with each other because they have competing *interests*—often to eat or to avoid being eaten—and because every gain for one race is at the expense of another in a world that is only of finite space. Since

every race has the instinctive urge to expand the geographical range of its own kind and to the fullest extent possible at that, it inevitably comes up against other races that are trying to do the same thing and clashes between the two are thus bound to occur accordingly. Furthermore, races survive by consuming the very *flesh* of each other and cannot survive any other way. Indeed, the natural world could very well be summed up as a vast buffet where the races of life dine upon each other and seek to avoid being dined upon. Carnivores are the living embodiment of the deaths of any number of other animate creatures of different race and even the vegetarians consume the races of *plant* life that are at their mercy. Thus it is a fact that all of the animate races of this earth live off the death of the other creatures that surround them one way or another, that the profit of one race is the destruction of another, and that the races of this earth are locked into an eternal struggle that can never be wished or hoped away. Lions do not lie down with lambs as their tender friends; rather, lions *eat* lambs as their tender *prey*. The weeds that every gardener hates must constantly be attacked because their urge is to constantly expand at the expense of the fruit (food) that would feed his family. Every animal's empty stomach longs to be filled and it is the *filling* that matters, not the feelings or wishes of those hapless creatures who are on the menu for that filling with their flesh, their sinew, and their bones. Every race in Nature thus has the inexorable drive to *live*, no matter what it is or how it does so, and that living requires the demise of those not of its own kind on a regular and continual basis in order for that living to be achieved. Anything and everything that enables a race to live is thus justified for that race; there is no bystander or "referee" anywhere who takes a dim view of what goes on in Nature along those lines and who would want it to be any other way. Conflict and violence end up serving their purpose, which is the preservation and advancement of one's own kind.



The triumph of the “individual” is not the hallmark of the natural world—since, after all, the individual himself perishes in short order—but rather that the *race* has continued to live through its successive generations. Hence many races in the natural world are willing to risk the lives of their individual members, and even forfeit those lives altogether, so that the race may continue to live. Bees, for instance, die in the defense of their hive by virtue of their stinging those creatures that intrude upon it. Ants will fight to the death to stop the invasion of their colonies by termites. Individual meerkats will sound the alarm when birds of prey are sighted, putting themselves at risk in the process. The point is that the race comes *before* the individual in Nature and sometimes to such an extent that the individuals forming it will without hesitation give up their individual lives so that it may go on. The individual dies and is quickly forgotten; the race, on the other hand, lives on constantly and continually. Whatever uniqueness that the individual may possess is of finite duration along with his finite life itself. The race, on the other hand, is infinite. Even those races whose individuals are solitary in nature make sure that those individuals breed before they die, and in that way the race goes on long after the individual himself has turned to dust.

To the (individual) victor in Nature goes the spoils, yes, but the true, lasting benefit of that phenomenon is the fact that he is able to breed more of his own individual kind by virtue of his continuing to live, and that the survival of the fittest beings, generation by generation, enables the race to be continually improved in its struggle for life. In other words, what appears as merely the aggrandizement of the individual being in the natural world actually has a *racial* benefit through and through in that the survival and propagation of the best individuals, as a result of the conflict and violence endured as part of that world, results in the race attaining the highest quality possible generation by generation. Conflict and violence weed out the inferior specimens of the respective

races, leaving only the best behind to replicate their genes and continue the flow. Thus not only are conflict and violence endemic to the natural world but they are beneficial to the constant improvement of that world. The healthy, the strong, the intelligent, and the fleet of foot survive to breed the next generation and the sickly, the weak, the dimwitted, and the sluggish do not. The entire grandeur and splendor of the natural world that is marveled at by humanity today is a result of this fact, for without the survival of the fittest, there could be no beauty. Even *within* races, conflict and violence exists but is kept under control by the racial loyalty that typifies all natural creatures; individuals of the same race will fight each other, yes, but usually only for dominance within the hierarchy of their own kind and for those breeding rights which enable more of their own, individual genes to exist in the world. The race is improved thereby because the best win the contest and replicate their genes in the coming generation more than do the losers. The strongest lion fathers the most lion cubs. The most brilliantly colored peacock attracts the most peahens. Competition between the individuals of a race also keeps the race competitive in its struggle with the other races of the world. Regardless of the agonies of the individual defeats which do occur, the race itself is the victor in the process.

What is absolutely unheard of in the natural world, however, is that of a race fighting itself wholesale. Never does a race in Nature engage in mass intraracial warfare, to the death or otherwise. One will never see a herd of water buffalo attack another herd of water buffalo, for instance, a swarm of honey bees attack a hive of fellow honey bees, or a flock of seagulls attack another flock of seagulls. One will never see red ants wage wholesale war against their fellow red ants, alligators against their fellow alligators, or hippopotami against their fellow hippopotami. Rather, the conflicts that do occur within races are entirely between *individuals* in Nature and only then for the reasons previously stated: dominance and breeding rights. Races are thus *loyal* to

their own kind, not the enemy of it. Races attack *different* races, not their own kind. Races instinctively know that their enemies are those of *different* race, not those of the same race. Races are thus *racist* in their actions, not internecine or fratricidal. Races seek to *preserve* themselves, not destroy themselves, and thus they avoid that conduct which would in fact destroy them: mass warfare with their own kind. Thus there is no such thing in Nature as entire “nations” of the same race which war against each other, whether for a “cause” or even for mere territory. Rather, that kind of wholesale conflict is reserved for relations *between* races, not within them. No matter what contests may occur between individuals or small groups of the same race in Nature, or how heated they may become, there is still the instinctive understanding that the conflict serves the betterment and best interests of the race in some way, and that the true enemies of the race, and as a whole at that, are those creatures which lie *outside* of its own kind altogether. Thus one will never witness a race in Nature weaken its own existence in relation to that of the other races that surround it. Rather, each race in Nature strives instinctively to improve its power and strength vis-à-vis that of the other races which it confronts. That is not always possible of course but it *is* the urge with which every race is imbued.

“Discrimination,” for its part, is constant in the natural world. Creatures constantly discriminate as to whom to associate with (members of their own race) and whom not to associate with (members of other races). They discriminate as to what to eat and with whom to breed. Indeed, all day long they are discriminating along those lines. They discriminate as to their friends and their foes. Every single race in Nature engages in this discrimination; not a single race or creature in Nature *fails* to engage in this discrimination. They discriminate between their own race and those of different race. They discriminate *for* their own race and *against* every other race. They do not treat other races the same way as they treat themselves, nor do they treat everybody

within their own race the same either. Thus they in no respect treat everybody they encounter “equally,” and that is because there is no “equality” in Nature in the first place but rather a diversity of race, sex, character, strength, and power. “Equality” itself has no meaning in Nature as it is a man-made concept that ignores the intrinsic *inequality* of all living things. Hence the races of Nature’s realm pay no attention whatever to any such purported “equality” or whatever demands it would impose were the concept to have any value in a racial sense. Rather, every individual has his place in the race of which he is a part whether high, low, or indifferent, and “equality” simply does not enter into the equation. There is no attempt to treat everybody the same because they are *not* the same. Distinction and preference rather are the rule.

In Nature there is rule by the *best*, not by majority vote; there is a natural *aristocracy*, not democracy. Creatures are not guaranteed *anything* by virtue of their mere existence; rather they have to *work* for what they have and it is their work (and merit) that makes them deserving of whatever bounty they possess and nothing else. There are no “entitlements” accruing to you just because you have a mouth and can yap loudly; rather you have to *earn* your very existence itself through the deeds you perform in life. There is no “right to health care” when you won’t even bother to take care of your own health. There is no right to eat if you are too lazy to bother to procure your own food. There is no “social safety net” for creatures who are too lazy, weak, or stupid to take care of themselves and the result is that there are few lazy, weak, or stupid creatures to be found and thankfully so. There is instead a high degree of *self-reliance* in the natural world and that self-reliance breeds and builds a better race: *for everybody*.

In Nature there is *racial* solidarity, not sexual solidarity. Thus one will never encounter, ever, the females of several different races banding together across racial lines to assert grievances against the males of their own respective races. Indeed, the very idea is almost laughable. The

females of the crow, canary, and cardinal races do not stand together in solidarity with each other to demand their “reproductive rights” from the males of their own crow, canary, and cardinal races, for example. Female frogs and toads do not band together to protest their treatment at the “hands” of male frogs and toads. The females of the gray and red fox races do not form a “sisterhood” with one another against the power, privilege, or authority of the males of their own races. Rather, there is simply no crossover whatsoever between races on the basis of sex in Nature. Not once, in the entire history of the world, has a creature in the natural world identified itself more with its *sex* than with its *race*, nor have any of its creatures ever organized themselves on the basis of sex across racial lines. Instead, *race* is the only identity in Nature and each sex of that race simply fulfills the role that its instinct demands. There is no such thing as male or female dissatisfaction, only male and female *fulfillment*. The anatomy of the two sexes is used for the purposes for which they were biologically designed. There is no aversion on the part of females to be *mothers* and males to be *fathers*. On the contrary, the sexes are biologically propelled to make that happen and use any and all means available to help them bring that to fruition. There is no confusion as to which sex is which and what role belongs to it thereby. There is no such thing as the sexes being divided against one another in Nature or any kind of chasm existing between them otherwise. Rather, the sexes of each race are *united* for that race and the bringing forth of the next generation of it successfully.

Males and females thus exist for *breeding* purposes in the natural world. They do not view one another in the same manner because the role that each sex has to play in the life of the race is *not the same*. Rather, the purpose of the male is to *impregnate* and the purpose of the female is to be *impregnated*—all so that the race may continue into the future. Thus *of course* males and females scrutinize one another from a sexual point of view in the natural world, for sex is the

means to the end of racial preservation. There is a good reason then why the word “sex” is both a noun and a verb: the purpose of the sexes is sex! The males seek to impress the females with whatever attributes they possess in order to gain their favor; the females respond by allowing the males they desire to breed with them. There is no bizarre revulsion about these facts among the creatures of the natural world; rather, they are accepted and embraced. Pregnancies are carried to term because that is the natural course of things. Pregnancy is the goal of the copulative act. Every individual creature is judged by its race and its genetic quality within that race.

Lust, for its part, is no “sin” in the natural world. Rather it is the driver of all life, life that does not apologize for living. It is thus not some kind of harassment or insult for a male creature to look upon a female creature with copulation on his mind in the natural world. Rather that is a basic function of living itself. Since breeding is all important, *of course* the sexes look upon one another with breeding in mind. There is great discrimination as to *partners* to be sure, but not to the drive to procreate itself. There is obviously no such thing in the natural world as females “choosing” not to give birth to the offspring they themselves conspired to create. The price of pleasure rather, is *life*.

Whatever genetic defects arise in the natural world are quickly *culled*, not coddled. Three-legged calves are not handed a crutch but rather are left to *predators*. The weak are not pampered but rather are *pressured*. There is an instinctive aversion in all natural creatures for any defects which arise within their own kind, in their own gene pool, and, as a result, such defects are quickly stamped out instead of being allowed to be repeated throughout the generations and expand. Thus the race is always at its *best* at the given point in time in Nature from a genetic perspective. Defective offspring are abandoned by their mothers and, lacking that nurturing, never reach maturity so that the defect may be repeated. Thus the defect is stopped in its tracks. Thus in

Nature there are no races that are genetically diseased, defective, or otherwise lacking in the ability to live a vigorous life. There are no masses of cripples, weaklings, or other sub-par beings who cannot take care of themselves in their maturity and thus pose a burden upon the rest of the race. Every race breeds true to type and unfavorable mutations are not allowed to breed at all. The race is hence always at the highest state that can be achieved at that moment.

Nature itself breeds every race to be its absolute best through the values of its world. The values of the natural world are themselves *racial* values in that they have the effect of preserving and improving each and every race that exists within its realm, and not the mere individual who comes and goes in short order. Indeed, the individuals of the races in the natural world often seem to sacrifice themselves, and what seems to be their own individual best interests, in favor of the best interests of their own kind as a whole, whether in the immediate or long term sense. (A mother's sacrifice of herself for the well-being of her offspring is the most obvious and universal example of that, provided that it is healthy of course.) It is in that sense that *idealism* even exists within the animal world and is by no means a human invention. Worker bees work for the *hive* and not for themselves. Ants do the same. Animals routinely risk their individual lives for their young. Elephants will charge a threat to their herd. Prairie dogs will alert their brethren to the presence of birds of prey hovering about, thus risking their own individual lives in the process. Thus in the natural world, racial values routinely supersede individual values. Indeed, individual values are largely subordinate to these racial values and do not exist in any kind of separate sense. It would be difficult to find, for example, an individual creature in the natural world that is acting contrary to the best interests of its own kind. Instead, each creature in the natural world *fulfills* those best interests, its individual existence fitting within the context of those best interests. An individual creature may live a solitary life, for instance, but it is in the nature of its race that it does

so. On the other hand, no individual creature in the natural world goes *against* the nature of its own kind; it does not adopt a different nature for itself altogether. Hence it lives within the context of the kind that it is and was born. These are the values of the natural world. It is unnecessary that any being created or chose them for itself. Rather, what matters is that these are the values of the natural world all the same, and everywhere throughout that world at that. All of the myriad races of Nature's realm live by these values. Thus it necessarily follows that these values must be beneficial to the preservation of those races for otherwise they *wouldn't* live by them. That is the beauty of Nature: what works, *is*, and what doesn't, *isn't*. If these were not good values as far as the preservation of the race is concerned, different values would exist or the races would have perished in the meantime. Intellectualism and rationalizing are thus irrelevant to the matter, particularly in light of the fact that most creatures in the natural world neither have intellect nor reasoning powers. Rather, the values of the natural world are inherently race-preservative by virtue of the very fact that every race of life on earth adheres to them as a matter of *instinct*; races preserve themselves through their instinctive (not rational) adoption of those values for otherwise different values would exist. The *instinct* of every creature impels it to embrace the same values as every other: *those values which preserve its own kind*. It is thus unnecessary that our values come from a book, a god, or anything else outside the basis of our own raw genetic existence itself. Rather, within our instincts and within our genes *the values already exist*. They exist inherently within the races of men just as they exist inherently within the races of every other living creature.

It is also unnecessary that anybody or anything second-guess these values; indeed, it is foolhardy that they do so since it is a basic fact that all of the races of the natural world survive, thrive, and continually improve themselves by virtue of their obedience to them, whether they do



so consciously or not. Only Man, in his arrogance and mental separation from the natural world that exists all around him, would think himself justified in creating an entire world order of things for himself which would defy all of the incontestable and undeniable values of the natural world which we have set forth in the pages above, but that is only because his intelligence has reached such a high level through the evolutionary process that he is able to imagine and subsequently adopt for himself other values altogether, as well as think of himself as a being who is somehow “above” the rules that happen to guide the lives of all of the other creatures upon the earth. That ability and adoption of different values, however, does not mean that he makes things better for himself! On the contrary, it is precisely the human races which live the most corrupt and confused lives of all creatures on earth. The races of the natural world always seem to know what they are doing, and what they are doing always seems to make *sense*, does it not? With the human races, on the other hand, foolishness, idiocy, and senselessness seem to be the rule rather than the exception. Human beings are constantly plagued by doubt in nearly all aspects of their lives unlike what goes on with all of the other races that exist. Man’s ability to think of himself as a being who is outside the strictures that guide the natural world thus does not make his conduct wise nor beneficial to his own existence whether in the short term or in the long run. Rather he cheats himself out of the happiness and psychological tranquility that could have been his had he simply adhered to the values of the natural world as he was instinctively inclined to do in the first place. Thus Man can defy the values of Nature for a time and even mock those values while he is doing so. However, Nature will have the last laugh and indeed, Man is actually punished for his Nature-defiance all along, for by living in such a way that is contrary, and indeed hostile, to the values of the natural world, he causes himself distress, degeneration, and degradation that were never meant to be his lot. However, he proceeds from disaster to disaster in the artificial existence that he has

created for himself all the same, completely oblivious of that fact, for just as he is unaware of where he went wrong in the values that he has allowed to guide his life, he is ignorant altogether of the benefits that could have accrued to him had he embraced natural values instead of the artificial values that have been foisted upon him by his fellow men.

The generic word “Man” is used above, true, but there can be no doubt but that it is the White *Race* specifically which has fallen victim to this error more than any other (separation from the values of the natural world in the exercise of its racial existence). All we need do is compare the values of the natural world with the values that guide our White people today to realize the full extent of the idiocy that has gripped our kind in disregard of its racial life. The entire society in which we live is grounded in values that are hostile to our continued racial existence instead of supporting it. How can we be surprised then that our White Race is dying out before our very eyes today when the very values that White people embrace are utterly devoid of any interest in preserving it, when “anti-racism” is in fact the “moral” world order in which our White people happen to live? Indeed, the simple fact of the matter is that “anti-racism” is nothing other than anti-*race*, namely *our* race, and thus instead of our rejoicing in our race and our racism as does every other creature in Nature’s realm, we have become bitter enemies of both and subverted our own existence accordingly. There can be no underestimating of the devolutionary chaos of thought and action which has gripped our kind in its world, especially today, yes, but really for thousands of years as well, for throughout our history we have engaged in attitudes and actions which have hurt our own kind in its struggle for life. Once we conjured up in our heads artificial values that no longer had anything to do with the natural world in which every other creature lives, we started on the road to our racial demise. We could have left that road on many an occasion but we had become so accustomed to it that no other road was *fathomable* to us anymore. Civilization itself

de-natured us; indeed, we thought it to be “progress” for us *not* to be natural beings at all anymore and thus our natural being suffered as a result: our race and the individual beings that compose it. Again, we can ask ourselves why we should have ever divorced ourselves from the values of the natural world in the first place. We can ask ourselves why the artificial values of today—values which have been foisted upon us by the society in which we live—should be better than the natural values of the world in any respect, values which give the individual a sense of race and place in his world without confusion and without chaos. The answer, of course, is that they *aren’t* better and that the artificial world which we live in today is a *trashy* world, not a world of high value. Its values are neither worthy of our allegiance, our tolerance, nor our subsidy. The entire order of things in which we live today is anti-Nature and therefore anti-life. We have to decide then whether we as a race are going to persist in our embrace of today’s artificial values to our eventual racial extinction or whether we are going to finally discard them and rejoin the rest of the creatures of this earth in the triumph of our particular racial life. We have to decide whether we will allow our values to serve *our racial existence* once again the same way as they do for all of the other creatures of the (natural) world or whether we are going to allow our race to continue to serve our “values” to its ultimate downfall.

It is important though that we show where our White people have gone wrong in their values in all of that detail—both in this chapter and in the rest of this book—and that we show exactly how the present society in which we live is a perversion of the natural society in which we ought to live in all of those respects in which that is in fact the case. That may well already be obvious from our mere setting forth of the values of the natural world in the pages above but nevertheless an analysis of how things are (and aren’t) in the present society of ours in which we live in their various particulars will drive the point home with even more, overwhelming clarity.

First of all, racial discrimination among men is always justified since it is embraced by every other form of life in this world; we as White people *always* have the right to treat different races different than we treat ourselves just as does every other race in the natural world. We are creatures of *Nature* just as they are and *Nature* provides every race with its moral values: the benefit of one's own kind, period. That is the only moral compass by which every race upon the face of this earth is guided, and it is silliness to think that the human races should be the only exceptions in that regard of all of the countless races that exist. Rather, racial preservation *requires* racial discrimination: favoritism towards one's own kind in its struggle for life. Thus, not only is it not "immoral" to racially discriminate but it is immoral *not* to racially discriminate. Every other race of creature upon the face of the earth discriminates racially and what is not immoral for *them* cannot be immoral for *us*. On the contrary, it is immoral for us *not* to discriminate in favor of our own kind and fulfill its best interests in every respect just as every other race of creature does upon the face of this planet. Just as every other race of creature seeks to benefit its own kind and its own kind alone, so too must we for otherwise that benefit will suffer. The drive to benefit one's own kind exclusively is endemic to the entire natural world and there is no indication that our White Race was ever meant, by a conscious force or not, to be exempt from the universality of that drive. Our racial benefit is in fact acquired only through the exclusive furtherance of our own best interests: i.e. discrimination between ourselves as a race and all of the other races that exist.

There is thus simply no such thing as immoral racial discrimination; rather, all racial discrimination is moral, justified, and natural for us as White people no differently than it is for the countless races of mammals, insects, amphibians, fish, plants, and all of the other forms of life that likewise exist upon this planet. There is our race, White people, and there is everything else. Our race is *ours* and everything else is *not* ours. Just as all of the other races of life upon this

planet discriminate in favor of their own respective kinds without objection, so should the races of men. Just as there is not a single “color-blind” race in Nature, our own White Race should not be “color-blind” either. Rather it should favor its own kind as do all the rest. Since all of the laws of the natural world are geared towards the preservation of those races that exist within its realm, only a *foolish* race would choose to defy those laws and thus cause its own demise. That is the situation, of course, that our own White Race faces today due to its failure to discriminate on its own behalf. There is simply no such thing as a race maintaining its own existence if it fails to discriminate in its own favor and instead aids, abets, and breeds with those races who are not of its own kind. Rather it will be killed, mongrelized, and otherwise extinguished from the face of the earth and in short order at that. *Nature* is the true moral and ethical compass in this world, not the artificial doctrines invented by men, because it is loyalty to *Nature* and her laws which enables a race to preserve itself, an outcome that must be deemed more important than anything else for the reasons we have stated: that a race has to first *live* before it bothers itself with the various facets of its *life*. Since the races of men can become extinct just as surely as all of the other races of life that exist should they fail to follow the rules by which that life is preserved, it behooves our own race then to follow those values of the natural world that would instead enable it to live on and on and not die. We as men are thus inherently a *part* of Nature just as much as anything else is, for our White Race can and will die just like all the others if it should fail to embrace those values of the natural world which in fact enable the respective races of life to preserve themselves. It is not some kind of coincidence or mistake, after all, that every race in the natural world is racist, discriminating in its own favor in all things and at all times as it goes about its business. That is because racism and discrimination are beneficial to racial preservation: by excluding other races from its world, the race *survives*. Every race in Nature does what is necessary to preserve itself;

so too must we as White people if we would at least value our own existence as a race the same way as all of the other races of the world do. Without the preservation of one's own race, whatever other values that one might assert become a bit beside the point. That is because Nature herself decrees that *racial* life is the highest value, not the life of the individual who must surely die.

It follows then that the entire “multiracial” State, society, and country that we as White people live in today are an abomination of the values of the natural world and hence of our racial existence. They have no validity whatsoever and must be replaced if we mean for our race to live. There is no State that commands our obedience if it fails to *favor* our own White Race with its policies and *disfavor* the non-white races with its policies. That is because every race naturally favors *itself*, whether with its “State” or with any other political order of things that exists. There is no society that we can deem worthwhile if it is composed of multiple races of men. That is because all societies are composed of only *one* race in Nature. There is no “anti-racist” country that is worth the sacrifice of our lives. That is because a country has value in proportion only to whether it enables our own *race* to survive and thrive as the race that it is. There is no respect or honor owed to the armed forces of a multiracial country. That is because Nature has decreed that the entire point of having fighting forces in the first place is to defend the *race* alone and its life, not a mongrel society, State, or country. In sum, everything that breaks down the barriers between the races—as multiracialism surely does—is reprehensible, disgusting, and sick. That is because Nature has decreed that all of the races of life of this world be totally separate from one another in their psyche and society in order that they may preserve themselves. Preservation of a race is *healthy* but the multiracial society that our White people are forced to live in today clearly does not preserve our own kind: our culture, our genes, and our lives. Thus it is *sick* and therefore *bad*. Only the homogeneous society, State, and country are worthy of our esteem, our respect, and our

allegiance. That is because it exists for *us* and the survival and advancement of our *kind* as decreed by the values of the natural world. *Nature* points the way and it is that *Nature* which should be obeyed, not the arbitrary and artificial dictates of men who act in total ignorance of the natural world that exists all around them thus causing the demise of their own kind. When it is an undeniable fact that the values of the natural world facilitate the preservation of those races which exist within its realm, it can only be deemed the height of stupidity that our own White Race should be forced to accept an order of things which would instead expel it from the face of the earth. For Nature has decreed that races preserve themselves by *excluding* those not of their own kind from their societies and from their ethical values, not including them for the sake of the merely arbitrary values that can be fabricated by men. We can be disgusted by interracial breeding because *Nature* is disgusted by it. We can be disgusted by interracial societies because *Nature* is disgusted by them. We can be disgusted by all “coming together of the races” because no such “coming together” of the races ever occurs in the natural world. We need not impress upon ourselves values which are hostile to the values of that world. Rather we can run with them in all of their love, all of their hate, and all of their splendor.

Thus when a White man refuses to hire an individual of different race, he is of course doing right, for when, in the entire history of the natural world, has a member of one race of creature employed for pay that of another? Thus when a White man refuses to rent a room in his house to an individual of different race, he is likewise of course doing right, for when, in the entire history of the natural world, has a member of one race allowed a member of a different race to live in his home? Such a thing is preposterous on its face, and yet the societies in which we as White people live would demand of us that we engage in such preposterous conduct all the time just because we are “human beings” and thus presumably somehow bereft of the sensibilities and indeed instincts

that guide all of the other creatures of this earth. Somehow or other those other creatures have the right to be exactly who and what they naturally are, living life as they please for their own kind, while our own White Race is compelled to be a *servant* race to the non-white human races of the world, shearing away its own natural self in every way just to appease an artificial social and political order of things that isn't worth anything in the first place. For once again, we can say that an order of things which does not even preserve our racial *existence* is unworthy of our esteem either, for it will have failed in the most basic task of every society that exists, whether that of man or beast: the preservation of one's kind. It does not take a rocket scientist, after all, to realize and recognize that our White Race is not preserved within a multiracial order of things. Rather its culture and its genetic stock are broken down altogether and destroyed as is apparent to all those who are still able to recognize their own kind as the innately unique, precious, and distinct race that it is, a race that is responsible for very nearly all of that which we call progress on this earth. No one has ever been able to explain how multiracialism is good for *White* people; that is because it *isn't* good for White people, quite simply, who become swamped by their racial inferiors and gradually cease to exist all because they had failed to subscribe to the values of the natural world in the first place, values which always aim at the preservation and betterment of one's own *kind* and for its own sake. How difficult is it to understand that inferior races can only bring the White Man *down* if he is foolish enough to let them inundate the civilization he has built? How difficult is it to understand that resistance to racial integration is not only a *right* but a *duty*? The duty of racial preservation with which every creature is naturally imbued?

When the German people set fire to non-white so-called "asylum shelters," for instance, they are only doing that which their nature *compels* that they do: resist the invasion of their territory by hostile, competitive, enemy races the same way as every race in the natural world



would do if it had the power. When the Ku Klux Klan proclaimed a desire to protect White womanhood from the sexual predations of the black race, it was only doing what comes naturally to a race that cares about itself: stop the destruction of its blood. When the White pioneer in the American West expressed the opinion that “the only good Indian is a dead Indian,” he was only opining what every other race upon the earth would express were it too locked in violent conflict with a rival, competitive race. When White people around the world today demand that the borders of their countries be closed, they are only expressing a sentiment that comes naturally to every race that exists: that its habitat be rendered safe for *itself*. The current, artificial society in which we live today would have us snuff out these inherent feelings of ours and destroy our very nature as men in the process. We do not, however, have to oblige. The inherent sensibilities that exist within us are as rightful as those existing within every other race of creature, and just as those other races have a natural aversion for rival races entering their habitats, so too do we. It has never been necessary for even a single White man to justify his aversion regarding the presence of the non-white races in his society, State, and country. Rather, the drive for the preservation of his own kind—a drive that every other natural creature possesses likewise—compels it. He beholds races that are alien to his own and which, due to their capacity for rivalry, can only harm that which he naturally is, that which he naturally creates, and deprive him of that which belongs to his own kind alone. Indeed, he beholds beings who will cause the genetic displacement of his own kind within the territory in question altogether. His culture suffers by virtue of the presence of these alien races, as do his genes by virtue of their contamination with theirs; the lives of his racial brethren are also outright killed by beings who never should have been allowed near his own kind in the first place. Thus his aversion regarding the intrusion of these alien races only comes naturally to him as part of his drive to preserve his own kind. He desires to fend off this attack upon that which

he holds dear: himself. There is nothing to be gained by his being mixed with these alien races, for mixture can only dilute, confound, and confuse that which he naturally is; indeed, it erases his true identity as the White man that he is and the biological existence of his kind altogether. He loses his freedom to be what he is, to live in accordance with his own nature and ultimately, to live itself.

Again, every value of the natural world exists for a clear, overwhelming, and necessary *reason*: it preserves the race in question, for if that were not the case, the values would be different. Thus to go against the values of the natural world within our race means to eliminate the very mechanism that is necessary for its continued preservation. What appear to be lofty thoughts to those who have not thought the matter through to its logical conclusion—such as “racial equality,” “racial tolerance,” and “racial diversity” for instance—only usher a race towards its own demise in contravention of those natural values which would have enabled it to live on instead. We forget about what matters to every other race of creature that exists: the preservation of its kind. From copulation to actual reproduction, from nourishment to the acquisition of shelter, and from self-defense to the abhorrence of any kind of interracial society whatsoever, the races of the natural world strive instinctively and unambiguously for one thing: the preservation of their respective *kinds*. *That* is the meaning of life for every creature that exists, and the race is successfully preserved by virtue of that fact. It is unnecessary then to search for a “spiritual” or “transcendent” meaning of life. Rather, the meaning of life is already present within the instincts of every living creature: the preservation (and advancement) of its kind! All means are justified for that purpose, so long as they actually accomplish that purpose, and the inherent sensibilities of a race (our instincts) are always right in that regard. It is our *instincts* that are right, not the artificial values with which we have been indoctrinated, for those instincts are an evolutionary tool that exists in

every creature for the preservation of its kind without which continued life is impossible. Every instinct that we possess is a *survival mechanism* and it would be folly, therefore, for us to disregard them lightly if we are ever to disregard them at all.

Thus, when the current mongrel society of ours tells us, “don’t be racist,” it is telling us to defy the inherent nature of every living thing that exists. When it tells us to “integrate” with the other races, it is telling us to defy our natural instincts, instincts which demand the society of our own kind alone just as they do with every other natural creature. When it prides itself in its racial “diversity,” it fails to realize that such diversity is an absolute perversion of the natural order of things and that its pride is misplaced accordingly. When it chastises, or even persecutes, our White people for being racially discriminatory, it is attacking us for merely fulfilling our inherent nature as creatures of this earth. We are attacked in the very root of our existence and denied the peace of mind that comes from being true to who and what we naturally are. It is *normal* for us not to want blacks, browns, yellows, and other races around us or part of our society. It is *normal* for us to discriminate racially the same way as every other race does in the natural world without exception. Conversely, the artificial values that are impressed upon us today are profoundly *abnormal* for the very reason that they are so contrary to the values of the natural world that exists all around us. We have inflicted a needless trauma upon ourselves by denying ourselves the right to live in accordance with the values of that natural world.

To be sure, our natural racist sentiments regarding the other races of men have been wrongly maligned and withheld from us, which has caused us great harm in its own right. However, we must also consider what damage has also been inflicted upon our relations with *one another* as the White people that we are by virtue of our failure to heed the values of the natural world, values which instill a racially unifying psyche within the creatures of her realm. For instead

of being *united* for the survival and thrival of our (White) race throughout our history as the other creatures of the world have been for their own respective races, we have found ourselves divided by countless artificial ideologies, attitudes, and causes that have torn us apart. By not realizing that *racial survival and thrival* is the very meaning and purpose of our lives, we have erected in its stead divisions of the *mind* within our people that are nowhere to be found in Nature, divisions which have continued to this day and which sap our racial life. Where in Nature, we can ask ourselves, are there ideologies, attitudes, and causes which divide a race against itself such as “liberalism,” “conservatism,” “communism,” “feminism,” “nationalism,” “theism,” “capitalism,” “individualism,” “globalism,” “gay rights,” “transgender rights,” and every other *non-racial* mindset and crusade under the sun? The answer is that in Nature such things do not exist! Rather they are a (sad) invention of *men*, men who took their eyes off of the natural world that exists all around them and the values of that world which revolve around the *race* and *only* the race. Just because White men can think, and do so at a much higher level than the other races which exist upon this planet, does not mean that they should divide one another on the basis of their thoughts. Rather the values of the natural world—including solidarity for the race as the one and only “cause” of its members—should have remained our values too. There is, after all, no such thing as division within a race on ideological grounds in Nature. Rather, every race stands united for the survival and thrival of its own kind. That is its “cause.” That is its mission. That is its direction. Only *interracial* division exists in the natural world: the separation of each race from one another in its struggle for life. Within the race there is *unity*: for itself.

We can therefore lament all of the ideological schisms and other conflicts which have occurred and existed within our kind throughout its history. We can lament that White people were ever divided into enemy camps on the basis of their *thoughts*, the mere thoughts that

transpired between their ears. We can lament that White people were ever divided up between “the proletariat and the bourgeois” under the artificial (Jewish) ideology of communism, for instance. We can lament that a wedge was driven between our men and women by the equally artificial ideology of “feminism,” a belief system that is likewise nowhere to be found in the natural world. We can lament that there are White people who care more about the environment (“environmentalism”) than their own race which lives in that environment. We can lament that there are White people who put more faith in the (alleged) world of gods in the sky than in the natural world which exists all around them, and who would believe that their natural racism as the race that they *are* should be overruled thereby. We can lament that there are White people who would devote themselves to the proposition of “gay and transgender rights” when there is no such thing in the natural world as “gays” and “transgenders” in the first place—except for a miniscule number of freaks, perhaps—and when their existence among men is merely a sign of Man’s growing degeneracy. We can lament that White people would divide themselves between “liberalism” and “conservatism” when the only “ism” in the natural world is the *racism* with which every race is instinctively imbued. We can lament that there are White people who deem themselves beholden to “tradition” regardless of whether the particular tradition in question happens to be good or bad for their own kind. We can lament that there are White people who care more about what is happening on the opposite side of the globe with the non-white races than with the situation facing their own kind in their own communities. Rather the only consideration for our people should have been that *kind* and the implementation of those actions which would enable it to preserve and advance itself as the race that it is in this world. That is a principle of *unity*, not division; of *solidarity*, not diffuseness. We could have had the peace, harmony, and

unity that comes from having the *same* meaning and purpose in all of our lives: the furtherance of our kind.

The races of the natural world simply live in *accordance* with the values of that world, and there is no reason why we as men should contrive and interject values that are alien to, or which contradict, the values of that world. Racial furtherance is the overarching value, the *raison d'être*, for all of the values that exist within that world; there is no reason why things should be any different with us. The only “ideology” that exists in the natural world is the “ideology” of racial survival and thrival. The races of the natural world do not argue with one another about how many angels can dance upon the head of a pin. They do not suppose that economics is (somehow) the key to history. They do not assert that their females have a supposed “right to choose” whether to carry their offspring. They do not base their theory of government upon the supposed “freedoms of the individual.” They do not love their country at the expense of their own *kind* which occupies it. They do not launch stupid foreign wars for “democracy” or for the supposed “rights” of races that are different from them. They do not adhere to the sentiment that aberrant conduct is entitled to “equal dignity” with that which is normal and creative of the next generation of their kind. They do not determine right or wrong by majority vote; indeed, what is right comes *naturally* to them and what is wrong is automatically despised by *all*. There is no conflict within them as to what the proper policy is to pursue or what the proper attitude is to assume. Rather *their life is their kind and their kind is their life!* They fulfill their nature as the organic, living beings that they *are* and that nature is always *right*. Life is simple for them because it *is*.

We can recall with horror then all of the countless wars and struggles that have been fought within our White Race over religion, over national differences, over political differences, over treasure, over personal ego, and so forth and ask ourselves: would these wars have still occurred

had we been true to the values of the natural world? We already know the sad answer to that, for in Nature such things do not occur within any race. Rather the enemies of a race are the *other* races, not its own racial brethren! Thus we can lament the vast sufferings and devastations that our race has inflicted upon itself from time immemorial, for whatever urge for slaughter that we may have felt within our breasts should have been directed at those *not* of our own kind, if at all. Had we done that all along, had we waged war for centuries only against the *non-white* races whenever we waged it, and with united racial purpose at that, the colored races of the world would have been rendered extinct a long time ago, the White Man would possess the whole earth himself for the prosperity and glory of his own kind, and the sick travesty of our impending demise as a race that we are facing today would have never occurred. Those who would disparage the very idea of “race war” should at least be called to answer whether the countless wars that White people have waged against *themselves* are any better, and what it is about race war that is so anathema to their conscience while the wars that have been waged within their own kind are worthy of their exuberant praise. Let them answer why it is better for our White people to clash with *themselves* instead of with the other races, unlike what goes on with every other race of creature upon the face of the earth. If we live in accordance with the values of the natural world on the other hand, no such backwards, fratricidal, and indeed suicidal thinking is possible. Instead we recognize that it is against the *other* races of men that we should have waged war and that there is no good war that *isn't* interracial in nature. We furthermore recognize that no State may ever legitimately call us to arms against the members of our own kind. Gone are the usual justifications for war and the ability of the State and its spokesmen to inveigle us into war with members of our own kind over artificial issues having nothing to do with our racial survival and thrival. Gone are the wars that can only hurt our own racial future and strengthen the foes of that future. Instead, whatever war-making

power of the State rests upon whether the war in question is to be waged against our *non-white* racial enemies. Adherence to the values of the natural world can decree no other attitude and no other course. If we are to wage war, it is the *non-whites* who must be the fallen. If we are to wage war, it is *the White Race* that must be expanded and advanced thereby and no other “cause.”

Thus our people wonder why there is so much civil strife in their society without realizing that they have been deprived of the only thing that could have united them instead: a homogeneous society devoted to the furtherance of their own kind as the very meaning and purpose of its existence. *That* is what unites a people, not an amorphous belief in “freedom,” including the very “freedom” that would divide a race against itself. How true it is then that we have cheated ourselves out of the happiness that was meant to be ours, the happiness that comes when all of our brethren believe in the *same* cause: the cause of their racial furtherance, with the individual merely serving as a means to that end! For only when we place the best interests of our whole race before that of our own individual selves can we ever have a society that is without the strife that is bound to come with the clash of the individual best interests that compose it. Only when we have subordinated the individual to the race of which he is a part can we end the strife that results from each individual’s pursuance of his own ego. After all, while we only have one race, we have countless individuals who compose it. It follows then that total racial feeling in each and every individual *unites* a people while the belief in the individual and his “freedom” must necessarily break it apart. There can only be unity in a people when they are united in the one attribute that they all share independent of any thoughts that may go through their heads: the commonality of their blood and the furtherance of its culture, genes, and lives in this world. The current society would put all of its focus on the “freedom of the individual” and yet wonder why those individuals are so divided from one another. Well *of course* they are divided from one another when they have



failed to be united for their *kind*. Their *kind* is the only point of unity that can exist, for that is the only thing they have in common independent of whatever opinions on things they may otherwise hold. People are far more likely to have their particular *race* in common than their particular *opinions* in common, after all. Hence the potential for civil strife is much greater in a society where all racial feeling in the people has been quashed and the freedoms of the individual been substituted in its stead as its ethos. There is no such thing as a unified and a diversified, individualistic people at the same time. The very racist sentiments that would be so thoughtlessly condemned today in our kind are thus actually a bond that helps to hold a race together through the trials and tribulations of its past, present, and future. Antipathy towards the rival races with which we are confronted comes as naturally as water falling off of a cliff. It also serves to band that race together like “individualism” never can.

The matter is extraordinarily simple because it is. The troubles that exist in today’s world are artificial and hence unnecessary. There should not be “White police officer shootings of unarmed black men” because neither race should be within each other’s society. There should be no mixed-race children born among men because no mixed-race creatures are born in Nature. There should be no “balancing of competing interests” in multiracial societies because there *are* no multiracial societies in Nature. There should be no more talk about the so-called “inalienable rights” of men because such a concept is devoid of meaning in Nature. Females do not intentionally kill their unborn progeny in Nature and so they shouldn’t kill their unborn progeny within our own White Race. There are no governments composed of members of different races in Nature and so such things should not exist with us. There is no “bringing together of the races” in Nature and so such a thing should not happen with us. There are no religions, ideologies, philosophies, or politics in Nature which unite the races and so such things should not exist with

us either. *Nature's Eternal Religion*, rather, is the instinctive sentiment which binds every race to its own kind, its own best interests, and its own future. No religion, ideology, philosophy, or politics which gazes up at the clouds and which would “reinvent” Man into a raceless creature has ever been necessary, desirable, or warranted. Rather the natural world, in all its beauty, in all its life, and in all its death, is the basis for the only religion, ideology, philosophy, or politics that we ever needed in our pursuit of what is true, what is right, and what is holy on this earth. With every issue, *Nature* thus points the way, and nothing else is needed but a value system which fulfills the values that every other race of creature lives by inherently and which benefits and advances our racial, not individual, existence throughout the eons of time. Of course human beings have superior intelligence to that of the other creatures that exist in this world. However, that is no cause for our jettisoning the inherent values which enable us to survive and thrive. No one has ever been able to show that the artificial values conjured up in the heads of men are better than the *racial* values that guide the lives of the rest of the (non-domesticated) creatures that likewise inhabit this planet. This is because they *aren't*, of course, by virtue of the only measure that can matter to a race in the long run: survival. Indeed, if values are not conducive to racial survival, they are *bad* values; if they *are* conducive to racial survival, they are *good* values, quite simply. That is because only the race may live on while the individual must perish.

We can, then, only marvel at the idiocy of the present society that exists all around us. People subscribe to artificial belief systems at their whim and allow those belief systems to guide their entire lives. People put de-natured substances into their bodies and wonder why they are in ill-health. People condemn as “hate” the very instincts, emotions, and attitudes that every race in the natural world takes for granted as the very epitome of a normal life. People condemn within themselves their own inherent nature and then seek to displace that nature existing within others.

Left without a yardstick that is true to the measure of the world as it naturally is, they are left floundering in discontent, stupidity, and ignorance as to its cause.

Governments express concern about the state of race relations between their citizens without ever stopping to consider the fact that there *are* no such things as “interracial societies” in Nature, and hence that the true problem is not “race relations” per se but the fact that the races have been brought together under the same socio-political order in the first place. *Of course* the races of men are discomforted by each other’s presence within the same society—whether they will admit that to themselves or not—when their association with each other goes so strongly against their natural instincts in the first place. *Of course* the races of men will be inclined to treat each other differently than they treat themselves since it is the natural instinct of all living creatures to do so. *Of course* there will be problems in trying to reconcile the best interests of the various races when those best interests are all different from one another (what we *have*, the other races *want*)! *Of course* there is societal chaos and anarchy when people fail to look to the only inherent, stable, and everlasting guide and authority for living in this world that there is: Nature. Even more important though is the fact that any and all social and political orders created by men which are *not* based upon the values of the natural world lack any legitimacy or right to our allegiance or obedience in the first place! Nature, after all, does not care about majority votes. Nature does not care about the edicts of supposed religious leaders, those religions *not* being grounded in the values of the natural world. Nature does not care about the strokes of pens applied in would-be defiance of her laws. Rather, Nature only cares about that which corresponds to her values and that which does not. The distinction is clear and meaningful for those who would seek to live a life that possesses purpose and meaning, for eternity. The distinction is meaningful for those who would long to live under a social-political order that corresponds to the instincts and inborn desires that

are at the root of their very soul. For those who become conscious of the values of the natural world, and who believe that those values should be obeyed by the races of men no differently than they are obeyed by all of the rest of the races of life on this earth, the mask of legitimacy is thus pulled off of all governments and other institutions which would lead our kind to its premature doom. Since it is our resolve that our *race* must be preserved, and at any and all costs to the individual at that, their threats of punishment or offers of enrichment in the effort to keep us beholden to their unnatural, indeed anti-natural social-political order of things do not faze us. After all, we as individuals have only a limited life span anyway while our race can go on forever; nothing they can do to us individually then can equal the value which we can bestow upon our race which can live on for thousands and even millions of years after we ourselves are gone. That indeed is the imperative of the values of the natural world: that the *race* live on, not the individual, and thus it is that which enables *that* to happen which is just, right, and sanctified. While the values of the natural world are many, it is undeniable that preservation of the *race* is at the root of all of them. All of the other values thus revolve around that overarching value and serve it. The values of the natural world serve the preservation of each and every race within that world even when the particular value in question does not seem “racial” on its face.

When a race in Nature culls its genetically defective members, for instance, it prevents its kind from absorbing those genetic traits which would weaken it in its struggle for life. Not only is the race thus better preserved thereby, but the absence of proliferating genetic defects within it enables the race to continually improve itself as well. Life is *worse* for the race that fails to do so for so-called “humanitarian” reasons, like the White Race. So-called “charity” itself—a sentiment and activity that is entirely absent in Nature—does not help a race preserve and advance itself; rather it only results in a greater and greater burden being imposed upon the productive population,

thus weakening the race in the process in its struggle for life. It is simply unheard of in Nature for the weak or substandard to be coddled in any way. Indeed, the weak and substandard are inherently *despised*. The result of that sentiment, of course, is that the weak and substandard are quickly removed from the gene pool and such suffering is kept to an absolute minimum. With our White Race on the other hand, the weak and substandard *become* our gene pool, and suffering is *maximized*, as a result of our foolish “humanitarian” coddling. Our desire to help the weak and substandard thus hurts the race itself. Alleviation of the distress of individuals in their mere transitory lives makes the race progressively worse and worse in its infinite existence.

What does this mean for our present “humanitarian” society which actually has the audacity to claim that the weak and the inferior “deserve” the sustenance of the rest of us, that they have the “right” to propagate themselves, and that a god in the sky will “bless” us if only we shower them with our charity? It means that the society is no damn good! What does it mean that we possess a society where every freak is tolerated, every abuse of our race is praised, and every threat to our race is propagated? It means that the present society must be dismantled and replaced if we mean for our race to live.

Let us say clearly then where the current order of things is in error. It is in error when it denies our White Race a thoroughly racial existence. It is in error when it forces our kind to live in the same society, and same government, as those not of our own kind. It is in error when it attacks our right or, better said, our *inclination* to favor our own kind and to disfavor those who are *not* of our own kind. It is wrong to include any other race within our society and government. It is wrong in its exaltation of individuality over raciality. It is wrong when it exalts a loyalty to *space* over that of loyalty to *race*. It is wrong when it salutes military service to and sacrifice for a “country” while having absolutely no regard for the concept of fighting for our *race*. It is wrong

when it enacts laws that go against our natural instincts in every way, when it forces us to, in effect, *betray* our natural selves in favor of its artificial social-political order. It is wrong when it substitutes merit for “need.” It is wrong when it taxes our own race to feed, clothe, house, and educate races that are *not* our own. It is wrong when it claims that ideals matter more than *blood*; it is wrong when it denies the genetic basis that underlies the quality of all existence. It is wrong when it caters to the racially destructive abnormalities within our race, such as homosexuality, “transgenderism,” and other perversions, instead of attacking and stamping out those perversions. It is wrong when it makes the superior apologetic for their own greatness. It is wrong when it breaks down the natural and distinct roles of the sexes in favor of an amorphous haze of individual whim. It is wrong in its regard for the individual at the total expense of the race and all those values which would preserve and advance it in this world.

It is wrong when it presents a child as a “choice.” It is wrong when it presents men and women as being the same as one another except for their different genitalia. It is wrong when it attempts to feminize men and *de-feminize* women, pushing our men to be more like women and our women to be more like men. It is wrong when it *de-sexualizes the sexes*, and when it presents the sex drive itself in a perverted fashion throughout its media, a fashion that is geared entirely to the fulfillment of individual pleasure instead of for the basic sustenance of our racial life and the celebration of that life. It is wrong when it divorces sex from the duty of *racial preservation*, presenting it instead as a matter of transitory individual choice no more important than say the purchase of a light bulb or the viewing of a TV soap opera. It is wrong when it compels our women to work outside the home against their natural inclination, all so that our people can more successfully “compete” with the non-white coolie labor of the world that we never should have been forced to compete with in the first place. It is wrong when it persuades, pressures, and

prescribes our women to forgo the best years of their procreation and mothering of our kind only so that they may be made to think and act as *men* do, all in deference to a phony ideal of “equality” which actually *degrades* women instead of uplifting them and which can only destroy race and sex alike. It is wrong when it crushes the natural feelings that we have as the respective sexes that we *are*, choosing to punish those feelings with social opprobrium and economic hardship. It is wrong when it gives our men *a bad conscience* for openly desiring women as *breeding partners* and it is wrong when it gives our women *a bad conscience* for *not* wanting a “career” outside the home. It is wrong when it sets our men and women against one another out of misplaced expectations from the respective sexes, denying them their true nature so that men and women can be rendered “the same.” It is wrong when it fails to recognize that man’s duty is to be a good *husband* and woman’s duty is to be a good *mother*, all in the service of a better and better race for tomorrow.

It is likewise wrong, of course, when it asserts that there is no difference between the human races besides the color of their skin. It is wrong when it says that race is a “cultural construct” when the fact of the matter is that culture is a *racial* construct. It is wrong when it would demand a racially diverse society at the expense of the diversity of *life*. It is wrong when it fails to instill and embrace within our people the racial psyche that is natural to every living creature. It is wrong when it assumes that the best interests of each race of men can ever be fulfilled in common. It is wrong when it creates, maintains, and extols States whose basis is *not* the exclusive welfare of our own kind. It is wrong when it views the State as the protector of the rights of (raceless) individuals; it is wrong when it fails to appreciate that the purpose of the State is to protect the *race*.

It is wrong when it attacks those emotions which are conducive to racial preservation, such as hatred and intolerance. It is wrong when it seeks to instill a bad conscience in our people when they harbor such emotions; it is wrong when it would seek to punish them by law or otherwise for

having those emotions. It is wrong when it would foist religions upon the psyche of our people which would give them, likewise, a bad conscience for being true to the values of the natural world; it is wrong for pretending that there is some other world someplace which could be more important than the present, natural world in which we live. It is wrong in assuming that human choice is more important than natural living. It is wrong in its promotion of human freedom over our continued racial life. It is wrong in its belief that multiracial “voting” should be allowed to determine our racial destiny. It is wrong to deem a “constitution” more important than a *kind*, our kind. It is wrong when it presumes the existence someplace of a law that is higher than the Eternal Laws of Nature, and those values that guide all of the life of its world.

We can say all of this because Nature already says it. It is *Nature* that matters, not artificial values, societies, States, and laws that men, due to their greater capacity for imagination, are able to conjure up in their heads out of thin air. The artificial, destructive world that men have created for themselves is not the only world out there, thus binding them to it accordingly. Rather another, better world still exists: the world in which all of the other creatures live true to their instincts as the natural, racial creatures that they are.

Thus when we feel ourselves uncomfortable at the sight of a group of blacks coming towards us on a street, for example, that is not because somebody “taught” us to think negatively about the black race; rather that is a reflection of our natural instinct that activates itself when we are confronted with a group of individuals, a pack, of another, rival race. When we find ourselves uncomfortable in the presence of homosexuals, that is because homosexuality likewise offends our *natural* instincts so thoroughly. In Nature, rival races are *enemies*, not fellow citizens, and homosexuality is practically unknown. So, *of course* we are “prejudiced” against the presence of rival races and homosexuals; that is because our *instincts* inherently view them with disfavor. The



question then becomes: why should we be compelled to forfeit the natural instincts (feelings) that we were all born with in favor of conformance with a social-political order of things that is hostile, for whatever reason, to those natural instincts? Why should Man alone see it as his duty to go against the values that are held by all of the other races upon the face of the earth? Why should we, in other words, throw the values of the natural world overboard just because we are men?

Our doing so only results in our being discordant, discontented, and emotionally oppressed human beings and, what's more, we fail to preserve the presence of our race upon this earth. Whatever value there is in social conformity to an artificial order of things pales in comparison to the damage that we do to ourselves by virtue of the oppression of our true nature and the consequences of that oppression. A full panoply of feelings is intrinsic to the human soul; the subjugation of those feelings that are race-preservative can only result in the eventual subjugation and destruction of the race itself. A race that is confused, diffused, and abused cannot live for long; we must recall that the tenure of the White Race upon the face of this earth has just begun when we look at it in the vast scheme of things. We thus cannot afford to allow the present course of things to continue unchecked if we do not wish for the life of our people to be strangled in its cradle. We owe it to our ancestors and descendants instead that we be true to those values which will *continue* the line of the former and *enable* the line of the latter, and that means the suppression of *none* of those feelings and sentiments which come naturally to us coupled with an understanding that the world was never *meant* to be a "safe space" for contented, coddled social weaklings but rather an arena for the victory of the vigorous and the strong. We don't *have* to tread lightly for fear of giving offense to those artificial values which have been impressed upon us, nor those who are benefitted by such values. Rather we can look to Nature as our guide and respond to the events of the world as our instincts say we should.

Hatred and intolerance, for example, come naturally to all higher organisms. Indeed, the more intelligent the race, the more reason it has to *hate* that which threatens and damages that which it has created and toiled for in its world; after all, it has the intellectual ability to understand that the damage to its creative accomplishments need not have occurred and is thus no mere accident. When a man stomps upon an anthill, the ants underneath his boot have no clue at all as to what just happened; on the other hand, when the White Man beholds the degeneration and downfall of his culture, civilization, and blood itself, he has the ability to grasp who or what is to blame. Thus *of course* hatred and intolerance will naturally arise within his breast in reaction to the demise with which he is threatened. Hatred and intolerance are thus *survival* mechanisms—whether for the race or for the individuals that compose it—and we can therefore recognize how silly and destructive it truly is to allow those survival mechanisms to be driven out of our people with a whip. What folly it is to scorn those feelings and emotions which enable a race and its members to survive! When a foolish person cries out that we “shouldn’t hate,” what he is really saying then is that we shouldn’t *live*.

The whole idea then that we shouldn’t have animosity towards anything or anyone in a world filled with competing interests and struggles to the death is a lunacy that a race can ill-afford if it means to live. Regarding our own White Race—a race that we happen to be a part of for better or worse—the problem then is that we have been suckered out of and left bereft of those feelings, emotions, and actions that would enable us to in fact preserve and advance our own kind. We have conformed to a way of things that is hostile to our very continued existence upon the face of this planet, as well as to our very nature as the individuals that we are. The societies in which our White people live do not preserve nor advance their cultural, genetic, or biological existence and are disloyal, absolutely, to the values of the natural world that we have set forth. Those values,

values that are incessantly race preserving and race advancing, have been denied to our own kind. We behold a society where the racially pure is chastised and the racially mixed is praised. We behold a society where “country” matters more than kind. We behold a society that favors the weak and the inferior at the expense of the strong and the superior. We behold a society that deems itself exempt, somehow, from the values and laws that guide all of the rest of the life of this world. We allowed a virile, dynamic race that was once in tune with and embracing of the values of the natural world to become weak, confused, neurotic, and at odds with the values of that world. It remains to be seen what additional forces and influences are responsible for that having occurred, and what can be done to reverse matters for our kind before it is too late.

### Chapter Three

(Said to be on the topic of Christianity but has thus far been censored from release  
by the United States Federal Bureau of Prisons)

## Chapter Four

### Sex and the Sexes

The striking fact which cries out to us when we truly observe the natural world in all of its wonder, diversity, and timelessness is that literally every single action performed by every single one of its countless trillions of creatures can be characterized and summed up by just two words: racial furtherance. All of the races of this world—of every conceivable creature—act in such a way that furthers the race of which it is a part in a genetic, biological sense. No matter how individualistic or selfish the act may seem to be, the act furthers the race in question in its struggle for continued life. Whether it is the struggle to assuage hunger, or the struggle to secure shelter, or the struggle to obtain a mate, or anything and everything else for that matter, all of these things take place not really so much to preserve the life of the individual who must die sooner or later anyway but rather to preserve the life of the race itself upon this earth. The individual is thus but a link in the chain of racial existence; he exists so that the race may *continue* to exist. He himself comes and goes but the race remains; his actions, struggles, and very being enable an eternity which he will never see and yet are imperative for that eternity to come to pass. Everything he does furthers that eternity even if he does not know it; never does he betray that eternity through his actions in even the slightest way. Rather the race lives on and improves itself because of his temporary existence while he himself succumbs sooner or later to the vicissitudes of life. Though his own life is *finite*, the life of his race is *infinite* thanks to him.

Racial furtherance then is the meaning of life for every creature of Nature's realm as it is born, matures, propagates itself, and dies. The individual creature comes and goes but that is not

the important thing; what is important rather, as far as Nature is concerned, is that while he is alive the race itself is furthered by his actions. Tragedy befalls every living creature, sooner or later, and yet the race itself goes on and on as it continues its flow; the individual is replaced with others but the race is, for lack of a better term, immune to the downfall of its various component parts. Like individual drops of water in a stream, the individual ceases to be, and yet the stream itself continues on, replenished by its kind.

The application of this meaning of life to our own race, the White Race, in all of the facets of its existence, is of such import as to be nearly indescribable in the normal course of words. It means not only the repudiation of nearly every attribute of today's society but the flowering of understanding of what it takes and what it means to live a fulfilling life, an understanding which can only be dimly conceived in a human world that has, on the contrary, gone so horribly wrong. The idols (values) of the present society find themselves bashed to bits by that understanding and yet our hearts are filled with joy all the same by their demise. We understand, after all, that they were not really worth much in the first place. More fundamentally though, our existence becomes *intelligible* for us once again; every question receives its answer and every path recognizes its goal. We as members of a race, the White Race, understand finally and firmly what our meaning and our purpose is as we proceed to fulfill that meaning and purpose within the sphere of our individual lives as that sphere unfolds itself before us. Never again are we lost in uncertainty as to how we should live; never again are we conflicted within our own psyche and within our own being.

It is, on the other hand, the rotten falseness of the values of today's world which is responsible for the continuation of every vice, every ill, and every failing with which we are today confronted. Bad men come into being through the imposition of bad values; it follows that

the eradication of bad values will, in time, result in the diminution of the numbers of bad men in this world and everything else that is bad for that matter. The world *can* be reclaimed for that which is great: in mind, body, and spirit. We need not allow the constant degeneration of our world. That degeneration has been going on long enough.

I have, in contrast, said that every action in the natural world *further*s the race of the creature in question. That was no exaggeration. Every action which occurs there is essentially a struggle, every struggle has as its consequence the survival of the fittest beings, and the survival of the fittest beings results in the furtherance of the race in its continued existence. It is not the individual who benefits from all of this; indeed, countless individuals perish by way of the operation of these facts. Rather, it is the *race* which is preserved and improved thereby as it continues its sojourn upon this earth. Fundamentally then, actions which would cause a race to *lose* its sojourn upon this earth—utterly absent from the natural world though they may be—would have to be deemed the most perverse and abominable of all actions were they actually to take place, and yet, as we know, such actions *do* take place all the time with our *White* Race today since that race has removed itself from the natural world altogether. Those actions of ours which normally *are* furtherative of a race for that matter are mutilated and transformed into something very different when it comes to us and our own lives. In other words, even though our actions as White people may sometimes indeed be consistent with the values of the natural world in various aspects, those actions are too often warped and perverted into unnatural and hence destructive channels due to the artificial values which have taken hold of our minds. A race which would further itself destroys itself instead.

The contrast between what goes on in the natural world and what goes on today in *our* world could thus not be more clear. While the race is preserved and improved in the natural world,

it is worsened and destroyed in ours. While the individual creatures exist for their *race* in the natural world, the race is expected to exist *for the individual creatures* when it comes to ours. The psyche of our people is so divorced from the principle of racial furtherance today that countless individuals live their entire lives in total ignorance of why they exist in the first place or how they should live. All becomes clear, however, when we as White people embrace the meaning of life of the natural world and return our kind to that world both within our thoughts and within our deeds. The confusion and racial destruction comes to an end and our people become united in common purpose, meaning, and life. With racial furtherance reinstalled as the very meaning of our lives and as the very meaning of life itself, we rejoin the rest of the creatures of the world in the fulfillment of a noble mission—the furtherance of Nature’s plan—and the distinction between the natural world and the artificial world of men thus comes to an end. Every action of our people, of every individual, furthers the race of which he or she is a part. The race goes forward as a united entity, devoted to its will.

Nowhere is the principle of racial furtherance more important than in the realm of breeding or, as people put it less eloquently today, “sex”: *the purpose of “sex” is the breeding of a (better) race and nothing else*. The pleasure involved in sex is merely a *means* to an end, not the end in itself. So is romantic love for that matter; romantic love is simply the means by which Nature provides an advanced species with its breeding partners, breeding partners who are more compatible to us on a genetic and biological basis than would be the case were their selection to occur more randomly. Romantic love is most adamantly then *not* the gift of some god but rather Nature’s narrowing of the potential gene pool in an effort to secure more genetically harmonious progeny. Besides, romantic love is not confined to human beings but rather exists among *many* of the creatures of Nature’s realm; human beings are fooling themselves if they think that they are



the only creatures in this world who pine for their chosen mates and discriminate between them and all of the other potential mates that exist. While the races of men are more evolved than the other races of life on this planet and the love they feel is consequently more evolved as well, other creatures feel love for their mates likewise even if that love is at a lower pitch and they lack the means of communicating it as expressively as we do. In any event, what is amazing is that so few people have any understanding or regard for these basic principles at all. They think that sex exists for its own sake, that there should be copulation without even the *chance* of conception, that a child is an “accident,” and that “all sex is created equal” which is ridiculous. They think that it is wrong for men to “objectify” women (see women as a means to an end)—and for women to see men likewise as a means to an end—when the simple fact of the matter is that *both* sexes are indeed a means to an end for the other and *should* be exactly that: a means to produce *offspring*, offspring rendered comparatively better in quality and rearing through the joining together of the two particular individuals in question, individuals who are deemed *worthy* of copulation. Sex is thus for *breeding*, something which is of supreme importance in the life of a race. Nothing, in fact, could be more important. Sex is thus not some sort of happenstance or game but rather Nature’s way of both preserving and improving the *race*. Any form of sex which does *not* entail that task is a perversion, a waste of energy, and a waste of time. Racial furtherance is the only natural function of sex and *should* be the only function of sex. Period.

Everything follows from this basic principle. The purpose of marriage, for instance, is to *marry* two compatible individuals genetically and biologically for the production of the next generation of the race. That union of two persons should therefore occur at the height of their sexual interest and vigor—in their teens, that is—regardless of any considerations of “career,” mental maturity, or any other non-genetic, non-biological basis. These factors are *irrelevant* in a

natural scheme of things; rather, it is our *bodies* which tell us when copulation should take place and nothing else. Education, in fact, should revolve *around* the need and expectation that each member of our race will be matched with his or her ideal partner since breeding is, once again, the most important endeavor for the members of our kind of all. Our people must, in other words, be educated for *breeding* more than anything else. By marrying at a young age—with each marriage supported by not only the families involved but by the community, society, and State—all sexual desire will be focused upon one's chosen mate alone instead of being dispersed in all directions for years and even decades as occurs so wastefully today. The result will be a more healthy, harmonious, and dynamic race than the infirm mess which has become of us today, whereby our women are giving birth to children in their forties—and our men are siring children in their sixties—with all of the genetic defects and general lack of vigor accruing to the offspring which that entails. Since the marriages will occur for reasons of *breeding* instead of mere romantic love standing alone, those marriages will actually endure unlike the “you can always return it for a refund” mentality which exists within our people at the present time; by inculcating our young people with the understanding that racial furtherance is the point of all sexual selection and sexual expression, their marriages will not break down if and when the more fleeting sentiment of “love” has come to an end. *Children*, rather, will be the point of why each marriage was entered into in the first place; *this* individual was chosen as one's mate because it is the particular genes and character of this person which were wanted in my *children*. On the other hand, once the children have been born and the woman is no longer of childbearing age, the gradual dissipation of sexual desire will be deemed a *blessing* by the husband and wife when that dissipation indeed occurs, for after all, when the end has been reached already—children—the means to that end are no longer necessary. Thus the practice of our people popping pills in order to recover lost sexual ability in

their old age is merely a sign of the idiocy of our times. Our people must be taught from a young age that their paramount duty in life is to bestow upon their race superior *offspring*, that they will continue the flow of their kind and make it *better*. That, of course, is more important than any intellectual or financial pursuit.

Fundamentally, the reason why marriage was established as an institution in the first place was to regulate the expression of the sexual instinct in such a way as to be advantageous to the *race*. Thus marriage came into being when human society was more obedient to the values of the natural world and more cognizant of why sex exists in the first place: the furtherance of that race. A man wanted to know who his children were and a woman wanted to know that her children and herself had the individual support and protection of their father and her husband, that he was not expending that support and protection elsewhere in other words. Mere “love,” standing alone, was *never* the point of marriage until recently, and the sad farce which “marriage” has become today—caused in part by the silly fixation by our people upon mere romantic love standing alone—is all too obvious as it stands without much further elaboration on my part. How anybody can expect a marriage to endure when it has been divorced from the very reason for its being—*the furtherance of the race*—is truly a marvel indeed, nor is all sexual love of equal value of course and only a fool would say otherwise.

Nature as always is our guide; it is our attempt to *thwart* Nature which is the source of all of our problems. “Sexual liberation” is sexual *idiocy*. The invention of prophylactics and birth control pills has been a *scourge* to our race, not a blessing, for women (and men for that matter) should *not* be copulating without the possibility of ensuing pregnancy, or at least as a means of maintaining the strength of the marital bond once the woman’s childbearing years are over. The artificial prevention of pregnancy frustrates the purpose for why sexual desire exists in the first

place: the multiplication of our kind. Marriage should be a lifetime commitment, not for reasons of romantic love but for reasons of *breeding* and the raising and cultivation of those *offspring*; marriage thus exists for reasons of the *race*, not the individual and his various whims. Two people join together to create more racial beings, and to see to it that those racial beings are preserved and cultivated to create more racial beings in their turn. *That is the purpose of marriage* and sex itself has no function outside of that marriage. That is why sex outside of marriage was scorned by so many people for so long; it was an attack upon what sex is about in the first place. There is no justification for sex outside of marriage because the purpose of sex is to *create*; that is what people get *married* for: “to marry” two genetic strains of life for the creation of new beings. That is what “getting married” actually means; it literally means the blending, the marrying, of two genetic stocks. As often happens though, our people lose sight of what the words of their own language actually *mean* as well as the basis upon which they rest. While language itself springs from instinct, its meaning becomes distorted with the course of time.

Like many other mammals, we are *supposed* to mate for life and are indeed psychologically predisposed to that outcome, *provided that we marry at a young age the one we have chosen*. In other words, the problem today is not that our young people have sexual desire but that the sexual desire in question is being forestalled and misdirected for reasons of societal convenience, ignorance, and stupidity. Marriages of teenagers who have never known anyone else sexually *will endure* provided that the education they receive is geared towards that end and is not the product of the stupid romantic sentimentality with which we are burdened today, that love is the *end* of marriage instead of the *means*. To be blunt, discouraging a teenage girl from wanting to breed with her chosen mate—as his wife, of course—is just plain idiocy when Nature tells her, as clear as day, that that is what she is *supposed* to do, “college and career” be damned. It is our society

which should be made to conform to *Nature*, not the other way around. As long as our young people are taught everything *but* the art of being a good wife and mother and a good husband and father—and that that is the future role and responsibility of every healthy boy and girl—the marriages of our young people are *of course* going to fail. On the other hand, those marriages will succeed when the education of our youth itself is made to revolve around the racial purpose of those marriages: the furtherance of our kind. (Notably, even with the lack of education for breeding which our people endured in the past, the dissolution of their marriages was extraordinarily rare. Only with the advent of our so-called “modern age”—with its attack upon *all* natural values—have our marriages fallen apart.) None of us came into this world in order to have a great “career”; we came into this world rather in order to continue the flow of our *kind*, forever. Instead of the heads of our young people being stuffed with facts and figures they will neither use nor need during their ensuing lives, they must be taught first and foremost the reason why they exist in the first place—the furtherance of the race—and what is expected of them in order to succeed in that purpose. What we have today is education for purposeless individualism and purposeless individualism equals racial *destruction*. It is far worse, in fact, than no education at all.

It is amazing that these matters are so seldom thought about but that is what happens when men contrive an artificial world within their own heads and proceed to put that artificial world into practice. Instead of looking at his newborn son with thoughts of what sort of father *he* will make one day, our fathers are thinking about what sort of *college* he will get into and the same goes of course for our mothers and their daughters. Such concerns, however, are merely the product of the propaganda that has been meted out to us, propaganda that would like us to believe that a college education (somehow) means everything. Thus we have a race which is more ignorant

about the natural and hence correct usages of its bodies than a hippopotamus is in an African stream. We have women who are *afraid* of being pregnant, for example, as if being pregnant were some kind of bizarre state of affairs instead of *the very reason for their being*. We have men who would rather gratify themselves with pornography than *create life* with a good wife, men who deem the artificial feeling conjured up by a mere *picture* to be as worthwhile as the creation of sons and daughters. We have women who think they must do what *men* do—i.e. have “careers”—in order to obtain fulfillment in life when it is their *wombs* rather which provide the basis for that fulfillment *intrinsically*. We have women who treat *the family dog* as their “child” instead of wanting to have a child of their own. We have men who would rather fornicate with a *whore* than respect the value of their own seed. In sum, sex has become utterly detached from the purpose for which it exists and we have a constantly degenerating and dwindling race on our hands as a result. Our people do not appreciate the greatness of their own *stock* and are willing to see it disgraced, befouled, and terminated from the face of the earth. They squander their own sexual energy and divert it from the purpose for which it exists: the furtherance of their race. The ability of human beings to engage in abstract thought enables them to suppress, override, and thwart their own natural instincts, instincts which would otherwise further the race were they allowed to rule the day. Only *Nature*, however, and observance of and obedience to *her* values can build a better race and only a better race can build a better tomorrow. The artificial values of men, no matter how strongly they are propagandized and instilled within us, can never do so.

In the natural world of course, there is no such thing as putting off copulation (and procreation) with the opposite sex for years once puberty has been reached; the fact that our youth are deemed too immature *mentally* for that today is an indictment actually of our entire society since that society has caused such a mismatch between the yearnings of the body and the facility

of the mind. In other words, were this society healthy, no such mismatch would exist. To be sure, puberty takes place considerably earlier in our race today than it biologically should on account of the poor diets we consume—something that will be discussed in the pages that follow in due course—but the fact remains that the body is not lying somehow when it tells our teenagers to breed: *now*. The body thus is the best educator here, not those who would instead counsel it to deny itself for years and even decades or, worse still, counsel it to waste what sexual energy it has on dead-end sexual relations that don't produce anything. The artificial "morality" of today's world is no match for that natural morality which is intrinsic to every living creature. It is the judgment of *that* morality to which I myself submit. One leads to a healthy, vigorous, expanding race; the other leads to a sickly, debilitated, and retreating one. The choice is therefore clear. The body should not be denied when it speaks so plainly; the maturity of the body takes precedence over the maturity of the mind, especially considering the fact that instinct is, and always has been, more important than thought in the first place.

In point of fact, it is routine *menstruation* which is the unnatural activity here, not pregnancy and nursing which is the natural state of affairs for all post-pubescent and pre-menopausal females of our race. In other words, menstruation does not occur much in a natural state of affairs: our women rather are pregnant, nursing their children—thus not capable of getting pregnant while that is taking place—or no longer capable of getting pregnant or of nursing children at all. Thus all of the inconvenience which menstruation entails over the life span of our women today is actually a self-inflicted phenomenon; since sexual desire is intrinsic to pre-menopausal, post-pubescent females and since it is equally intrinsic for the males of our race to meet that desire, there really shouldn't be much "idle time": menstruating for lack of a check on that situation. Rather, pregnancy and nursing is the natural state of affairs until those functions have been

(permanently) concluded. Menstruation, after all, is simply the discarding of reproductive material which the organism had failed to utilize the previous month; it stands to reason then that *using* that material is the natural state of affairs for otherwise there would be no material to discard. It is not some sort of coincidence that birth control pills have harmful side effects; after all, the purpose of the female body is being *defied* by the usage of those pills and the body reacts to that defiance accordingly. It is the purpose of the female body to *conceive* and that is so regardless of whether a (misnamed) “feminist” someplace would prefer that women be like men instead; if that purpose be subverted, *of course* there are going to be side effects. In any case, the question is why the women of our race would want to subvert the natural purpose of their bodies in the first place. The answer, of course, is purely *societal* influence and indoctrination, not the instinct which cries out to them from their own flesh. No matter how a society is presently structured, there is still the ability to follow instincts which are the product of thousands, nay millions, of years of evolutionary processes. It is the *society* which is the artificial entity here, not those instincts which demonstrate loud and clear the purpose for which we exist: the furtherance of our kind. Women are *meant* to have babies, quite simply. The individual, not being able to live forever herself, continues a chain of beings which *does* live forever provided that each link of it heeds the same instinctive call in turn as she did, the call to replicate her own kind. The individual dies but the race lives. It is physiologically impossible for *any* individual creature to live forever; therefore the individual creature compensates by breeding beings which *can* in a collective sense, generation by generation. Those beings which created us—our parents—implant within us the urge to create more beings ourselves. Thus the chain of life goes on and on, long after we ourselves have personally met our doom. Our descendants are the only eternal life we will ever know; the purpose of sex is to make that eternal life possible: for our race.



The proper course of society then is not to disdain, defy, or suppress that which we as natural beings are meant to do like every other organism upon the face of the earth but rather to support it and facilitate it and enhance it to an ever greater level. Society must be made to conform to *the values of the natural world*, not the other way around, for it is those values, and *only* those values, which are conducive to healthy life. Our society must return to those values, just as the society of every other race of creature upon the earth already adheres to those values as a matter of course. Nature does not care one whit about whether the reproduction of the race is “convenient,” bad for one’s “career,” or economically challenging; rather, the drive is there to further the race irrespective of such things, things which could only be of concern to a race which has wrongly divorced itself from the natural world and her values in the first place. Whatever we stuff into people’s heads—whether it be artificial ideals, facts, figures, or whatever—matters a whole lot less than the fulfillment of the very purpose for why we *exist*. That purpose is the *furtherance of the race*, both within the circumstances of its *current* life as well as in the sense that the race is *furthered* into the future when we and our descendants reproduce ourselves, generation by generation.

It follows then that the production of *mongrel* beings—the breeding of beings from two disparate racial stocks instead of one homogeneous stock—is a perversion of the entire basis for sexual relations which is, as we have seen, racial furtherance. Since creatures copulate in order for their *race* to continue on after they themselves have met their doom, it follows that interracial marriage is not only a frustration of that purpose but a mutilation of it. Creatures copulate in order to *preserve* their race, not to destroy it, and thus interracial breeding not only defeats the purpose for which sex exists at all—the furtherance of the race into the future—but destroys the race altogether through the production of beings who are *not* of the same kind as those who are lost. In

a normal scheme of things, the individual must die but he lives on in a racial sense through the creation of descendants who are of the same stock as he was. By means of analogy, the leaves of a tree die, indeed every season, and yet the tree itself lives on, replacing the leaves it has lost with new ones which are, in essence, the same as those leaves. Indeed, if one were to look at a race objectively and from afar, it would seem as though none of its members die at all for it is constantly replenishing itself; it is like a field of grass in which the “grass” as a whole is always alive and intact regardless of whatever occurs with its individual blades. The collective “grass” never dies even if its individual blades do; when we look at the field, it is that whole with which we are concerned, not the individual blades which compose it. The same would be true of the races of *men* were they to be objectively observed by the other races of life upon this planet: the race itself would be deemed the only objective reality by those observers in light of the transitory nature of those individual creatures which compose it. The vast majority of men throughout history have left nothing behind when they died as far as their non-flesh accomplishments are concerned; they come and go but only their *descendants* remain. They then are only the link in the chain of racial being which makes those descendants possible, the race itself being the only entity which is *always* alive. Again, the individual cannot keep living; therefore he enables his *race* to keep living in his stead by breeding with another member of his kind. On the other hand, when an individual mongrelizes his blood with that of another race, he destroys the eternity of that kind. He thwarts his own purpose for existing upon this earth in the first place. His existence is worse than worthless; it is positively harmful and destructive. On the other hand, by staying true to your race and breeding your own kind, *you* will not live a thousand years from now but the race *will* which you have continued. *You* died but *it* lives. That, in sum, is the entire basis for the existence of sexual relations.

That basis is foiled with racial mongrelization: the race was *not* continued but rather destroyed. The race is *not* replenished; the individual, having amalgamated his or her genes with another racial being, is rather its dead end. The race did *not* live on after the individual's demise as is supposed to occur. The individual's reproductive capability was used to *destroy* the race instead of being used to preserve it. Thus the entire purpose of sex is thwarted; indeed, sex becomes an enemy instead of a friend. It is thus the case that race mongrelizers—those who produce mongrel offspring—are the worst people that there are, for they literally kill off our racial existence with their deeds and replace our White Race upon this earth with *non-white* beings, thus defying the very purpose for which all of us exist at all. Instead of replacing “A” with “A” as Nature intended, “A” is replaced with “AB” which is not, and never can be, the same as “A.” The *race* was not furthered; rather, a mongrel being was furthered. The race did not replace itself; rather it killed itself. Instead of continuing, the racial line comes to an end. You die and *it* dies.

This is why, when our natural instincts have not been successfully suppressed by unnatural belief systems and otherwise, racial mongrelization has always evoked such a visceral reaction in people even if they lacked the verbal sophistication to explain it; we *instinctively* look at racial mongrelization as the worst form of murder that it is and are revolted by it accordingly. Our instincts cry out against the combination of beings who simply do not belong together, as well as the very product of that fusion: the racial mongrel. Furthermore, the greater the difference in the DNA of the particular races involved, the greater is our revulsion. That is easy to understand enough, as the racial alien in that case happens to be even *more* alien to us genetically than could otherwise be the case and the result is an even more thorough destruction of our racial existence accordingly. In other words, the reason why the mixing of the different races of men is increasingly distasteful to us the greater the genetic difference between those races is *that our*

*racial death is accomplished the more decisively the greater that genetic difference.* We instinctively realize that such mongrelization destroys our kind more thoroughly than that of other scenarios and hate it more accordingly. Hence why there is no racial mixing upon this earth more repulsive to our instincts than that of the pure member of our White Race with that of the pure member of the black, for the fact of the matter is that there are no two races of men more disparate genetically than these two races. If one were to place the blondest Nordic woman side by side with the blackest Negro man, there can be no denying the fact that these individuals are not only different *races* within the common usage of the term but different *species* and perhaps even different *genera* for that matter. Hence we realize, instinctively, that the mongrelization of the two destroys our White Race in a way that cannot be redeemed. The race is dead and that is that.

Notably, the fact that the different races of men can learn to speak the same *languages* means nothing; that is merely a function of *brain* power, not connotation of racial similitude. In other words, separate species of creatures can certainly learn to communicate with one another if their respective intellectual evolution has progressed far enough; that does not mean, however, that they are part of the same race, the same species, and so forth. It only means that they have the mental ability to mimic one another's form of *communication*, while retaining the intrinsic and disparate *natures* which all creatures respectively possess. A Bantu Negro is still a Bantu Negro whether he speaks Bantu, Swahili, Dutch, or Chinese. A Swede is still a Swede likewise. In any case, the genetic gulf between the White and Black races of men is so massive that their merger represents to us quite literally, on an instinctive level, the most abominable act of which human life is capable and that is why the mere sight of a black man hand-in-hand with a White woman is so disturbing to all those whose instincts have remained intact and their honesty likewise: we behold not only the perversion of our genetic being in progress but its absolute annihilation. We

behold a kind of death before us in living form; indeed, it is *worse* than death since it is the perversion, the mutilation, of our racial being. We instinctively understand that here before us our race is *not* being furthered but destroyed instead. Sex, as well as the sexes themselves, are not only failing to fulfill the purpose for which they exist—they furtherance of the race—but are being used to *annihilate* that race from the face of the earth.

Of course very little of this sentiment takes place on a conscious level with most people. That is because they have been indoctrinated with unnatural values, values which tell them that there is no difference between the races aside from the color of their skin, that it is the moral duty of White people to integrate fully with the non-white races and “root out” all racist sentiments and practices, and so forth. Our instincts remain, however, instincts whose evolution long precedes the indoctrination of the past several decades and whose expression cannot always be suppressed. We *instinctively* know that race mixing is wrong because it quite literally kills off our genetic existence, the racial existence which should by all rights continue long after we ourselves as individuals will have met our demise. We *instinctively* know that racial mongrelization must be opposed and stopped because it kills the only “eternal life” there is or will ever be: the life of a *race*, a race which goes on interminably by virtue of the reproduction of its members. It is possible in fact that the very word “race” derives from this understanding: that life indeed is like a (relay) *race*: not being able to endure the whole gamut of time, the individual hands off his baton of life to another—by virtue of his reproduction—who does the same in turn and the process repeats itself ad infinitum. However, as implied, this is a kind of “race” which never ends and never *should* end, for here it is life itself that we are dealing with and not a mere sporting event. Thus in the natural course of things the individual dies but the race lives. Thus *of course* racial mongrelization evokes our fanatical hatred as it means the end of the only real “eternal life” of which men are

capable; it is the bandying about of a mutilated death in living form, the very image of our own racial annihilation. It is the equivalent of a corpse walking about, only worse, for while a corpse represents the death of merely one individual, *as* an individual, the racial mongrel represents the death of the race itself, the walking embodiment of racial destruction. No one can rightly complain then about the visceral hatred which our White people have had at all times for the mixing of their race with that of its polar opposite the black, for that hatred is in its essence merely the rightful protest of our genes at the prospect of their own demise. We hate as a means of preserving ourselves, the life that is within us, the life that is meant to succeed us, forever.

Every living thing is the product of those genes which compose it. Those genes want to live as much as the organism itself does. It is thus a mistake to look upon a creature as a monolithic entity solely subject to its own conscious will. Rather, all of us are the product of the countless living cells that compose us, and so no matter the indoctrination which may be meted out to our respective *psyches* by the society in which we live, there is still our genetic *affinities* which must be reckoned with. Hence the conscious *mind* may well be trained to applaud racial mongrelization easily enough over the course of time but it is another matter altogether as to whether the *deed* of the organism will follow suit within its own life. Put another way, mental indoctrination and genetic instinct are two different things. The mind may well be conditioned to think a certain way about something but our genes have a ‘mind’ of their own: their genetic code and the propensities of that code. Every creature has an instinctive affinity for those who are like itself; every creature has an instinctive disdain for those who are *not* like itself. Those who would desire to stamp out that affinity and disdain simply hate the world as it naturally is, even if they would do so in the name of “love.”

Since the purpose of sex is racial furtherance—literally the casting of the line of the race into the future, the furthering of the race past the life span of the individual person—it follows that the reason why *romantic love* exists is that it *facilitates* that racial furtherance. Our race evolved for romantic love because the presence of romantic love gave it an advantage of some kind in its struggle for life. Perhaps more babies were born to those parents who loved one another romantically than those who did not. Perhaps parents were more apt to defend their progeny from harm from the elements and from other creatures if they loved one another romantically than otherwise. In any event, romantic love is a *means* to an end for our race just like every other facet of our racial life, that end being the furtherance of the race itself. Romantic love is thus, again, not a gift to us from an interstellar “god” any more than any other of the facets of our world; rather it is simply a means by which the race is furthered in its life. Our race derived evolutionary *advantage* from the presence of romantic love; thus romantic love became part of our genetic nature, indeed more of our nature than that of any other race upon this earth. It is the *White* people who love romantically the most. In the Negro on the other hand, romantic love is hardly present at all; it is almost a matter of indifference which “woman” a Negro takes and marital fidelity was practically non-existent in sub-Saharan Africa as a result. In the Oriental it is greater than that of the Negro but still weak—perhaps because there is so little genetic variation between the individuals of that race; one Chinaman, Japanese, Vietnamese, or whatever is about the same as all the rest. There is thus little to no reason for an Oriental to love this or that individual specimen to the exclusion of all others; there is no reason to *pine* for this or that particular individual since they are all about the same anyway. Thus it was inevitable that Orientals would come to be capable of less romantic love than our own race would; the specialness of the selected mate is lacking and there is little to no reason to favor a particular Oriental mate romantically accordingly. Thus

arranged marriages—common to Oriental countries historically—make a certain amount of sense; parents may as well choose the mates of their children alone since there is very little individual distinction between the members of that race and thus romantic love is less of a factor there. It is stupid, as always, to assume that every race values the same things as every other; rather, in a natural state of affairs, the values of a particular race follow its particular *nature* and the respective characteristics that it bears. When we consider that romantic love is the pining for a *specific* person, to the exclusion of all others, it is little to be wondered at that there will be less romantic love the less that the individuals of a particular race differ from one another in a physiological sense. If every potential mate is about the same as another, it is difficult for the specialization of love to occur, specialization which manifests itself with a high degree of romantic feeling.

As always, the facets of life in this world have a biological *basis*. They are not some kind of happenstance or coincidence. It is not some kind of fluke that males are attracted to females and vice versa. It is not some kind of coincidence that different races have different attributes. What made each race the way it is was its *biological evolution*, with the environment merely acting upon its genes as they are. Our environment thus cannot alter our particular, intrinsic genetic natures; it can only manipulate them for certain ends. The genes remain the same; a monkey in a suit is still a monkey. As the present society would have it, different creatures can be rendered the same simply by throwing them together within the same environment and pretending that they are all “equal” to one another. That, however, is not the case and has never been. The genes which compose us make us what we are. Different genes mean different creatures. If I build a house out of brick, it is *not* the same as a house made out of wood. Trying to pretend that they are can only go so far. In a sense, the entire history of the world can be summed up as a struggle between those who accept the reality of the world as it is and those who would resist that reality and seek to alter



it to conform to how they would *like* it to be. In their view, there *shouldn't* be any difference between the races and sexes and so there *aren't* any as far as they are concerned. They *want* everybody to be the same and so they contrive that “reality” in their minds. Their wish thus becomes the parent to their thought. That is no different though from calling a spoonful of dirt “chocolate”: it is still *dirt* whether you call it chocolate or not. You can pour it into your milk and expect it to taste like chocolate but it won't. You can even lie to yourself and others about the quality of its taste but the objective fact that it is *not* chocolate remains. No matter how much self-deception is employed within the present society of ours when it comes to race, sex, or whatever, the objective fact remains that every creature is what it is, that different genetic structures mean different genetic *natures*, and that different genetic natures are not and *cannot* be “the same” as one another. Different creatures naturally have different roles to play in life accordingly as a result of their different *natures*, and the action of every creature follows from its function and its form. The very existence of more than one sex in a race for instance implies that those sexes are to perform different functions within their lives rather than mimic one another under the misguided belief that they are “the same” as one another. Different anatomy means different *roles* to play in the endeavor to fulfill the racially furtherative meaning of life. There is thus far more at work when it comes to race and sex than the content of mere conscious thoughts. There is, rather, the yearning of a race to live.

Thus, in light of the above, it really should not be a surprise that men are more sexually attracted to broad-hipped and big-chested women for instance than they are to narrow-hipped and flat-chested women: there is an instinctive desire for a mate who is not as likely to die in childbirth and who can provide plenty of nourishment for their offspring. What people erroneously assume is merely a shallow preference based upon sexual desire is actually an instinctive yearning for that

breeding which will ensure the survival of his kind. Curvature in a woman is a recipe for *survival* and is thus desired accordingly, even if we do not consciously happen to understand why. Nor can we blame a man for desiring a woman whose sexual characteristics are different from his in general; no matter what her “personality” may be, there is obviously more to breeding than what a prospective mate *thinks*. It is not a matter of men “objectifying” women per se; it is a matter of men choosing the best women they can for *breeding* purposes, an activity more important than any other he could possibly engage in when it comes to the *racial furtherance* that is the meaning of his life. By a similar token, women are instinctively inclined to favor those men who can *provide* for them and their future offspring; no matter how great his “ideals” and his *mind* may be, the main thing is that any potential offspring derive biological *advantage* from the union. He who can create and secure a comfortable *nest* is the one who will be favored, not the revolutionary for instance who has very little regard for his physical surroundings and couldn’t care less about material pleasures. It is not surprising then that women are inclined to favor men with *money* instead of those without, nor is that inclination worthy of reproach, for what is at stake is not merely her own material comfort as one may erroneously assume but rather the welfare of the beings that she may bear within her womb, a welfare that may be secured by material means. A man cannot *expect* a woman to care about what he himself cares about, or vice versa, because a man’s instinct is only to *obtain* genetic offspring while a woman’s is to make sure that it is *provided* for. *So of course* women are naturally more materialistic than men. *So of course* men care more about how a woman *looks* than a woman cares about how *men* look. That is because the instinctive goal is different for the respective sexes. The difference of sex means the difference of goal, though the end result remains the same as always: the furtherance of the *race*. The respective sexes obtain that furtherance in different ways: men have the overwhelming desire to *inseminate* and to do so with

women who stimulate them for that endeavor—hence why the looks of women are so important to men—while women have the desire to be inseminated by those men who will provide the best environment possible for that “seed.” By providing a different emphasis, a different instinct, to each sex, Nature increases the odds that the race will indeed be furthered. On the other hand, were men and women both genetically programmed to desire copulation and nothing more—as is the case with men alone as it stands—innumerable offspring would be born into environments ill-conducive to their survival and would die as a result. Conversely, were both men and women concerned exclusively with the securing of a good *environment* for their offspring—as women alone tend to be—few offspring would be born at all since men are the active party, women the passive one, when it comes to copulation.

Thus men and women are the yin and yang of creation. One emphasis is not enough; both are required. Racial furtherance requires both the sexual act and the securance of a good environment for the product of that act. Men’s eyes will *always* wander to other females than their chosen mates because they are genetically geared to *inseminate*, period; women, on the other hand, will always resist such straying by their men because their sexual competition with other females means the diminution of the material support which their chosen mates could provide to their *own* offspring standing alone, offspring which women are instinctively geared to focus upon unlike the case with men who have a physiological *need* to spread their seed and little more. In other words, women, being instinctively geared to the well-being of the *product* of the sex act unlike the case with men who are geared instinctively to the *commission* of the mere sex act alone, cannot afford for their offspring to lose the material support from their fathers which occurs when that support is shared with the offspring of other females; rather, women need their mates to care only about the offspring that they have fathered with *them*. Hence why our race evolved for monogamy:

while yes, men are genetically programmed to be inclined to copulate with more than one mate at a time as a means of furthering his kind, that genetic instinct is checked by the instinct of women which demands exclusivity. Though women complain about the polygamous inclination of men—their being ignorant of the fact that that inclination is part of their very DNA and thus outside of their control—women’s instinct and demand for *monogamy* has in fact won the day, at least in regards to the one man, one woman socially acceptable form of marriage which we currently possess; women have gotten their way that their men should *not* have more than one mate. Thus women should count their blessings that their men are generally monogamous in *deed* because the situation could have easily gone the other way. Monogamy is beneficial to our offspring because whatever material support the man can provide is bestowed to one family alone; he does not bestow it upon several women and *their* offspring thus diluting it accordingly. If a man has a thousand dollars, it goes to one woman and *her* offspring instead of being divided every which way to the detriment of same. The child derives environmental advantage when his father’s material support is not shared between the children of different mothers. By demanding that her mate copulate with her alone, woman ensures that her children receive the utmost advantage possible in the struggle for life.

It is as silly to decry the genetic instincts of a man as it is to decry the genetic instincts of a woman. Rather, they simply are what they are and they came into being because they further the race. Men are the driving force behind copulation; women are the driving force behind making sure that the product of that copulation has the most biologically advantageous environment possible. Both drives are necessary for the racial furtherance which is the meaning of life. Both drives complement each other: man is driven to inseminate, thus ensuring the survival of his kind;

woman is driven to bring about the best material environment possible for that offspring. The race is furthered by the combination of the two drives.

Things may have been different had the White Race evolved in a less harsh physical environment; polygamy makes more sense in precisely those regions where it has been prevalent: warm climates where the individual material support of the father for *one* family is not as important; it naturally does *not* rule the day where monogamy is more biologically advantageous. In sub-Saharan Africa for example, there are no harsh winters which test the ability of offspring to survive without the undivided support of their fathers. Thus the instinct of Negro women to demand exclusivity (monogamy) from their mates was weaker than that which took place within our own race; their offspring could and would survive in Africa whether the father was monogamous or not. (We must exempt situations of mass famine which affect everyone equally. In that case, whether a people practices monogamy or polygamy is irrelevant because there is no food for *anybody*. There is thus no biological advantage either way in such situations.) The scale was therefore tipped in favor of polygamy in sub-Saharan Africa accordingly and the scale remains tipped in favor of polygamy to this day when it comes to the black race, especially since the society in which Negroes live—generally either Christian or Muslim in its indoctrination—refuses to let *any* offspring starve to death if it can help it, thereby thwarting what would occur in a natural scheme of things. In other words, offspring by and large no longer have to face the consequences of their parents' negligence and the woman's instinct for the exclusivity of her mate's sexual activity finds itself weakened thereby. Thus the social welfare system only fortifies the inherently polygamous nature of the black race, a nature which evolved over the course of many thousands of years.

It is foolish then to expect blacks to be as monogamous as Whites when they are evolved for polygamy over such a long period of time and when there is a “safety net” in society which does nothing to impel blacks in a different direction. There is no *price* to be paid for polygamy in today’s world—at least in regards to the chances of survival for the ensuing offspring—and the result is a society which constantly hovers between monogamy and polygamy with no clear, *instinctive* resolution in sight. In the natural world though, the black race’s inclination to favor polygamy (more than one mate at the same time) is undeniable and those who fail to understand that end up holding it to a standard that is simply not in its nature. We cannot expect blacks to care about adhering to a monogamous lifestyle the same way that we do Whites because they did not evolve for monogamy the same way that we did. Different races have different evolutionary histories.

The same can be said of any population where the biological advantages of polygamy outweigh the biological advantages of monogamy; traditions and institutions tend merely to follow biological advantage in this case. There is no more reason to favor monogamy on abstract “moral” grounds than there is to favor polygamy; the question is which practice tends not only to *produce* offspring but also to create conditions advantageous to their *survival*. Also, an abundance of males deprived of mates altogether can and does create an evolutionary pressure of its own; if too many males are deprived of mates, they will force the issue in their favor.

The failure to understand the tension which exists between man’s inherently polygamous *nature* and woman’s equally instinctive monogamous *demand*—a demand which is made for the sake of her offspring which is the point of copulation in the first place—is the cause of many if not most of the problems between the sexes. Women cannot understand why other women remain attractive to men even after they have been paired off and men cannot understand the jealousy that

women feel when their eyes stray. Women cannot understand the compulsion that men have to *inseminate* and men cannot understand why women care a whole lot more about their *children* than fulfilling their sexual needs. Women cannot understand why men tend to fall *asleep* after copulation—their job is effectively *done*—and men cannot understand why women want to talk and cuddle after the event: by doing so, the bond between the pair is strengthened thus increasing the *advantage* that will accrue to the offspring that may have just been created; the woman needs the man to stick around and not leave her in the lurch since a new life, needing its father, may have just been spawned. The bottom line is this: it is in man's nature to sow seed, it is in woman's nature to see to it that that seed is given the best environment possible in which to grow. Thus the instinctive foci of the sexes regarding copulation are fundamentally different from one another, to the advantage of the racial whole.

This is not a matter of conscious choice so much as the hardwiring of our genes for different ends. There are exceptions of course but the exceptions only prove the rule. Women may sometimes, for example, choose a poor man over a rich one and it may sometimes be the *man* who seeks to strengthen the bond with his mate after copulation. However, that is not the normal course of things. Man's goal is the *creation* of life; woman's is the *sustenance* of that life. Each sex may well *care* about the goal of the other to be sure but the respective *goals* of the sexes remain what they are. A man is inclined to spread his seed around; a woman is inclined to limit it to her field alone. A man may love his mate but a woman will always love her children more than him. That is because it is biologically advantageous to the race that the man be geared to the *creation* of life while the woman be geared to its *sustenance*. Each attribute enables the race to further itself. Men know instinctively that they can always cause the creation of more children; women are adamant in the maintenance of the ones they already have. Men are willing to create life under lousy

conditions because life itself is their object; women, however, put a brake on that drive to create life because their aim is the *maintenance* of life, and the creation of life and the *maintenance* of life are instinctive drives which sometimes find themselves in conflict with one another. Man's instinctive focus is the immediate while woman's is the long term. It is silly to denigrate either instinctive focus because both of them are racially furtherative and not a matter of either sex's conscious choice.

Of course we are talking about *natural inclinations* here, not the freakshow which sexual relations have become today all too often in a society which seems to be resolved to overthrow every natural impulse, every natural desire, in favor of its own idiotic, artificial order of things. In the present society it is hedonism, not health, which rules the day as far as sexual relations are concerned and those relations no longer have much to do with why they exist in the first place: the furtherance of the *race*. Thus our people block that furtherance through artificial means or engage in sexual practices in which conception is not even *possible*, let alone fulfilled. No goal of sexual relations is even *acknowledged*, let alone sought. Every sexual act is deemed of equal value to that of every other—a proposition which in normal times and among normal human beings would and should be deemed to be absolutely *ridiculous*, if not insane. The pleasure of the individual person is what matters to people today, the consequences to the race be damned. Our men are expected to behave like women and our women are expected to behave like men. Our men are expected to change *diapers* while our *women* bring home the daily bread! Our men are expected to care for their children *more* than their mothers. Our women are expected to think and act like *men*: i.e. have “careers” and have full time jobs outside the home. Our men are expected to be “sensitive” while our women are expected to be *tough*. All of this is sickness, however; it is the attempted refutation of countless thousands of years of evolution which made the sexes



inherently what they are. It is a result of the maniacal attempt to fulfill the thoroughly false and pernicious doctrine that “all men are created equal,” as if creatures which are not exactly the same as one another could ever be “equal” to one another in the first place. *To say that all men are created equal is to deny their inherent differences, their inherent natures, and their inherent roles in this world for the furtherance of their race.* It is to render the world an incomprehensible, confusing, discordant, and idiotic place. No dogma—and the dogma of only a few generations at that—can possibly cancel out the raw biological fact that the creatures of this world are the product of countless thousands and indeed millions of years of *evolution*, an evolution which made them what they are: beings of unequal abilities, unequal natures, and unequal *purposes* as reflected by the disparate genes and anatomies that they possess. The values that people contrive within their heads do *not* negate the biological realities of this world; those realities exist all the same no matter what anybody *thinks* or would *like* to think. Every creature is the product of its own genetic structure and its environment can only act upon that structure as it is. The instincts of men and women regarding sexual relations are inherently different from one another just as their *anatomy* is different from one another; every physical difference in creatures is coupled with a difference in *purpose*. The function of every creature follows its form. Women are thus meant to have *babies* and men are meant to make that possible.

Notably, the riddle as to why women live longer than men is hereby solved: men, unlike women, have to lose life in order to create it; in order to inseminate women as they are instinctively driven to do, they must create and sacrifice countless numbers of their spermatozoa (i.e. life) in the process and that takes its toll upon their own span of life. Just think of the countless billions of sperm that must die in order for the race to live! Furthermore, even those men who remain celibate their whole lives still emit sperm in their *sleep*, but notably they tend to live longer than

those men who are sexually active. The moral of the story is this: death is indeed the price of life whether we are talking about the fact that all of us are mortal as individual beings or that the male portion of our race must die a little within themselves every time their seed is emitted in search of the creation of new life. For that is exactly what we are talking about here: the male first creates within and expends life from *himself* in order to further his race into the future. He loses a few years from off of his own life span in the process, unlike the females of his kind who do not do so. This is why the gap between the life spans of men and women persists when all other factors are accounted for.

The denigration of stay-at-home motherhood by the present society, for its part, is about as stupid as it gets, for not only are women *meant* to be mothers and homemakers as a matter of physiological purpose and fact but nothing could possibly be more important for a race than the bearing, nurturing, and cultivating of new life to replace the life that is lost by that race on a constant and continual basis. What could possibly be more important than the bearing of new life and the raising of that life in a happy and healthy home? The push to turn women away from motherhood and to drive them from the home is thus one of the worst calamities to have befallen our kind. Women are not made *better* by turning them into men; rather, they are made *worse*. *They forfeit the inherent power which comes with being the breeder, nurturer, and cultivator of new human beings.* Not only is that status not somehow “inferior” to that of men but it is practically *superior*. No man can ever match up to it, try as he might.

The entire premise of “feminism”—perhaps the most erroneously named doctrine in all history—is misplaced at its core because it rests on the idea that women can only be whole, complete beings *so long as they live like men* when, in reality, they are at their best when their lives fulfill their *own* purpose in their own way. There is nothing “feminine” about “feminism.”

The idea that women have been “held down” by men throughout history represents a fundamental ignorance and misunderstanding of the reality that women have their greatest power *not* by doing as men do within the hustle and bustle of their making a living in the outside world but by their being *the mistress of the home*. That is where their power lies and no place else. Let us remind ourselves what women actually *do*, after all: they, within their bodies, manufacture new life through their insemination and bring it into the world, and by staying within the home they are able to educate and stamp their children with *their* values, thus having power over their upbringing like nobody else. They are able to make a happy and healthy *home* for their families and by not working *outside* of that home—*away* from the home, that is—they are able to concentrate all of their attention upon that endeavor instead of attempting to be a jack of all trades but a master of none. What passes then for “feminism” within the current society is actually its very opposite: *masculinism*. It is the drive to make women imitate the lives of *men* instead of realizing and accepting the fact that women’s lives have value as they naturally are. It is the failure to actually appreciate the incredible importance of a feminine life and is thus the very reverse of what it claims to be. There is nothing “feminine,” after all, about women mimicking the lives of *men*, nothing “feminine” about their forgoing motherhood, and nothing “feminine” about their setting up *men* as their idols whom they should emulate. Nor is being a homemaker “drudgery” any more than is a man’s labor in the outside world to provide for his family, especially considering the fact that there is very little that a woman cannot do at home anymore creatively in light of the advancements in technology; ask any man and he will tell you that the supposed “excitement” of his profession is overrated. It is, in fact, a woman’s *privilege* to stay at home while the man struggles in the outside world to earn for the family that income which is necessary to live a modern life. It is the *man’s* responsibility to do that while the woman uses what he earns to cultivate their home. Thus

man earns the *living* while woman bears the *life* and nurtures and cultivates that *life*. It thus could not be more clear that there is no dishonor in a woman's domestic role in life; quite the contrary, it is as honorable as it gets. A man who begrudges his wife for not working outside the home is not much of a man; a woman who begrudges her husband for his unshared "career" is not much of a woman. I include, however, the growing of food on the family's *land* within woman's unique prerogative though it is technically "outside" the home; since woman is a cultivator of life, it follows that gardening is uniquely suited to her *nature*. For that matter, women are also cultivators of *beauty* and what could be more beautiful to a man than coming home to a beautiful home, inhabited by a beautiful wife and beautiful children, bedecked with beautiful flowers? We can ask ourselves what sort of world it is which would scoff at such things, or which would delude itself into believing that such things are no longer possible.

That the economy of our people is so poor today that our women are *compelled* to enter the workforce in order to augment their husband's income—that the income of our men is so low that it can no longer adequately provide for their own families in other words—is merely an indictment of the entire globalist, "free trade" economic system that has sold our people down the river. Obviously that system is a failure to any rational person when it is considered that there was a time when the husband's paycheck was all that a family—and a large family at that—needed in order to live a comfortable life; women did not *need* to work away from the home and no self-respecting man *wanted* his wife to work as that would have been a source of *shame* for him that he had failed to do his job as the *husband* of his home. (We should in fact recall the actual meaning of the word "husband": one who *provides* for others.) Now all of this is gone of course—the just recompense for our labor, our shame at the prospect of our not being able to provide for our families without our wives having to enter the fray, and the concentration of each sex upon its

respective, natural role which enabled them to be at their best—but in any event the solution is not to adapt ourselves to an idiotic economic system but to devise an economic system which comports with our true nature as the beings that we are. In other words, it is not our *race* which should be made to conform to an economic system, an economic system which enriches the relatively few, but rather the economic system which must be made to conform to *the furtherance of the race* which is, as always, the meaning of our lives. An economic system which does not allow for a woman of our race to have as many children as Nature allows, for instance, is *trash*, not something worth defending or maintaining. An economic system which forces the blending of the roles of men and women is *no good* and that is the case no matter what verbiage may be offered up in an effort to confuse people about its supposed greatness. The problem though is that people get used to the abnormal conditions of living which crop up all around them and quickly forget what a normal life is. Men and women feel stress and strain in their home life without understanding all of the reasons as to why that state of affairs exists. They just know that something is wrong without knowing who or what is to blame. We become habituated to a destructive state of affairs and lose the ability to even *conceive* of something better.

Without the understanding that it is woman's role to bear life for the *race*, and the understanding that that role is of supreme importance beyond that of which men are capable, it is impossible to discern why the lives of women have always been given *priority* in times of disaster and peril. When, for example, a ship sinks at sea, it has always been *women* (and children) who have been placed first upon whatever lifeboats there are, men being willing to *die* so that the breeders of the next generation of the race may *live*. When the famous Titanic began to sink in the North Atlantic after hitting an iceberg for example, the call went out for "women and children first" and very few men as a result ever made it into the lifeboats at all; no matter how great a man

may have been as an individual personality—whether as an inventor, an author, or whatever—it was expected and indeed demanded of him that he allow any and every woman to obtain her salvation first before he could do so for himself. This in fact has been the practice of White men for centuries: instead of using their power, strength, or “privilege” to save themselves when danger threatens, they have given priority to the *females* of their kind so that the *race* may live. So much then for the idea that women are “disadvantaged” by virtue of their sex! Without the understanding, however, that women are more valuable than men in the respect that they bear the very *life* of the race, the entire practice of protecting and defending women from harm before that of men would be incomprehensible and indeed unwarranted. After all, it is the very antithesis of the pronounced “equality” of the sexes which people prate about so much; certainly countless numbers of men throughout history have died in this instance because they *didn’t* accept the idea of the supposed equality of the sexes; rather, they believed that women were of *superior* value to the race and acted accordingly. Today though, it is impossible to justify a “ladies first” policy at all, in any respect, so long as women do *not* see it as their duty and role to bear children for the race; it would seem almost *ridiculous* today in fact for the captain of a sinking ship to give priority to the salvation of women when women are now expected and encouraged to live the lives of *men* as much as possible and couldn’t care less about being good wives and mothers. There is not the slightest reason to favor the survival of women over that of men *without* regard to the fact that women bear *children* and hence continue the racial stock.

Notably, the reason why children have always been saved first as well is because it was recognized that the women on board would never be willing to leave the boat *without* their children and that it was furthermore the responsibility of the women to *raise* that next generation of the race. There was no way that the women would be willing to leave their children on board while

they themselves fled to safety and besides, they were the bearers and nurturers of the next generation both born and unborn alike. Children were hence *not* saved because they were considered more innocent or more intrinsically valuable in themselves. Rather, children were saved because the *women* were saved and children represented the next generation of the *race*, a race which must go on without the men if necessary. Through the salvation of the children, the race would repopulate itself in time; children had more *time* with which to work towards that end.

If a ship were to go down today, one could therefore sensibly expect the captain to *refuse* priority to the rescue of his female passengers on the basis that their current lack of interest in motherhood simply no longer justifies that gesture. One could hardly blame him for that sentiment, considering that only the protection of *future* life could possibly justify the sacrifice of the life of the present, the sacrifice of men in favor of that of women, children, and their continued life. Who could possibly say that women are more valuable than men *without* regard for the fact that they bring new life into the world? Has the man-hating tendency of our times gone so far as to make such a claim? Why should we decide summarily to save the lives of women before saving those of men if it *isn't* the fact that women are capable of bearing new life?

Should a sudden calamity befall our entire race in the future and the population of our men should find itself decimated—by war or otherwise—it has always been instinctively understood that so long as a few men at least have survived and the female population has remained intact, the race can recover, though the practice of polygamy if necessary. One man can impregnate multiple women at a time whereas one woman can only bear the child of one man at a time. Hence polygamy is natural and polyandry isn't. What is considered a hard and fast moral standard can and will make way for the need to replenish the stock. Thus what would seem to be an insurmountable problem—the great disparity between the members of men and women in a

society—can in fact be remedied in a single generation; the men take on extra wives to make up for the numbers of men lost. The problem is quickly solved because the ensuing children are of both sexes.

The idea that women have held an inferior status to that of men throughout history therefore does not hold water. It represents a failure to recognize that there are different forms of power, that one need not have a career outside the home in order to be powerful. Power can and does exist for each sex when it is the master and mistress respectively of its own sphere, and the home itself is a sphere of enormous importance when it is properly understood. As the saying goes, “the hand that rocks the cradle rules the world.” When women control the upbringing of the children, control the food, and otherwise control routine life in the home, it cannot sensibly be argued that they are lacking in power. Indeed, they arguably have *more* power than the men. It is important though that men defer to that power where it is properly exercised. It is in a woman’s *nature*—and yes, such a nature does exist—to be inclined to a domestic life; women *like* putting together a comfortable home, *like* being the primary caregiver of their children, and *like* preparing food for their families. Those are not “cultural constructs” which have been imposed upon woman against her will but rather her instinctive *interest*, an instinctive interest that she possesses as does every other creature upon the face of the earth; those who would say that women lack any instinctive interests overlook the fact that literally every other race of creature upon the face of the earth evinces instinctive interests and that these instinctive interests *do* vary by sex. In other words, since every creature in Nature evinces an instinctive interest, there is no reason to believe that the races of men would be the sole exceptions as far as that goes. Rather, men and women are geared to certain and distinct modes of living and that is that. Taking the position that women have to take on the mode of living of *men* in order to be whole, complete beings is a slight upon women



more vicious and indeed foolish than anything dreamt up by a male chauvinist. Women throughout history have in fact been far more responsible for their staying in the home than their men have. The idea that a “conspiracy” of men has “oppressed” women since the beginning of time is not only untrue but is dangerous to any kind of peace, harmony, appreciation, or understanding between the sexes; it also happens to disparage the very women it is supposedly aimed at liberating. After all, if men were capable of subjugating women for thousands of years, forcing them to live lives of inferior status and worth against their will, what does that say about any sort of intrinsic “equality” between the sexes? It destroys it, that’s what. The idea in fact presumes that women are innately *inferior*, that intrinsically “more powerful” men have held them down and the like. Thus one premise of feminism—that men have held women down—undermines the other—that men and women are “equal” to one another—and yet the feminist fails to grasp this basic fact, a fact which undermines the entire doctrine. The solution to the riddle of course is that women were *not* “held down” and that they have worth in their own right, in their own lives, without regard for what *men* are doing. Sagacious women throughout history have understood this and acted accordingly. They have resisted the temptation to cast themselves out of their homes and to mimic their men in their lives. They have understood that women possess their greatest power when they are at their most *womanly*, not when they ape the opposite sex. They have understood that it also takes strength and power to run a *home* and to not tolerate men butting into their province of things. They have understood that their control of the home gives them the power to shape the lives of their children and husband alike. They have thus understood that the home is the place where there is more power than anyplace else.

Whereas a career away from the home may be necessary to demonstrate the value of a *man*, no such career is therefore necessary to demonstrate the value of a *woman*; it exists inherently in

her ability to bring new *life* into the world, replenishing the *race* in its life. It exists in her cultivation of that life. It exists in her easing the cares and concerns of her husband as he fights to provide for his family in a harsh and competitive world. The home is not some sort of artificial entity lacking value; rather, the very word “home” connotes a place of *great* value. People would be fools to disparage what it means to have a happy and healthy *home*; indeed, the point of our labor in the first place, fundamentally, is to help procure that very thing. While it is true that men have pursuits that they wish to pursue, callings which drive them to produce, create, and organize the world in a particular way, there is no reason why women cannot do the same thing within their own sphere. Physical presence away from the home is unnecessary to make an impact outside that home, nor is it necessary for women to attend college in order to obtain knowledge. Rather, if anything, the ability of women to live fulfilling lives within the homes they have created for their families is greater today than ever before. Knowledge, entertainment, and culture can be brought literally into the home from the outside and the only thing women “miss out on” by being stay-at-home wives and mothers is the practice of *not* being the boss of their own time and laboring for people who are *not* their own family which are dubious “perks” of outside employment indeed. In sum, woman is by no means “deprived” by virtue of her attending to the home of her family and not being employed elsewhere. Rather, she is able to master her own sphere of being and shape the lives of her husband and children best. She has *more* power by doing that than she has by “juggling” family and career; people seem to forget that the usual result of juggling is *all three balls on the floor*. When women ape the lives of men, all they do is *lessen* themselves, *diminish* their value, and make themselves a jack of all trades all right but a master of none. They have *less* influence upon our world.

Fundamentally the wants and desires of our people today, regardless of sex, are by and large the product of the racially hostile indoctrination they have received and incurred rather than the realization of their actual, instinctive, intrinsic selves. The mania for a college degree is an excellent example of that: people do not go to college because they, as a matter of instinct or self-reflection, think that that is a good idea but rather because it has been drilled into them that a college education is the only way to get ahead in an economically competitive world. The fact of the matter though is that everything learned at college can just as easily be learned at home if not more so, and that a college education can be positively *harmful* to minds which are molded to hate their own White Race—its past, present, and future—by professors who themselves are afflicted with that virus of self-hatred. In any event, everything that our people believe in regarding sex and the sexes today is, like the idea of a college education, drummed into them in order to reach an end which is hostile to their racial preservation instead of beneficial to that preservation and that is, in the end, the only thing that matters in that regard. Are more White babies born by virtue of our women going off to college and having careers than by marrying early and staying in the home? Obviously not, and from a racially preservative standpoint—the only standpoint which can have any natural validity to it at all as we have established—that is the only thing that matters. Nor for that matter is it beneficial to our racial preservation for many of our *men* to go off to college either, focusing on their ensuing “careers,” when they view women at best as entertainment and a diversion in the process and do not marry and do not breed. In sum, the priorities of both our men and women have become muddled and confused; the end of our personal, individual existence—the furtherance of the *race*—has been substituted for what are, at *best*, various *means* to an end which have little to do with our racial furtherance at all. Racial preservation is, in fact, not even part of the equation at all anymore; having a child is seen as some sort of rite of passage, at best,

which can be put off until one, perhaps, gets around to it, if ever. *Women have just become men without penises* who (“unfortunately”) have uteruses which might (“accidentally”) be used sometime—kind of like an old car in the garage—but who really need to focus instead on being a good employee for someone else somewhere in order to find “fulfillment.” Men, for their part, are to pursue sexual relations for their own sake without even the *thought* of an end. The whole situation is a comedy of errors that unfortunately is not funny. Our race is dying because of it; hence why it must be addressed. This world is for the living, not the dead. We change the current situation or we perish.

None of this is to say that our women need be in the home all the time. It *is* to say that our race is better preserved when she is *not* spending eight hours of her day and more working for someone else but is instead spending her entire day working exclusively for her family and her home, bringing new life into the world and cultivating that life. No matter how smug people may be today at the notion of women now assuming literally every calling and profession which formerly belonged to that of men alone, there is a *reason* why that had never been the practice until recently and that things had been different for countless thousands of years. Anyone can pound a square block into a round hole if he wants to but that doesn’t mean that there won’t be damage to both the block and the hole. Woman’s creation of new life—new life for the race—is of such stupendous importance that only an *idiot* would denigrate that importance in favor of a mere “career,” a “career” which few people if any will remember after she herself has lost her life. We do not need our women in combat boots to defend our race. We need our women to replenish the life that is constantly lost. We do not need to serve an international economy. We need an economy which serves exclusively our racial life. We do not need full employment of every man and women away from the home. We need full employment of every (healthy) man as the earner

of his family's sustenance and full employment of every (healthy) woman as the cultivator of that sustenance. It has never been in a woman's nature to be just like a man or vice versa. It is in the nature of men and women to further the race in their own way. The knowledge and experiences that we have as individuals are transitory. The life of our race however is *eternal*—but only so long as we do our job. The pain which women endure in childbirth is matched by the pain which men endure over a lifetime of earning the family's living by the sweat of his brow, and by his being willing to shed his blood in the defense of his race in battle. A little of him dies every time he spews forth his seed but by doing so, his race continues to live. Fundamentally then, both sex and the sexes have been horribly misunderstood. Copulation is not something obscene or vulgar, but nor is it “carnal” either. It is the will of a race to continue to live, and the individuals of that race make sacrifices so as to make that life possible. That is how the meaning of life of this world is fulfilled, not by obeisance to the fads and trappings of the present artificial age. Each one of us comes into the world with the potential to cause the creation of new life, life which will survive us when we are gone. That is the only immortality we can ever know and thus the only immortality deserving of everything we can give to it. Far from being some sort of badge of inferior status, a woman's motherhood then is the very acme of creative existence upon which her entire world—and our entire society—should revolve.

Let us be honest with ourselves: when a woman puts makeup on her face, what is she doing? She is trying to make herself more attractive for *mating* purposes. When she puts lipstick on her lips, what is she doing? She is trying to simulate what a woman's lips look like during *copulation*. When she shows great care for her wardrobe, it is because she is trying to look good for a potential *mate*. When a man tries to impress a woman with feats of strength, demonstrations of his wealth, or evidence of his intellect, what is he trying to do? He is trying to get into her pants

or up her skirt! That's just the way it is, though people would deny it. *Sex is the goal* of all of these displays, even if only subconsciously. That is because the very purpose of the sexes themselves is *sex*, the reproduction of the race. There is no shame involved in any of this. Rather, it is the way of the world. Just as the sexes try to impress one another for sexual purposes in the natural world, the races of men seek to do likewise. A woman's colorful mode of dress, for example, is the equivalent of a male bird's *feathers* and a man's bravado, for its part, is a kind of courtship display. That's why these things take place. Women wear skirts because men find their legs attractive, wear bras because men find firm breasts more attractive than drooping ones, and (used to) wear corsets because the hourglass figure is instinctively desired by *men* too. In our race, it so happens that sexual allurements are *shared* by the respective sexes, unlike in birds where the males alone seek to impress the females for mating purposes. In other words, men and women seek to attract *each other*. The purpose is to facilitate *breeding* whether we are conscious of it or not. So-called "fashion" is just a renaming of a far more basic drive.

Notably, the recent widespread usage of the word "gender" in regards to the *sexes* is misplaced: there are only *sexes* when it comes to biology, not "genders," and the word "gender" is itself only applicable to *grammar*, not people. Thus there are masculine, feminine, and neuter genders in various *languages*, not in people. The erroneous usage of the word "gender" has thus helped to obscure the entire issue of sex, the sexes, and what their purpose is. That may well be in fact why the sexes suddenly, out of nowhere, began to be called "genders" by the controlled news media in the early 1990's: the intent was to destroy the relationship between the sexes and sexual copulation in the minds of the people, to destroy the notion that men are men, women are women, and that their lives should naturally vary from one another accordingly. Instead, they became mere "genders" and thus assumed a quasi-artificial existence. The manipulators of the

public mind wanted to belittle the all-important issue of *sex* to that of mere “gender” and then subsequently sought to convince the people that the entire matter of sex and the sexes is *fungible*, that there really *aren’t* “sexes,” that the “genders” are interchangeable, that men can “identify” as women and women can “identify” as men, and that sexuality itself is a mere “cultural” construct. All of this was designed to weaken our race in its life and to confuse our people as to their purpose as the sexual, racial beings that they are. It is a perversion of that which is natural and normal to human life. Now we have boys who wish to use girls’ bathrooms and the like. After all, it’s just a matter of “gender,” isn’t it? No, it isn’t, but when the people are constantly propagandized to the contrary, it is inevitable that any kind of understanding for these matters will be undermined and our race will suffer from such idiocy as a result. The fact of the matter is that anyone who has a penis is a *man* and anyone who has a vagina is a *woman* and that any conception to the contrary is the *true* “cultural construct” here. Whatever sexual confusion exists in today’s world is a result of the artificial society we have devised for ourselves and the consequences which spring therefrom. It is *not* a result of Nature. Nature does *not* evince any ambiguity in such things. Foolish human beings, however, would fail to appreciate the stark fact that they alone suffer from a sexual confusion which is nowhere else to be found among the other races of life on this planet. Human beings, in their arrogance, fool themselves into thinking that human life is somehow inherently detached from all other life, that the biological principles which apply to every other living creature on earth somehow do not apply to them. That is the only way one can explain how people could be so stupid as to think that a boy could be a girl, a Larry could be a Mary, just because he thinks that that is what he is. The DNA, however, does not lie, nor is it confused. It is merely an indictment of our so-called “modern” society that any such sexual confusion, nay idiocy, exists in the first place. Reality is not determined by what people *think*. Rather, it is determined

by what genetically *is*. Anybody can be duped into believing anything. That does not, however, make it so.

Without the infliction of the Christian religion upon the White world, we can very much doubt whether sex, or nudity for that matter, would have ever been viewed as such a big deal in a negative way. It is Christianity, after all, which declared sex to be a “sin” and declared the naked human body to be “indecent.” (See e.g. I Corinthians 7:1: “It is good for a man not to have sexual relations with a woman.”) Needless to say, there are no such inhibitions about sex and nudity in the natural world; rather, literally *every* creature is naked and has sex and couldn’t care less about the existence of any so-called “moral” doctrines which would declare that to be wrong. We can ask ourselves then why the viewing of a naked human body should have any more significance than the viewing of an animal one. We can ask ourselves why being naked should be such a source of embarrassment and shame for human beings alone. We can ask ourselves what is the big deal displaying parts of a body which millions of other creatures of our race likewise possess. We can ask ourselves why sex and nakedness is such a big deal in a prurient way for us when they are so basic to the lives of every other animate creature on earth. Okay, a woman has breasts and a man doesn’t and a man has a penis and a woman doesn’t. So what? Didn’t we already know what? We can ask ourselves why the viewing of a human sexual organ should be any more problematic than the viewing of a human hand or a leg, or why the matter of human nakedness should be so uniquely fraught with such embarrassment, shame, or titillation for that matter.

On the other hand, what is important for our purposes is that it is the *concealment* of our bodies which has led to their degeneration, for who can deny that all of us would take better care of ourselves physically—and would be less tolerant of whatever defects we possess—if we had to traverse the world in the nude? The lack of clothes would actually shame us, in a positive way,



into living a more healthy existence, including our consumption of healthier foods, and that would obviously be a good thing, not bad. All of the bizarre titillation which some of our people feel today at the prospect of seeing people of the opposite sex naked would disappear in short order once naked people were everywhere; the draw of pornography, a truly bizarre perversion when the matter is given a second thought, would disappear likewise. (What creature in the natural world leers at a naked fellow member of its own kind or derives enjoyment from watching it copulate with another being? Only human beings are so strange!) Fundamentally then it is unnatural in the first place for the mere sight of a human body to invoke a prurient interest. This is because nakedness is the *rule* in Nature, not the exception. Creatures in the natural world see each other naked all the time without their going nuts about it. Copulation in the natural world does not occur because of nakedness. Rather, it occurs because of the instinctive drive to further the race in its life.

\* \* \*

It follows from what has been stated in the pages above that the validity of certain additional facets of our society must be reconsidered if we are interested in living in accordance with the values of the natural world, values which are geared to the preservation and furtherance of our racial life unlike those artificial morals, customs, laws, and habits which have been arbitrarily imposed upon us in contravention of that life. In essence, every problem—or *perceived* problem—of our world is a result of our *not* living in accordance with natural values and thus are the spawn of that error. What passes for the important issues of our day are all too often really just the product of the unnatural values which have been socially imposed upon us; we are indoctrinated to believe that this or that matters and so we do. We pile layer upon layer upon an

already faulty edifice and yet are shocked when things collapse and do not turn out as well as we think they should.

Let us begin with the problem of so-called “sexual harassment in the workplace,” a phenomenon which few people if any had ever heard of until a mere two generations ago, at most. People don’t even stop to consider *why* this perceived problem exists only now in the first place, the fact that we have driven women into the workplace where they did not, and rightly so, used to be. The solution to “sexual harassment in the workplace” is thus an obvious one: get women the hell out of the workplace! No sexual harassment of women in the workplace will occur when they have been restored to their rightful place and status within the home, nor will it occur when the racially furtherative purpose of sexual relations has been universally restored, understood, and embraced. As we have established, it is idiotic to expect men *not* to have a sexual interest in women; it is idiotic to expect men *not* to look at them with copulation in mind. Thus the putting of men and women together in a workplace was problematic from the start and it is even more problematic to seek to punish men for evincing instincts towards women which obviously come natural to them. The question is begged: just how many laws, rules, and regulations are we going to have to impose upon our people in order to de-racinate them, de-sexualize them, and otherwise force them into conduct which goes against their natural instincts and their natural selves? Furthermore, as we have stated, it is natural for our men and women to be paired off with one another much earlier than that which is occurring today and thus for that reason as well, “sexual harassment” between the sexes *anywhere* is merely an aberration of the artificial society in which we live; whatever “sexual harassment” used to occur within our race was a rare thing because our men and women were *not* working together in a close environment and would have already been “taken” by that point in marriage—and woe betide the man who would “sexually harass” a married

woman who could and would call upon her husband and male relatives for aid. It was understood that a married woman—as nearly all women were before they had hit the age of *twenty*—belonged to her *husband* and a married man belonged to his *wife*. Thus there was an acknowledged and recognized bond between our men and women as mates and spouses which made “sexual harassment” by outside parties morally, psychologically, and spatially prohibitive. The bond of matrimony *meant* something, to everybody. A man did not *want* a woman who had already been inseminated by another man; he had enough pride and esteem in himself that he was uninterested in “dining at someone else’s table.” Hence why a virginal wife actually used to *mean* something and why the bride wore *white*. There was a belief in *purity*—not only racial purity but also sexual purity—a purity which precluded interest in the kind of sexual free for all which we witness in the society of today.

Thus “sexual harassment in the workplace” is an unnatural phenomenon. Even if it were natural for women to be in a workplace—which it isn’t—they would have been paired off with their husbands—husbands whose job it is to protect them—before that participation in the workplace ever occurred. There would be no sense in “sexually harassing” a woman who has already been possessed by another man, and in any case it is her *husband* to whom she would complain were that to occur, not her “boss” and not the State. (Notably, the only natural boss for a woman is her *family*. It is her *family* that a woman works for in the natural order of things and nobody else.) Since copulation is for *breeding* purposes—having *babies*—what exactly is the point of sexually harassing a woman if you *don’t* plan on giving her children? None at all, and that would be understood in a society whose values have been realigned with those of the natural world. There is not “sexual harassment” in that natural world; rather, a female either accepts a male’s sexual overtures or sends him packing. A female’s mate does not just stand there and let

another male have his way with her; rather, he fights off all those who would deprive him of his possession. Mere words, for their part, don't mean much; there used to be a time when words—at least to a woman—mattered a whole lot less than what people *did*. We seem to have forgotten all about the old saying, “sticks and stones may break my bones but words will never hurt me.” We would be wise to recall it, but also to recall that nobody else can uphold our personal honor in the first instance but we ourselves. Being weak is *not* praiseworthy when it comes to the words we may be subjected to. We have a White Race today which coddles weakness all the way around instead of extolling *strength*.

We put unmarried men and women together in a confined space—men and women who have *suppressed* their natural, instinctive drive to breed offspring during their teens as they are genetically driven to do—and yet are surprised when the men make sexual overtures to the women and vice versa. How stupid is that? Every creature only does what it is compelled to do, according to its inherent character, in the (artificial) situation in which it finds itself; the imposition of arbitrary rules upon it can only go so far in modifying that conduct which comes naturally to it. We can suppress the natural instincts of our men and women in order to make them conform to an artificial order of things but what is the benefit of that exactly? What is punished today will only have to be punished again tomorrow and on and on. A woman wears a short skirt and wonders why a man is looking at her bottom half and not her top; she complains that he has “objectified” her when she has, of course, “objectified” herself in a society which has shunned any form of nakedness which *isn't* for titillation purposes and where our people are generally denied the naked human form which comes naturally to them as a matter of course. There is nothing wrong with that of course—that the woman has objectified herself—but let us be honest with ourselves: the woman *wants* to be looked at, even if that sentiment on her part is only subconsciously

(instinctively) held. Suppressing our natural instincts and desires only forces them into unnatural, unwelcome channels which fail to further the race in its struggle for life. Instead we have the thwarting of the purpose for why sex exists in the first place. The day will come when it will be recognized that nearly all aberrant behavior in this world—no matter what it may be—is merely a result of our failing to embrace the values of a natural life. To deny or postpone a natural drive for an indefinite period of time is to mutilate it into something else.

We can see then why the failure to appreciate the purpose for why sexual relations exist at all—the furtherance of the *race*—has negative consequences, for if you do not recognize the *purpose* of something, you will quite likely *misuse* it too. If people do not understand that sex exists so that the race may be *furthered*, for example, it is little to be wondered at that they will waste their time “sexually harassing” people with whom they have no interest or intention whatever of breeding new racial life (offspring), use “contraception” when they do copulate, think that the abortion (slaughter) of their children is a matter of mere personal choice, and fail to choose a mate for the purpose of good *breeding* which is the only sensible way in which our intelligence and sophistication as human beings may be employed for a sexual purpose in the first place. It is little to be wondered at that people do not understand their own sexual feelings, or misinterpret them if they do, or that they engage in “marriages” in which the race is neither furthered nor *intended* to be furthered. No, none of these things are surprising at all. People fail to understand that the reason for love is racial furtherance, the reason for lust is racial furtherance, the reason for jealousy is racial furtherance, and likewise with every other feeling or emotion under the sun when it comes to the intrinsic sexual impulses which we harbor. We want to *breed* so that we may live on in a genetic sense. It is silly then to condemn these sentiments and impulses in themselves; the most that can be said is that they are today being routinely misapplied. “Sexual harassment” is

itself nonsensical in a natural scheme of things, for in the natural world the prospective mate is *won over*, not “harassed.”

As for sexual *assault*, what is first important to understand, as always, is that all of us are meant to breed with our chosen mates after the onset of *puberty*, not wait years and even decades later. Thus, in a natural scheme of things, there is no such thing as unfulfilled, shunted aside sexual desire, unfulfilled sexual desire which manifests itself as sexual assault. Sexual assault is, in other words, the product of sexual impulses which have failed to be satisfied; it is unnatural for a man to *want* to assault a woman when he already has a mate who fulfills his racially furtherative sexual impulses. To be sure, there are men who possess genetic anomalies which cause them to exhibit deviant behavior but that is a different matter; the solution there is to weed out those genetic defects within the race so that such deviants will no longer exist. Otherwise though, the solution to sexual assault is the early marrying of our population, the embrace of sex for its racially *furtherative* purpose and condemnation of its usage for *hedonistic* purposes, and our attack upon the entire individualistic way of looking at the world generally. Since the entire point of sexual copulation is the breeding of *children*, there is no point in sexually assaulting someone with whom you do not plan on having children. Abortion, for its part, can be used as a corrective measure to discourage those very, very few men who would commit rape in an effort to sire a child while a simple slap in the face is the natural response to those who would, more innocently, place their hands merely where they are unwanted; we should *not* always be looking to the laws of the State for our protection when every creature has an innate right of its own to defend and uphold its dignity and its honor. Women are *not* the helpless creatures that society has made them out to be. Every woman rather has the obligation to resist her assaulter with all of the vehemence which the situation in question demands; otherwise she has no cause for complaint afterwards for what has occurred.

The criminal code, for its part, must punish the clear, resisted, violent rape of a woman with absolute ruthlessness—not because her feelings have been hurt so much as because violent copulation against a woman’s will fails to ensure the creation of a racially furtherative member of our White Race in any respect. Both she and society are deprived of the prerogative to choose and tailor her breeding in a racially furtherative manner; the odds are strong that if she had to be taken by force, the man in question is *not* a being beneficial to a superior humanity. Furthermore, a woman can hardly be expected to share a life with her rapist as his wife when she had no say in her copulation with him in the first place. The only natural breeding rather is the breeding of *choice*. Throughout the natural world, creatures *choose* their mates thus enabling selection of the best specimens, generation by generation. Willy-nilly breeding, in its literal sense, fails to further a race, at least among human life where there is more at issue than raw physical strength standing alone; a dumb brute may well be able to overpower a woman and copulate with her against her will but that does not mean that we as White people want more dumb brutes in our gene pool. Rather, among White human life—life that is filled with creative genius and the idealistic pursuit of greatness—only conscious, deliberate, selective, and educative breeding can be relied upon to accomplish that racial furtherance. It must be two-sided, not one-sided, and it must be voluntary and mutually desired in order for the progeny to be a harmonious being at peace with itself and its world.

Only in this way, with this understanding, can “sexual assault” be made a thing of the past. It does not occur hardly at all in a society where people are educated to embrace a normal, naturalistic view of sexual relations and where a man is truly the *husband* of his wife from a relatively young age. When a society cares about *breeding*, instead of anarchic individualism, as the purpose of sex—and the breeding of a superior humanity at that—sexual assault is like an

empty sail devoid of wind. A man and his wife are truly one flesh, committed to the creation of new life which is an improvement upon their individual selves; sex does not occur outside of that racially furtherative context and thus neither does sexual assault. Instead of education for being a good “consumer,” we thus need education for good *breeding*: that our youth will find suitable mates early on, understand what those mates are for, and that those mates will remain true to one another their entire lives in common purpose for the furtherance of their kind. That is more important than economic considerations or the acquisition of abstract knowledge for its own sake. Instead of a free for all of sexual licentiousness or sentimental romantic delusion—things which only lead in the long run to individual and racial disappointment, decline, defeat, and death—we need a *purpose* in mind for the most basic function of our very existence and education for that purpose. That purpose is none other than the devoted and conscious furtherance of the *race* as we have stated.

It follows from the above that the only conceivable justification for allowing the women of our race to terminate their pregnancies is when those pregnancies do *not* further the race for one reason or another—such as disease or defect—though one could certainly argue that the practice of “abortion” is unnatural altogether since the culling of substandard, inferior beings only takes place *after* birth in the natural world, not before. “Abortion” (infanticide) can thus only conceivably be justified in those situations where the fetus is of mixed (destroyed) race, where it possesses (other) genetic defects, where it is incurably diseased, where it has been otherwise damaged in the womb by the drug habits of its mother, or where its termination is necessary to deter the practice of rape which would contribute otherwise to the creation of racially detrimental beings. Otherwise, the idea that a woman has the innate “choice” as to whether to carry her voluntarily created child to term is kind of a sad *joke*; her choice was already made rather when



she engaged in voluntary copulation with a member of the opposite sex. Fundamentally, if a woman does not want to get pregnant, she should not copulate since pregnancy is, after all, the foreseeable result of copulation. The same can be said of countless other activities which people can engage in: don't rollick among poison ivy plants if you don't want poison ivy, don't eat a lot of candy if you don't want cavities in your teeth, and don't eat unnatural acidic foods if you don't want heartburn, for example. That which happens does not happen by accident; it is a result of what we do. If you don't want the result of something—or potential result—don't do it, or express bewilderment that the result has indeed occurred. Marriage without children is, for its part, nonsensical for that is of course the point of the exercise in the first place. There is, however, one caveat to that: when a man or woman proves to be sterile during the course of that marriage, there are only two possible explanations for that situation—either genetic or environmental in nature—and people who are genetically sterile *shouldn't* have children since sterility exists in creatures for a *reason*: because they are genetically defective in some way and *shouldn't* pass on those genes. It is not always possible to know why exactly a particular being cannot bear or sire children but in any case the body is not somehow lying or wrong when it denies the organism that capability. Rather, there must be a sound physical justification for that sterility: either the organism bears some sort of genetic defect that it does not want to pass on to its offspring or the organism is perhaps living an environmentally destructive life—such as its consumption of a bad diet, for example—which prevents it from reproducing to the potential detriment of both the offspring and his race. The reproductive capability of the organism shuts down so as to prevent the creation of offspring who have become genetically, environmentally, or biologically compromised in some way. The defect is thus not the sterility itself but rather those conditions which made that sterility necessary for the health of the race. This is why, notably enough, the incidence of sterility has

increased so rapidly within our White Race the past several decades: our race is living such a destructive life today in all of the facets of its existence that it has little choice but to cut down on the reproductive capability of its members so as to cut down on the damage to the gene pool. The race wishes to *protect* itself and thus shuts down the reproduction of beings which could prove harmful to it in some manner.

The attempt to claim a supposed “right” to kill one’s own healthy and normal fetus in the womb—on the basis that it has not been born *yet*—must, for its part, be deemed indefensible since it obviously *would* be born were it to be left alone; it is no argument at all to say that a healthy living creature may be morally killed *now* when it *cannot* be morally killed later; if it is wrong to kill a five month old healthy *child*, it must be wrong to kill a five month old healthy *fetus*, quite simply. It is still a living, growing, valuable member of our race, a member of our race which was created by the voluntary act of its parents, doing what they were *supposed* to do: propagate themselves. It will not do for them to try to cancel out the natural result of their activities now that they have literally born fruit, especially since our race *needs* its sons and daughters. The operative fact rather is the health and *value* of the fetus or child to our kind, not the supposed “right” of its parents to frustrate the furtherance of that kind. Furthermore, the idea that a fetus does not feel pain—and may therefore be killed without it feeling pain—is ridiculous and defies common sense in its face. *Of course* it feels pain, as does every other living, animate creature whether born or unborn. The fetus merely lacks the ability to express itself to others since it dwells within the body of another being. We can thank the inane self-delusion of our times for the fact that countless millions of our kind have been killed off in the womb simply because their mothers could pretend to themselves that there was no suffering involved; just because they could not hear their babies scream or see them grimace or wince while they were being dismembered and torn apart within

their mothers' bodies by the so-called abortion "doctor," our people have duped themselves into thinking that no such traumatic violence takes place. That though is absurd; just because you cannot see or hear something does not mean that it does not exist, especially when it concerns what is going on within one's own body. Every creature feels physical pain as a means of preserving itself, for when it is harmed by another creature the pain serves to motivate it to try to escape that situation if it can. What makes the situation of the fetus unique then is that it has no means whatever of escaping its assailant, not that it is incapable of feeling the pain that is meted out to it at the behest of its own mother. That, incidentally, is what makes cursory, non-eugenic "abortion" all the more heinous: the healthy offspring of our race is harmed at the behest of the very person who should love, treasure, and defend it the most: its mother.

Routine elective abortion is thus a sickness, a product of a sick and disturbed age. It is the will of a race to *destroy* itself instead of preserve itself; it is a form of racial suicide, a refutation of life itself. A woman who has voluntarily copulated has no more right to have her unborn *fetus* killed than she has a right to have her already born *child* killed; only if *the race* has determined that a racially dysgenic being would be born to the race otherwise could the practice of abortion ever be justified but that would of course be due to *eugenic* considerations, not merely because the mother views the burgeoning child within her womb as an inconvenience to her personal life or wishes to evade the natural consequences of her own willful actions. Abortion would thus only be used in that instance as a means of *assisting* the meaning of life: the furtherance of the *race*, not only in its life itself but in the quality of that life. It would be a corrective to a process which has gone horribly wrong, that instead of the race being *furthered* with the new life, it would be harmed. That again is the only way for a practice that is unnatural and racially destructive on its face to be justified. Far from being a personal decision, it would be the decision of a race to prevent the

addition of dysgenic life to its ranks, life which sets it *backward*, not forward. If a child were certain to be born with half a brain for example, it would be foolhardy to bring that child to term. We need *eugenic* life, not dysgenic life. Allowing dysgenic beings to be born causes, of course, the race to become dysgenic likewise within the course of time. The only natural alternative to the abortion of dysgenic beings would be to allow them to be abandoned and exposed after birth as was done prior to the advent of the Christian religion, an action that may or may not be the preferable course of action in a State which is devoted to the furtherance of our White Race. It is questionable, however, whether women should be forced to carry to term beings which they *know* are dysgenic only for them to have to expose them upon birth.

Needless to say, the laws of the current society must be changed regarding all of this but that is already par for the course for all those who would like the laws of society and the values of the natural world to coincide, all to the preservation and advancement of the race. The values of the natural world are obviously more worthy of our respect and love than are the mere enactments of men, men who, by their ignorance of those values, only lower the race through their actions instead of raise it. Those men thwart the progress that was meant to be ours by Nature herself and send our race hurtling backwards through the eons of time to a more primitive, inferior state of being—assuming that a White Race riddled with disease, defects, and other disorders had ever existed at a previous time in history at all. The bottom line is this: the values of the society of today coddle—and thus enable—a rapidly degenerating stock whereas the values of the natural world waste no time in stamping such degeneration out. It thus behooves us to embrace *natural* values and discard all those values which make the degeneration—and destruction—of our White Race possible. Far more misery is bestowed upon the world by those who would seek to thwart the operation of natural values within the lives of men than by letting them take their course. It

makes far more sense to try to prevent the breeding of dysgenic life in the first place than to pamper, subsidize, and proliferate it within the entire race with all of the misery and degeneration which that entails.

Thus we can say this: a woman's body is not "hers," nor is a man's body "his." We all belong rather to our *race* and cannot act legitimately without regard to the *consequences* to that race. None of us has the right to kill the well-born members of that race; the race rather has the right to protect those members. All of us are mere vessels of a life that will continue long after we ourselves have met our personal doom. Thus we have an obligation to that life, being obliged to protect it and make it better. Sometimes that requires us to be hard—both to ourselves as well as others—but there is no cause more deserving of that hardness for what is at stake is nothing less than the attainment of a happier, healthier, and greater humanity and a well-nigh paradise on earth.

It is noteworthy that the most outspoken advocates for abortion on demand have always been incredibly homely *Jewesses*, individuals who would themselves in all likelihood never be inseminated by a man in the first place but who are, quaintly enough, hot to trot to encourage the beautiful women of *our* race to kill their unborn children as much as possible. It does not take a rocket scientist to understand why Jews would behave in such a fashion: being their natural rival for power, they want to cause the destruction of the White Race if they can. So, they encourage conduct and attitudes which are destructive to our race as much as they can, whether we are talking about abortion, race mixing, homosexuality, "feminism," White guilt and self-hatred, or any and every other practice or attitude which weakens us as a race in its life. It is not some kind of coincidence then that Jews have always been the driving force behind the "pro-choice" movement which has resulted in the slaughter of millions of healthy, normal White babies in their mothers' wombs, any more than it is some kind of coincidence that they have instigated wars again and

again between the White nations of the world. After all, the results are the same: a lot of dead White people. The mass abortion of today just kills us off even quicker than did the Jewish-inspired wars of the past is all. Instead of causing the death mainly of our men alone in the prime of their lives, both our men and women are killed off before they have even left the womb and thus before they have had any chance to live (and propagate themselves) at all. Abortion is thus a far more effective means of eliminating our race than war happens to be. Not being (soft) Christians, the Jews realize that that is a sensible policy: *for them*. Plus, war destroys a lot of property unlike abortion, and naturally the Jews would like to acquire that property in the future if they can rather than eliminate our racial stock along with its property too. Jews do *not* support so-called “abortion rights” because they are liberal-minded people; rather, Jews are liberal-minded people—if one can call it that—because so-called “liberalism” destroys their hated rival: the White Race. It is an instinctive quality of the Jewish race, fortified by the religion which it has created for itself, to seek the weakening of and indeed destruction of all those who would stand in the way of its domination of the world. Those who would deny that assertion need only examine the historical record of Jewish conduct regarding the non-Jewish nations of the world as well as what the Old Testament and The Talmud have to say. In any event, when a Jewess appears on the television set practically foaming at the mouth about how terrible it is that somebody somewhere is supposedly trying to take away “a woman’s right to choose,” we would do well to *consider the source* and ask ourselves why it is that the Jewess happens to be so passionate about the issue.

As for the children of our race which *do* manage to be born, the requirement that unwed mothers be paid “child support” by their fathers has only encouraged sexual promiscuity and weakened the family unit; it is important rather that unwed mothers *not* receive any “child support” if our women are to be encouraged to be selective in their choice of mates and do their breeding

within the bounds of the marital bond. Thus “child support” is a totally counterproductive policy. Women would be far more careful with whom they copulate were the fathers of the ensuing children *not* required to pay them child support—which of course was the way things worked for countless thousands of years—instead of the situation we have at present whereby they are casually giving themselves to men of inferior character and worth outside of wedlock with the prospect of “child support” being forthcoming from the man should things go wrong in their relationship. It is important rather that unwed women *not* believe that child support will be given to them should they get pregnant; that way they will be far more insistent on marriage which is the *true* “child support.” So-called “child support”—requiring men by law to pay women money with whom they have bred children out of wedlock—is thus *destructive* to the institution of marriage, not constructive, and should be abolished; women should be copulating with their husbands and *only* their husbands, not men who have *not* pledged themselves to them for life. *Marriage* is the only obligation which the law should recognize here, not a halfway scenario which is unfair to both the man and the child alike; the reason why a married man has obligations to his wife and children is that they are *his*, bearing his name. It is nonsensical then for a man to have obligations to a woman who is *not* his whether they ended up spawning a child together or not. Women, for their part, cheapen themselves when they copulate with a man who will *not* call them “wife.” They need to be far more *choosy*, both for their own sake and for the sake of their children who need to be conceived in purposeful, responsible, and far-sighted *love* instead of the carefree *lust* of the moment, *love for the creation of new life for their White Race*. *In other words, copulation needs to be a deliberative effort—by both the man and the woman—to further their kind in its life*. There is a form of copulation, breeding, love, and marriage which makes sense and which drives our race forward. *There is a form of copulation, breeding, love, and marriage which we can all be proud*

of. We have an end in mind and both man and woman are devoted to that end. Instead of pregnancy being an “accident” or a “mistake” for our couples, it is rather our hope, our drive, and our *will* to create new life for our kind and to cultivate it to ever greater heights.

Sexual anarchy, on the other hand, results in *racial* anarchy, a lack of knowledge of and faith in one’s own roots, one’s own heredity, and one’s own family. It also obscures the incredible importance of *breeding* in a race whereby the race loses sight of the fact that the purpose of copulation is *racial furtherance*, not pleasure for its own sake. Our men and women must become psychologically *committed* to one another again, not because of what is in it for each of them personally but because they understand that it is their duty as White people to create new life for their kind and because their spouse is the one they have chosen to do that with. Copulation thus needs to be viewed once again as a *serious* activity, not as a frivolous one. Our passions have a *purpose*: the furtherance of our kind. A woman allows herself to be inseminated by a man because he is her *husband* whose duty it is to take care of her, not a “boyfriend” who has no such duty and who can be discarded next week for another. A man gives his name to his wife and child as a symbol of the fact that they belong to *him* and that what they do *reflects* on him. A woman needs to know that if she gets pregnant outside of wedlock, she *won’t* get child support; that way she will demand marriage from her man before any such pregnancy-producing copulation takes place. There is no such thing therefore as a “deadbeat dad” per se, only the failure of both the father and the mother to behave in such a way that is racially furtherative: a man only deserves to have his way with a woman if he has pledged himself to her as her husband and the woman only deserves his support in her childbearing and child raising if she has consented to be his alone. That’s just common sense, if nothing else.



Since it is man's instinct to inseminate at practically all costs—sometimes even risking his own life to do so—it is *woman's* job to resist that by demanding that any such insemination take place within the bonds of matrimony whereby the man has a *duty* to her and their potential children. That is because only the *man* is physiologically *driven* to copulate while woman is not. It thus befalls upon *her* to put a brake upon a drive which she herself does not possess (women are obviously not physiologically driven to emit seed). While it may be quaint for the current society to declare that a man can, should, and must halt his sexual advances the moment a woman says “no,” that is easier said than done to anyone who knows anything at all about male physiology. It is therefore important for woman not to place herself in that situation with a man not her husband in the first place; at a certain point a man is *not* responsible for his actions because they are quite simply beyond his control. Traditional wisdom has always understood this, and counseled women accordingly; the onus of restraint falls upon the *woman*, not the man, because she is not *impelled* to have sex the way a man is. As we have said, it is the *man* who is driven to spawn new life while it is the woman who is driven to sustain the life that has been born; thus it is *her* responsibility, in keeping with her nature, to make sure that new life is spawned only when the man is bound to her as her husband, a relationship blessed by their respective families and by the racial community itself. That, incidentally, is why people have traditionally been invited to express their opposition to a particular marriage at the wedding ceremony (“if anyone present should have cause to believe that these two should *not* be joined together, let him speak now or forever hold his peace”); it was recognized that the community *does* have a stake in the matter.

There is a reason why this is the way things used to be: it worked. There has to be a *balance* between the roles, powers, and rights of men and women or everything will fall apart as it has. Women have a duty to *protect* their chastity, not throw it to the winds. They should *not*

give it up cheaply, to men who refuse to devote their lives to them and the offspring which may grow within their wombs. A child needs to know that his mother is loyal to the man with whom his mother created him, and to know that his father does not give his seed—his genes—to any other woman in the creation of life. Random breeding does *not* further our race because if it did, we would have evolved for that random breeding instead of to the contrary; selective breeding, planned breeding, *controlled* breeding, is evidently advantageous to our racial life. Our race is *furthered* by our children knowing who their fathers are. Our race is *furthered* by our men and women being bound to one another—morally, psychologically, physically, and legally; the State should *never* interfere with that marital relationship, even if called upon to do so by one of the parties, because that destroys the spirit of intimacy and trust upon which the union of husband and wife rests. (The State should *not* respond to domestic disputes.) Our race is *furthered* when there is both a mother and father under their children’s roof. Our race is *furthered* by our men and women finding a mate and sticking to her or him throughout the vicissitudes of life. Our race is *furthered* by the sexual energy in our men and women being devoted exclusively to one person. Our race is *furthered* by the recognition that *the purpose of marriage is the regulation of the creation of new life, and preparation of that new life to create new life in its turn*. Our race is *weakened* by the erosion of all of the foregoing. It is not some kind of coincidence that our racial decline is occurring most dramatically at the same time that the family unit has been broken up, copulation has become a mere hedonistic pursuit, the sexes have become androgynous and have assumed each other’s roles throughout society, and test tube babies have become an acceptable norm. That is because all of these things are *unnatural* and a race can only endure under the say of *natural* values, not artificial ones. When a race has become atomized into its mere component parts, “individuals” who act in a haphazard manner without any regard at all for the basic truths of

their own biology, instincts, traditions, and that mode of living pursued by every other natural creature upon the face of the earth, it is little to be wondered at that the race breaks up and disintegrates the way that we are witnessing today with our White Race.

While it is true that not every tradition of our people has a racially furtherative basis and that those which don't must be disposed of accordingly, those traditions which *do* have a racially furtherative basis must be upheld and justified on that ground. If we are to be true to the values of the natural world, it is that which *further*s our race which must always be pursued, and that is so whether it does or does not correspond with abstract notions of justice in a racially free sense as justice for a race can only be that which *further*s that race in its life whether we are talking about its culture, genes, biology, morals, or any other facet of that life. The end of our racial furtherance must always be the yardstick for our every action, every value, and every law that we would pursue, and that which all of the other creatures of this world do for the furtherance of their own respective kinds as a matter of sheer instinct must be, for us, both a matter of instinct as well as a matter of our *reflection* as the sapient beings that we are, our being sapient enough to figure out what benefits our race and what does not and to choose the former in everything we think and do. Since we are able to defy our instincts with our reasoning ability unlike the rest of the creatures of this world, we must make sure that we employ that reasoning ability in such a way that our actions indeed *further* our race as happens with the other creatures as a matter of course due to their possession of instinct alone.

The ability of men to reason thus imposes a special burden upon them: to see to it that their reasoning ability does not *impede* the furtherance of their race but rather *fulfills* it, and thus see to it that their reasoning ability *fulfills* the values of the natural world instead of thwarting those values. Men must make sure that their ability to reason *complements* their instinctive drive to

further their own kind instead of contradicting that drive. What comes to the other creatures of the world instinctively alone must thus come to us both instinctively *and* as a matter of our reasoned judgment. Our instincts are complemented by the reasoning power which we possess. Reason alone cannot guide a race in the conduct of its life because it lacks any direction whatever in its own right; for *what* exactly do we employ our reason, the question may be asked? The instinct of every race to *further* itself, on the other hand, provides the direction to our reason which it needs. Human life is above animal life because no animal can think at the level at which Man can think, nor create what Man can create. That does not mean, however, that Man should allow his better brain to cause the destruction of his own kind, the situation which we face so manifestly today with our poor, befuddled, distracted, and debilitated White Race. Anybody can “reason” anything but if reason is to be a constructive faculty for the race which possesses it and not a destructive one, it must *assist* the instincts of that race to further itself in this world as those instincts do with every other race of creature as a matter of course. In sum, both our instincts as well as our *brains* must be employed in the cause of our racial furtherance for that furtherance to in fact occur; we cannot afford for our *brains* to suppress and defeat those racially furtherative instincts which we have in common with every other living creature; we cannot afford for our *brains* to cancel out those instincts which are so necessary to the furtherance of our racial life. That may seem obvious by this juncture but the point cannot be stressed enough.

What sort of attitude then about sex and the sexes furthers our race? We can ask (and answer) that question when it comes to a countless array of specific topics but in any event it is a question which must indeed be asked instead of letting the matter play out in every direction as a result of the myriad number of abstract thoughts which may enter our brains. To put it another way, just because somebody can “reason” something out doesn’t mean that that reasoning furthers

our race the slightest in its life; rather, our reasoning ability must coincide with our racially furtherative *instincts* in order for that ability to be beneficial to our race at all. Anybody can be induced to think *anything* but that doesn't make it good, right, or just for our kind in the furtherance of its life. Anybody can be induced to think that men and women are the same, *should* be the same, should *do* the same, or whatever for example but such thinking ignores the difference of *functions* which is integral to the different sexes of the races of creatures upon this earth. If men were the ones who had wombs for instance, *they* would be the ones whose job it would be to bear the children of our race as well as nurture and cultivate that life. The *bearing* of life thus creates a special *responsibility* to that life which is not and *cannot* be present where the sex which (merely) did the insemination is at issue; a man does *not* have the same duties to his child as its mother does. By the same token, men have a special responsibility to fight physically for their families and their race because, of the two sexes, men are the ones who bear the advantage of physical strength. To be sure, we have witnessed the great leveling of the roles, societal functions, and powers of the respective sexes the past several decades but that only illustrates the sickness of our times, times which would divest themselves entirely of a natural mode of living in favor of an idiotic "equality" dogma which has no relationship whatever to the reality of that which occurs in a state of Nature. It is only natural that the sex which produces and *carries* the offspring within its bodies for nine months will have a closer relationship with that offspring than the sex which set that process in *motion*, true, but which is not so absorbed in the development of the new being. Thus the laws of the State have usually recognized the primacy of the mother's rights as far as the custody of her children is concerned. A woman will always feel her child to be more a *part* of her than a man will for this reason: that her child really *was* a part of her at one time. The child leaves her body

but the biological memory of its presence there remains. The man has genetic affinity with his child but he obviously did not carry it and nourish it with his blood!

The more that the sexes become indistinguishable from one another in their appearance, their ambitions, and their societal functions, the more we can expect our race to dwindle and degenerate within its life. A manly man is not interested in breeding with a manly woman. A womanly woman is not interested in breeding with a womanly man. It is little wonder then that the more manly our women become, the more our men deign to seek sexual satisfaction elsewhere and vice versa; only by being faithful to the intrinsic nature of each sex can our race receive the benefits which come from their mutual and complementary existence and hence evolve to a higher level. Evolutionary progress does not occur when creatures defy their true nature as the living beings that they are. Rather it is necessary that they fulfill their forms and functions as natural creatures regardless of the propaganda which others may wish to mete out to them in their stead. Our men must find the strength to be *men* again. Our women must regain the self-regard which comes from knowing that, if anything, their role on this earth is even *more* important to the future of our race than that of our men, but only so long as they fulfill those functions which make them *different* from men, not the same. It is necessary for each sex to withdraw from those spheres of life which do not belong to it in order to advance in those spheres which *do* belong to it. The silliness of pretending that each sex is just another version of the other must come to an end. Everything which tends to make the sexes more alike to one another must be abandoned. Everything which distinguishes and specializes them must be embraced instead.

It makes little sense, for example, to push women into competitive sports because the fact of the matter is that such sports condition a woman's body to become more like that of a *man*. The more athletic our women become, the more *manly* they become, and the more manly they become,

the less *female* they become. It is not some kind of coincidence that the more our women develop muscle through their engagement in such sports, the more they begin to acquire the sexual attributes, as well as interests, of *men*; in other words, that they become *lesbian*. Rather, the only thing that is surprising is that people could expect anything else to occur when our women are engaging in activities which are simply not in their *nature*. It is undeniable that the incidence of lesbianism increases the more that our women take on the attitudinal and physical attributes of *men*; not all same sex attraction is inborn but rather can also be acquired by virtue of an unnatural *lifestyle*. It is possible for the confusion of sexual *roles* to result in the confusion of sexual *attraction* and that is all homosexuality is in the final analysis. It should not be surprising then that those women who develop masculine bodies should end up becoming sexually attracted to the same sex as *men* are attracted to. After all, with the continual increase in testosterone and decrease of estrogen within their bodies, those bodies end up developing attraction for what they subconsciously erroneously *perceive* to be the opposite sex; sexual attraction is thus more of a matter of *body* than it is of conscious mind. Anybody who would want to cut down on racially unproductive lesbianism within our White Race should therefore want to keep the level of testosterone low within our women through their non-participation in competitive sports, or any other activity which increases that testosterone for that matter. The fact of the matter is that competitive sports are, by their very nature, manly pursuits which require *male* sex hormones for success. Therefore the entrance of women into competitive sports was foolish to begin with from a natural, racial perspective. The woman who prevails in competitive sports is forced to acquire the physical attributes of a *man* in order to do that; muscle and *sex* go hand in hand whether anybody would want to admit that fact or not. A woman with legs like tree trunks and “abs of steel” is a perversion of nature and it is little to be wondered at that other perversions may flow

from that unnatural physical state, whether it be lesbianism, hostility or indifference to childbearing, or any other rejection of the natural attributes of her sex. It is actually those who would push women into aerobics and competitive sports who are denigrating women, for they are attacking their true feminine nature by doing so. They are saying that testosterone is more worthwhile a hormone than estrogen is when that is simply not the case. Rather, a race needs both hormones in equal measure if the breeding of its next generation is to be facilitated best: our men as men, our women as women, with each sex attracted to the other because it is *not* what it itself is. That is why all of these matters are important to those interested in the preservation of their White Race in this world; for we must combat all those influences and forces which would discourage the breeding of our sons and daughters whether they be physical, psychological, societal, or environmental in nature. It will thus not do for us to address only one facet of the predicament in which we find ourselves. Rather, every facet of today's world which is leading to our racial decline and destruction must be combatted and ultimately removed from the roster of those vices which are leading to that downfall. Anything and everything which cuts down on the eugenic *breeding* of our kind is our enemy, the preservation and advancement of our genetic stock upon this earth. *That* is our highest value, a value shared by every other form of life upon this planet, not those "values" postulated by fools, con-artists, and idiots in ignorance of the world around them.

Related to the problem of women in competitive sports as outlined above is the fact that they become infertile once their body fat has decreased to a certain level. In other words, women cannot get pregnant if their bodies become too muscular and that situation, as with lesbianism, must be scorned and despised by all those who desire the preservation of their White Race. Though lesbianism and infertility are not the same as one another per se, they *do* have a related basis: the



female body has been thrown off kilter in some way by the artificial society in which it lives. Thus it cannot be surprising that some lesbians are infertile and that some infertile women have a tendency towards lesbianism. Rather, the more we are, as a race, divorced from a natural mode of living, the more do our natural, biological functions and capabilities shut down. *The question, as always, is what mode of living furthers our racial life* and obviously our racial life is not furthered when our women are *not* having children due to whatever reason. The desire of our women to be like men must thus be *resisted*, not cultivated, and that obviously goes likewise for the desire of our men to be like *women*. Testosterone is the mark of *masculinity* and estrogen is the mark of *femininity*. A healthy race has no desire to conflate the two within its male and female members. Rather, it seeks their distinction and *divergence* within its different sexes.

Fundamentally, women are *supposed* to have more body fat than men. They are *supposed* to have broader hips, a bust, and softer flesh. That are *supposed* to use their bodies in a feminine way instead of a masculine one. They were never meant to look like men from either direction. However, when they are duped by “equality” propaganda, all of that begins to change; the emulation of function results in a progressive change of *form* within the female sex, one that negatively affects the drive for our racial preservation. Just as it is not some kind of coincidence that hyper-athleticism in women results in increased infertility and increased lesbianism—due to the fact that athleticism is fundamentally masculine by its very nature—it is not some kind of coincidence that the incidence of breast and ovarian cancer increases with the non-usage of those organs the way that Nature had intended: for the creation and nursing of *children*, in other words. It also turns out that the women who bear the most children are the healthiest, *not* those who would spare themselves the effort and especially those who would pop birth control pills for years on end in order to defy the natural function of their own bodies. Again and again we see that it is the

masculine, *non*-maternal woman who has the shorter life span, for the human body always does its best when it is performing the function allotted to it by its specific *nature* and *not* the functions of the opposite sex. One can almost weep when one considers all of the damage which has been done to the female sex of our race the past few generations by that propaganda which would teach them that only a man's life has value. It is not too late, however, for that demeaning message to be overthrown and its consequences reversed. What some would perhaps think is etched in stone is anything but. A superior consciousness and understanding can be obtained.

With cosmetic plastic surgery on the other hand, we have a bit of an opposite problem: our women wishing to make themselves *more* womanly—something that is unobjectionable in itself—but the *means* they are employing can and will have deleterious consequences likewise for the race. The basic problem is this: the augmented breasts, hips, and buttocks which women acquire through plastic surgery, whether tastefully done and aesthetically pleasing or not, are not passed on to their progeny and yet whatever breeding takes place by these women takes place upon the psychological premise that it will. In other words, the breeding takes place on false pretenses: the man has been genetically duped into breeding with someone who does *not* have the genetic characteristics advertised. Rather, he has been deceived by the artificial window dressing of the cosmetic plastic surgery, to the detriment of his stock. When a man breeds with a woman who has “breast implants” for example, he is *not* getting what he bargained for in a genetic sense as the woman in question is actually flat-chested and it is *that* trait which will be passed down from the mother to his offspring accordingly. We breed as a result of sexual *desire* and yet the sexual desire here has been induced by artificial means; there is no telling whether the man in question would have selected the same mate had she appeared before him as she actually is. (Thus the man has still been “duped” even if he is aware that the surgery has taken place.) What's more, flat-

chedness in our women can only increase within our gene pool as a racial characteristic when it has been successfully hidden during mate selection; displeasing or undesirable physical and racial characteristics are more easily passed on and multiplied within our gene pool when they have been successfully masked by a surgeon's knife. On the other hand, individuals with such characteristics breed *less* when those characteristics are fully exposed for all to see and that is exactly what we want. By means of analogy, if every ugly person were to have a face lift and become "beautiful" in the process—on the surface anyway—we could expect our race to become progressively uglier with each generation since those ugly people would breed more than they otherwise would. (Ugly people are inherently less sexually desirable; hence why they are referred to as "ugly.") It is important for eugenic purposes rather that each creature appear exactly as it is since concealed negative traits are proliferated throughout the gene pool otherwise. Hence cosmetic (not restorative) plastic surgery should be outlawed in any State which would want the race to be furthered in its life in accordance with the values of the natural world. If we do not want our race to become progressively uglier, more malformed and deformed, and less eugenic and aesthetically pleasing in general with the passage of time, whatever blemishes we have must be displayed openly so that they are *not* unwittingly passed on from generation to generation and are gradually weeded out instead. *By displaying our defects instead of hiding them—say buck teeth, for example—we will be selected less for breeding purposes than those who are free from such defects and that is as it should be for a race which is devoted not only to its betterment in this world but also to truth and reality itself.* We have a right to know that our mates are exactly as they appear to be so that our offspring will be that which we bargained for. The preservation of beauty in Man depends upon that.

As things stand, the only reason why countless men and women are sexually attracted to a certain person in the first place is that the person in question has obscured his or her true physical characteristics (even makeup on women's faces is bad for our race in that regard). Thus, without the misrepresentation, the breeding in question would not have occurred. If one breeds with a person with open and obvious negative physical traits, that is one thing since a free and voluntary choice was made, but to conceal and hide those traits in order to procure a breeding which would not have occurred otherwise? That is simply unconscionable. Nor is it a matter merely of the unwitting passing on of negative physical traits; it is also the erroneous coupling of our men and women in general, for each male and female needs its pairing with that member of the opposite sex which is most physiologically well-suited to him or her and that can only occur when there is total honesty about what he or she *is*. Genetic testing can and should be employed to reveal some of those traits which do not meet the eye, the rest being revealed by observing the *relatives* of one's potential mate. We must always remember that each individual is the product of *all* of his or her ancestors and thus physical traits which do not reveal themselves in a given person may still evince themselves in that person's *offspring*.

Men and women of character and conscience can only condemn the rampant dishonesty which marks the breeding of our kind today; by no means are men exempt from that condemnation in light of the fact that they too are utilizing plastic surgery to obfuscate their defects in growing numbers and are otherwise willing to lie in order to acquire their desired mate. Here though is an example of where we must not allow our capacity for reason—and high intellectual capability in general—to thwart the furtherance of our kind which would occur on its own were matters left alone; no matter what inventions we may be able to create for ourselves that may improve our appearance—and self-esteem—in a superficial sense, we must reject them in favor of an honest

portrayal of who and what we are, an honest portrayal which has the effect of proliferating the best and minimizing the worst traits of our kind. Racial furtherance occurs when there is honesty about what we are and when that which is negative within our kind is allowed to be gradually weeded out of our gene pool through the free play of forces, as well as due to our conscious cultivation of and favoritism towards all that which makes our kind better. The best of our kind must be *favored*—the best in health, beauty, vigor, and character—and the worst of our kind must be *disfavored* just as occurs throughout the natural world as a matter of course. That is what must be done instead of the *worst* of our race being propped up and artificially expanded in numbers to the detriment of all as is occurring today thanks to the lingering—and in fact intensifying—influence of Christian values upon us. Racial furtherance is thus an aspiration for us all regardless of our own personal merit or demerit. When consciousness and obedience to the values of the natural world has been attained, we naturally draw the consequences therefrom to their inevitable conclusion: that it is not our personal advantage—in the brief lives that we lead before we inevitably meet our personal doom—which matters, but rather that our *race* be furthered in its life. We who are ugly would like that situation to gradually abate within the *race* that we love. We who bear genetic defects would like for that problem to be gradually erased from our gene pool likewise. We who are deformed or malformed are not keen upon that suffering being repeated in others forever. Rather, we refuse to be so egotistical that we would want it foisted upon others artificially and unnecessarily.

A better race is better for *everybody*. A race which is diseased, deformed, ugly, stupid, insane, and so forth is good for *nobody*. Let Nature rather have its sway in the greater reproduction of the better specimens amongst us and let us help Nature as much as we can with the reasoning ability, and genius, that we possess. Let us reverse the present path of *dysgenics* (“bad born”) and

replace it with *eugenics* (“well born”). It is foolishness for us to care more about the breeding of *animals* than we do about the breeding of our own kind! Rather, *human quality and human happiness go hand in hand* and it is incumbent upon us to maximize that happiness by maximizing the quality of our *stock*. If health and beauty are positive attributes—as everyone who is not a moron would have to concede to be the case—it makes far more sense for us to *breed* those attributes throughout the generations of our kind instead of bestowing them only upon the individuals of the moment through artificial means, means which have no impact at all upon the *genes* which we bequeath to our children and which will have to be repeated again and again due to that fact. In other words, it behooves us to impart health and beauty to our *stock* rather than have to use cosmetics, surgery, pills, or other artificial means to obtain a health and beauty of *sorts*, true, but only on a fleeting and superficial basis. Put still another way, it makes far more sense for us to improve our DNA *itself* than for our descendants to have to deal with the consequences of *bad* DNA again and again, generation by generation, with no end in sight. (The makeup that you put on your face today does *not* help your granddaughter of tomorrow.) With a better bred race, cosmetics, surgery, injections, or pill popping are no longer necessary; we are *already* healthy and beautiful and the remedies of today which we use for our various perceived defects are disposed of along with those perceived defects themselves. We realize that it is far more noble for us to bestow health and beauty to our descendants with our *breeding* than it is for us to foist illness and ugliness upon them because we were too damned selfish to think about anybody but ourselves when it came to that breeding, or life in general. The face lift of today does not carry over to the ugly child of tomorrow; the pill popping of today does not help the sick descendant of tomorrow in the least. Rather, a race which is devoted to its furtherance—including its improvement in all respects—must be resolved to address the *causes* of its genetic and aesthetic deterioration and

remedy them with all of the fervor which is presently being wasted upon the mere *symptoms* of that deterioration. That is the case no matter whose “feelings” may be hurt or whatever other sentiments may be thrown in the way of true racial progress, for those sentiments are as much a part of the degeneration of our age as the degeneration of our *stock* which they would protect, defend, and subsidize to the misery of all. Science, when employed, should be used to eliminate the defect altogether on a *genetic* level, not remove or hide it in the mere physiognomy of the individual person. That is the only way that an ostensibly unnatural means can further a natural end when it comes to a race and its furtherance.

Every individual is but the combination of genes of which he (or she) is composed and the sum of those experiences which have acted upon those genes. He is nothing else—not a transcendent “spirit,” not a transcendent “soul,” and not a transcendent entity in any other respect. He lives and he dies, with only his descendants, and the memory of his achievements and the achievements themselves, living after him. It thus behooves us to place our focus upon the race and its needs than upon our fleeting individual lives which must surely come to an end no matter what we do. The unending must come before the ending to any rational person who has thought the matter through. To be trapped within the ego of our individual selves is to lack a wisdom which even the *animals* (unconsciously) possess, for the supremacy of their instincts over any conscious knowledge enables their respective races to be furthered without any interference from their minds. Man is not made better by being a self-absorbed hedonist; rather, he makes himself and the world around him only worse. Mere “freedom,” standing alone, is not a value which makes Man and his race better for there are always more things in the world which bring a race *down* than lift it up. Mere “freedom” standing alone is thus a value for those who are *lacking* in their hopes for Man and his kind, Man and his kind which are allowed to degenerate and even go extinct due

to the exercise of that very freedom. Some regimentation—at least as a matter of our *values*—is thus necessary for the preservation and advancement of our own kind, our White Race. The matter *cannot* be left alone or we will witness the same kind of degeneracy and destruction of our race that we are seeing today. There is no reason though why our people cannot be *educated* for racially furtherative values tomorrow just as they are educated for racially destructive values today, and thus develop a consciousness, understanding, and appreciation for a different set of values altogether, values which not only preserve our kind upon this earth but which make it more worthy of our respect, admiration, and love. We do *not* exist so as to enjoy ourselves or to be content or to have comfort. We exist so that our race may *continue* to exist and may otherwise be furthered in its life. Any value which fails to accomplish that is a bad value, barring none. Only when a race is conscious of itself, and the meaning of life that is likewise possessed by every other creature, can the individuals who compose it conduct their lives in a manner which is sure to further the race just as their instincts otherwise would. Only when both our minds and our instincts are united in common purpose for the furtherance of our kind can we expect that the furtherance of that kind will be successfully brought about. Gone is the random conduct of an entire population. Present is a drive that is stamped upon our hearts. We are provided with a goal to which each and every one of us can strive; our lives have value because the goal in question is bigger than us. When we behold our race in its life, filled with concern for its future generations, we have the love of a parent for his or her progeny, desiring not only that that progeny live and be prosperous but that it be better than ourselves. Thus the race improves itself and is not content with a coddling mediocrity—or cascading degeneracy to be sure—and demands rather that all that which is excellent come to the fore and hold the world in the palm of its hand. Freedom without *purpose* has no value, least of all a freedom which would degenerate and destroy a race in its life. A



*purposeful* freedom however, a freedom whereby each of us uses his or her inherent character, talents, and abilities for the furtherance of our kind upon this earth, is of such a power and magnificence that the entire history of the world up to this point must necessarily pale in comparison to what is possible in the future.

By no means do I, as a thorough-going *racist* in the true meaning of the term, advocate a reduction in the love that we have for our individual selves. On the contrary, I advocate a higher love for ourselves than we have ever had before in light of the racial furtherance to which each of us commits himself. We love ourselves today as the bridge to a racial tomorrow; we value ourselves as the representatives of a racial today. We no longer live an aimless existence, wondering why we should bother to live at all; we are no longer an atom detached from our fellows. We have a purpose to what we do in life, and we value ourselves more accordingly. Our employment, our education, our breeding, and even our leisure has an end in mind which is beyond ourselves; we can be proud to be the rock upon which the future of our kind rests. Not to the frivolities of the moment or a materialism of the morrow do we devote ourselves but to a better race in every way, secure in its presence and advancement upon this earth.

When it comes to sex and the sexes, the matter thus assumes a far greater importance than has previously been acknowledged or understood. We understand that sex and the sexes do not exist for their own sake but rather so that our race may *continue* to exist. We understand that man and woman are a team necessary to make that life possible. We understand that neither sex is better than the other but rather that each is equally vital to our continued racial life. We understand that each sex has its own role to play in that life and that those roles should be as separate and distinct from one another as possible, being attuned to the physiological *equipment* that each sex possesses. We understand that only a man who *inseminates* can ever fully be a man and only a

woman who *bears children* can ever fully be a woman. We understand that all of the (temporary) material prosperity of the moment, all of the technological gadgetry, and all of the myriad pursuits in which our men and women can engage in a so-called “modern” society won’t mean a hill of beans if they do not find one another and breed the next generation of their kind. We understand that it is not the task of man to make woman man nor the task of woman to make man woman. We understand that fundamentally there is no basis for discord between man and woman, only a common purpose—the furtherance of their kind—with the mate they have chosen to fulfill that furtherance with. Let men and women think carefully then about the sole person with whom they will marry, copulate, and breed for that is a matter far more serious than any other pursuit to which we would direct our youth. It is an act of creation, the joining together of the minds and bodies of two people for a purpose that is beyond themselves. That understanding should in itself lay to rest most if not all of the squabbles which occur today between the sexes, squabbles which occur mainly because of the failure of almost everybody to realize that they are but a means to an end and not the end in themselves. Our people are today trapped within their own ego and the race that they form is dying as a result. The instinctive drive to behave in a manner which preserves, propagates, and promotes the race and its best interests in all things and at all times has been suppressed and replaced with mental views of the world which can only destroy us. Those mental views, artificial as they are, are *not* more valuable and valid than those values upon which the world turns. They are *not* views which must be retained at all costs, even at the cost of our race’s sojourn upon this earth. They do *not* assume a validity because they have been around for a while. Rather, they exist at the *expense* of our race and they must be destroyed if that expense is not to be eventually paid in full. The fact that our White Race has not gone extinct *yet* as a result of the racially destructive attitudes it has adopted means little; after all, it takes time to destroy a race

altogether on this planet even under the best (worst) of scenarios. What matters rather is the poisoning of our blood, the degeneration of our stock, and the surrendering of our territories and our best interests everywhere which is apparent for all to see. The trend is obvious: the White Man is on his way out in this world and so whatever has brought that scenario about is our enemy, no matter how seemingly small and insignificant it may be.

Hence why a proper understanding of sex and the sexes is so important, for what is today being used to extinguish our race from the face of this earth can and must be used to resurrect our race in its life instead, including the fostering of that racial consciousness which is so necessary to the enablement of that continued racial life. In a word, we must understand that sex is for the *race* and *only* the race. The present attitude of our people towards sex—which is based entirely upon raceless, egoistic hedonism—must be discarded. Our youth must be educated for sex, not in the lewd manner fostered and evoked by the present society but rather by the understanding that sex is no more “lewd” intrinsically than any other bodily function which enables the race to survive. We must restore a sense of *innocence* to sex, and that includes the ending of the present idiotic policy of discouraging and preventing our youth from marrying and copulating when their own bodies tell them that it’s time. Once our boys reach puberty, they must be considered *men* again; once our girls reach puberty, they must be considered *women*; their parents and their teachers must actively take it upon themselves to assist them in locating and securing their spouses and mates for life and *not* leave the matter to the whims of chance. The sexual drives of our people must be rendered *healthy* again, and that means their fulfillment at the young age at which they arrive instead of their repression and suppression. Many of the problems faced by our teenagers today are simply a result of the repression and suppression of their drive to breed new life. Allow that drive to proceed, directed and channeled sensibly within the bond of matrimony for the good of

the race, and those problems will go away. Mind and body unite in common purpose for which both exist: that the race may be furthered in its life. If a woman reaches the age of twenty without having acquired a husband and having bestowed upon her kind new life, there is truly something wrong for that is not what her body has told her to do. To channel her energies elsewhere is to deny the fulfillment of her own nature and is to deprive our race of the sons and daughters it needs to survive. That then is all the more reason why the entire outlook of our people towards sex, the sexes, and the education thereof must be changed, for we need as many new sons and daughters to be born to our race as possible, not the curtailment of that replication and growth in any respect. A race must *exist* before it can fight for itself and it needs as many members as it can acquire in order to make up for the foolish and racially curtailing policies of the past. We will not be destroyed by the non-white hordes if we have a population explosion of our own and in the end that is more important than anything else. To believe that a woman shouldn't get pregnant until her thirties or even forties must surely be one of the most idiotic notions ever conceived by Man; it also happens to coincide with the interests of those who would like to see this earth rid of our White Race altogether. We must resolutely oppose any policy or practice or attitude which has the effect of curtailing the numbers of our kind upon this earth and advocate instead for the rejuvenation of those numbers through the means that are available to us; namely, by heeding the drives within our bodies in a natural, constructive sense. It is not too late to advocate for and push for a radically different state of affairs than the one we are burdened with at present. To go along with an order of things one knows is bad is to be a slave.

We must teach our men to value their seed like nothing else; it will not do for them to waste it or to throw it upon a field that is undeserving of its growth. We must teach our women that their very purpose on this earth is the bearing and cultivation of new life. Sex must thus be rescued

from the gutter where it presently resides; there is no place for pornography, masturbation, and hedonistic sexual relations and practices in general in a race which has reasserted its life as its cause and which would be better than the animals it claims to have the right to subordinate to its will. We are, quite simply, better than the race we have become and the perversions that today sap our strength and cause us to disappear from this earth must be swept away if we are to become great again and greater still. We must have a mission to what we do and as our mission is the preservation and advancement of our entire racial life, the whole race must be brought to bear that mission. The purveyance of sickness can be replaced by the inculcation of health; the trash “culture” of today can be replaced by a culture of purpose, beauty, and will.